```
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF
1
                   YORK COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA
2
3
                                   ) Civil Action - Law
     STEPHEN BEAVER,
     LEAH COOPER, AMY ELLIOTT,
                                   ) No. 2024-SU-001322
4
     JOHN ELLIOTT, JEFF HARMON,
     TARA HIGH, KATHERINE JAN
5
     JARRETT, JESSE SAYRE, AND
     JANE VIVIER,
6
               Plaintiffs
7
            VS
8
     BOARD OF SCHOOL DIRECTORS
9
     OF THE WEST SHORE SCHOOL
     DISTRICT, HEIDI THOMAS,
10
     KELLY BRENT, DAVID
     BRINTON, BRENDA COX, AND
11
     MANDY DAVIS,
12
                Defendants
13
                             --000--
14
15
     DEPONENT:
                    Heidi Thomas
16
                     Plaintiffs
     TAKEN BY:
17
                      Wednesday, February 19, 2025
     DATE:
18
                      9:27 a.m.
     TIME:
19
                      Ream, Carr, Markey, Woloshin &
     PLACE:
                      Hunter, LLP
20
                      119 East Market Street
                      York, Pennsylvania
21
     REPORTER:
                      Angela Kilby
22
                      Court Reporter, Notary Public
23
24
              1300 Garrison Drive, York, PA 17404
25
                         (717) 764-7801
```

—keyreporters@comcast.net-

-Key Reporters –

```
1
   APPEARANCES:
2
3
   REAM, CARR, MARKEY, WOLOSHIN & HUNTER, LLP
   BY: JOHN N. ELLIOTT, ESQUIRE
4
   119 East Market Street
   York, PA 17401
5
   717.843.8968
   jnelliottesq@comcast.net
6
   Counsel for Plaintiffs
7
8
   COHEN SEGLIAS PALLAS GREENHALL & FURMAN, PC
   BY: JOSHUA D. BONN, ESQUIRE
   240 North Third Street, 7th Floor
   Harrisburg, PA 17101
10
   717.480.5304
   jbonn@cohenseglias.com
11
   Counsel for Defendants
12
13
14
   ALSO PRESENT:
15
   Jeff Harmon
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
2.4
25
```

-Key Reporters-

-keyreporters@comcast.net-

1	INDEX TO DEPONENT	
2	EXAMINATION PAGE	
3	By Mr.	Elliott 4, 98
4	By Mr.	Bonn 90
5		
6		INDEX TO EXHIBITS
7	THOMAS	EXHIBIT PAGE
8	1:	E-mail exchange Re: Yet another e-mail is required!
9		Bates WSSD_000045 through WSSD_000046 88
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	—Key Re	porters———keyreporters@comcast.net———

1 STIPULATION 2 It is hereby stipulated and agreed by 3 and between counsel for the respective parties that the deposition is being taken for 4 5 discovery; that reading, signing, sealing, 6 certification, and filing are waived; that all 7 objections, except as to the form of the question, are reserved to the time of trial. 8 9 10 HEIDI THOMAS, 11 called upon by Plaintiffs to give testimony, being 12 duly sworn or affirmed by me, testified as follows: 13 14 EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. ELLIOTT: 16 Ms. Thomas, my name is John Elliott, I Q. represent the Plaintiffs in this matter. We are 17 18 here for your deposition. 19 Could you please state your name and 20 address for the record? 2.1 Heidi Thomas, 2020 York Haven Road, Α. 22 Etters, Pennsylvania 17319. 23 Have you ever given a deposition before? 24 25 Α. Never.

-keyreporters@comcast.net-

Key Reporters

Q. Okay. I'll briefly go through the ground rules of the deposition and you can let me know if you have any questions.

Obviously you have been placed under oath, which means you are subject to the penalties of perjury, just as though we were in court.

Your duty is to tell the truth to the best of your recollection, and I need to ask you questions that you are able to hear and understand. If at any time you don't hear or understand a question, please ask me to repeat or rephrase it.

- A. Okay.
- Q. Otherwise, if you answer a question, we'll presume that you have heard and understood the question. Okay?
  - A. Okay.
- 19 Q. Do you understand that?
- 20 A. Yes.

2.1

Q. The court reporter is making a written transcript of the questions and answers that are being posed today. So, all of your responses will need to be verbal, because she can't take down nods of the head --

1 Α. Okay. -- and gestures and so forth. 2 Q. 3 If at any time you need to take break or consult with your attorney, that's fine. 4 5 Just let us know. Otherwise, I think -- did you 6 attend any of the previous depositions in this 7 case? 8 Mrs. Davis' I was here for. Α. 9 So, this will operate in basically the Q. 10 same fashion. 11 Α. Okay. 12 What is your educational background? Q. 13 I have an associate's degree in Α. 14 nursing. I am a registered nurse. 15 When did you get that degree? Q. 16 2007. Α. 17 Okay. And are you currently employed? Q. 18 I am. Α. 19 How are you employed? Q. 20 Α. I work for Phillips BioTel as a nurse 2.1 educator since 2016. And I am employed at UPMC 22 in a surgery center. 23 Has that also been since 2016? No. Recent. 24 Α. 25 What -- I'm sorry, when did you say Ο.

you got your degree?

2.1

- A. 2007. It was when I graduated from Harrisburg Area Community College.
- Q. Between 2007 and 2016, what is your employment history?
- A. I worked as a cardiac nurse for -- I worked while going through school for the -- it was Harrisburg Hospital then, Pinnacle Health, in the cardiothoracic post-op floor.

Before I was a nurse, I drove school bus for 10 years and worked in the cafeteria for the West Shore School District.

And then I worked for a couple years with Grandview Surgery Center and over at Holy Spirit and Geisinger, mainly in surgery.

- Q. Okay. When were you elected to the school board?
- A. 2021, I think.
- Q. Prior to that time, did you have any prior experience serving on any municipal or government boards or agencies?
- A. I was on the Lewisberry Borough council for, I think, four years.
- Q. Okay. Do you remember what time period that was?

A. I don't.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Have you ever had any training related to the Sunshine Act or right-to-know law?
- A. Yes. When I first started as a school director, Pennsylvania School Board Association does a training that is mandatory. I think you do that, like, within the first 30 to 60 days of your election. I think you have a time frame. And then you review it again. I had served a two-year term, then got re-elected for a four-year term.
- So, I think I had training -- I don't recall -- I think they always do an overview -- PSBA always does an overview, then Stock and Leader did one in January, I think, of 2024, I think.
- Q. The PSBA, was that in person or was it online?
- A. Online. Online. It was during COVID.

  So, there was nothing in person.
- Q. What about -- I think -- was Stock and Leader, was that done -- how was that performed?

  Was that an in person or --
  - A. Yes. They came to present to us in

executive session sometime in January after
there was a pretty big change in the new board,
because they had such a high volume of new
people elected. So, Stock and Leader did one in
January, I believe, 2024.

Q. And around that time, January '24, there was the idea of a request for proposal for legal services was raised.

Do you recall that?

- A. In the board meeting?
- 11 Q. Correct.

2.1

- A. Mm-hmm. Yes, I do.
- Q. What prompted the desire to send out an RFP?
  - A. I don't remember the exact wording as to why that was done. In my opinion, Mrs. Say at Stock and Leader was there for, I think, 13 years and an RFP had not been done, so it was just made of the decision to do an RFP and changing of solicitor.
  - Q. Okay. Did you have any particular reason that you wanted to change the solicitor at that time?
  - A. Mrs. Say -- Ms. Say did not -- there was some instances that I really felt like Mrs.

Say was not listening to the majority of the board and her role -- Dr. Stoltz had always said in the years I had been on, I had heard that, you know, the solicitor serves at the pleasure of the board. And there was a pretty defining time that I can recall that Mrs. Say did not represent when we asked her -- do you want to know specifically?

Q. Yes, please.

2.1

A. Mrs. Say -- I had attended -- I would have to -- like, I think it was one of our public board meetings a parent had come forward and had said that she was not allowed to see the curriculum for the Byrnes Health Education. And by our policy, I think it is 105.3 or two, stated that a parent had the right to see that. And the Byrnes Health Education said due to proprietary reasons, they would not allow the parent to see the material that was taking place at Fishing Creek.

And it was in a public meeting. There was some conversations that the parent reached out to me and asked me to -- I guess Byrnes had finally come to an agreement to meet with the parent, utilize that time to show the curriculum

to the parent. And the parent asked myself and Mrs. Cox to come to the meeting, which I did.

And Mr. Gay was there, along with two people from the Byrnes Health Institute.

I don't remember how long the meeting was. But at that point the parent raised some very good questions, and I had asked a couple questions because I had concerns that this institute -- I think what the Department of Education says for, like, grade six. So, these are actually grade five -- grade fifth graders. Grade six, they have to have, like, hygiene teaching. And it might be, like, AIDS training, or just the understanding of maybe communicable diseases.

So, that was the baseline of what the Department of Education tells the students -tells the district, they have to have that
training. They were doing this training to the
fifth graders. And the parent had asked for all
the material, all the displays to be brought in,
and the Byrnes Health did not bring in the
mannequins. Apparently they had tampons,
razors, a vagina. And they forgot to bring
these in, and the parent was pretty upset about

2.1

it.

2.1

One of the questions that made me ask a question to the Byrnes' organization was they had -- were teaching 10- and 11-year-old students that you could live without your reproductive organs. That was the only organ that you could live without. And I asked them -- I asked the female, I don't recall her name, what that had to do with the regulations for the Department of Education for cleanliness and AIDS training. And the meeting was -- it was a good meeting, but the parent was pretty upset about some things.

I had asked a question, Mrs. Cox had asked some questions. I don't recall what her questions were. But when we left there, Mr. -- or Dr. Stoltz then said in a public meeting that we lacked decorum and caused a lot of -- what's the word? Just, like, a lot of unrest in the town, in the community. He said we lacked decorum.

And we asked Mrs. Say when Dr. Stoltz told us in executive session he was going forward with this statement -- which Dr. Stoltz was never present in that meeting, Mr. Gay was

along with the two parents, the principal from Fishing Creek, Mrs. Cox, myself, and Mr. Gay.

And I said, Todd, you weren't even there, we don't want you to say that. And I looked at Mrs. Say and I was, like, we don't want him to say that. Like, he was not present. He is kind of talking out of turn and basically throwing myself and Mrs. Cox out with any content of the conversation that happened in a room smaller than this with these people.

And she kind of said -- I don't know the exact words, but it was, like, well, it is what it is, kind of.

And at that point there was -- I did not really have a relationship with her, being on the board for two years. I was on prior and really had very little in conversations with her, just small talk.

So, after that, we really decided -you know, I talked to Kelly, and I just said I
think we need to have this -- get an RFP out to
get a new solicitor, because I didn't think she
was listening to us.

Q. Why do you think it was the solicitor's job to tell Dr. Stoltz that he

2.1

wasn't allowed to make a public comment?

- A. I believe that she serves at the pleasure of the board. The majority was telling her no. Dr. Stoltz was never in that meeting, and I felt it was just causing more unrest and division in the board.
- Q. In -- you weren't present when Mr. Guistwhite gave his deposition, were you?
  - A. I was not.
- Q. Did you get a chance to read the transcript of his deposition?
- A. No, sir.

2.1

Q. The topic of the Byrnes Group meeting came up. And he testified that Dr. Stoltz was essentially trying to do damage control and get Byrnes to essentially come back to the table because they had refused to work with the district.

Do you recall that?

A. I can't think for -- Brian had a different relationship than I do with Dr. Stoltz. There was never an MOU for Byrnes. We had found out there had never been an MOU issued. I think Dr. Jamie Whye had contacted them. So, there really was no contract that we

were -- what's the word? Like, we weren't
really, like, taking them out of a contract that
they were in.

I think Dr. Stoltz's comments did more damage. And in the summer of 2024, Dr. Stoltz apologized to me and Mrs. Cox for that, because -- he apologized because he realized the division that he kept causing. It was -- he took me aside by myself and apologized for it.

- Q. Okay. But -- this was all -- you said this was -- this instance with Attorney Say is sort of the impetus of why you thought she was not listening to the majority?
- A. I think that just brought it more to light, yes.
- Q. Okay. Were there -- was this the principle instance? Or were there other times where you thought that she was not serving the majority of the board?
- A. It is the principle, I believe, instance that I can attest to.
- Q. So, who was responsible for forming the RFP committee?
- A. I think the board members amongst themselves chose who was going to be on the RFP

2.1

committee.

2.1

- Q. Did you have any input or participation with the RFP committee?
  - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Did any of the board members, like, report to you or talk to you about what was going on in those meetings?
  - A. Mrs. Brent did.
  - Q. What did she tell you?
- A. She had told me how the process was going and just kind of made the comment that she felt they were -- the other board members were kind of stonewalling it and adding more cabinet people from the school district on it and kind of tipping -- if you wanted to say just, like, tipping the scale against to -- Kelly was really trying to do her best in developing it.

And I can't really give you the -- I can't really verbalize the icy feeling in an executive session when people don't like other people. It makes it very difficult to have productive conversations. And she just made the statement this is just not being productive. I feel she made the statement that she was, you know, I am just going to -- I don't know her

exact words, but she just felt she was being bullied.

And the more experienced board

members, Mr. Guistwhite and I think it was Mrs.

Tierney and Mr. Brinton, I think was on that

committee with Mrs. Brent. And I don't think

Mr. Brinton had attended a lot. He was having

some health issues. She said she just felt kind

of on her own little island and ganged up on and

didn't have any input into it.

- Q. So, was there ever any vote or official action by the board to suspend or stop the RFP process?
  - A. I don't believe so.
- Q. What was your first contact with Kevin Hall?
  - A. I don't recall the exact date.
    - O. How did it come about?
- A. Mrs. Brent had given me, I believe,
  his phone number to contact him, to speak with
  him.
- Q. Okay. What did -- when she gave you his number, what did she say about him or why you should be contacting him?
- 25 A. He was a person of the community, and

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

17

```
she felt he would be qualified to lead as a
1
2
    solicitor. So, that is basically some
3
    information, factfinding. I don't remember -- I
    can't grasp, like, the total conversation of
4
   everything she said.
5
               Her and I talked a lot about different
6
7
   options -- different ideas all the time.
8
              Just -- in the Interrogatory responses
          Q.
   that you had provided to your attorney who
10
   passed it on to me, you indicated that you spoke
   with Kevin Hall on March 12, April 2, April 3,
11
12
    4, 8, 10, and 11, and that you met with Kevin
   Hall on April 5.
13
14
              Okay.
          Α.
              Is that accurate?
15
          Ο.
16
              That sounds -- I mean --
          Α.
              Does that sound --
17
          Q.
18
              Without looking at, like, a calendar.
          Α.
19
              Right. But at that point you would
          Q.
   have looked at either a calendar --
20
2.1
          Α.
              Yeah.
22
              -- or your phone records to be able to
23
   confirm that?
24
          Α.
              Yeah.
25
              So, before Ms. Brent gave you Attorney
          Ο.
```

Hall's phone number, had you ever heard of him 1 or know anything about him? 2 3 I don't really know. I don't recall. Did you -- had you known him 4 Ο. 5 personally before that? 6 Α. No, hmm-mm. 7 Ο. Did anyone other than Ms. Brent recommend him to you as a potential solicitor? 8 Α. Not that I can recall. 10 So, then, after you got the phone 11 number, you made a call to Attorney Hall? 12 Α. Yes. What did you talk about? 13 Q. 14 His qualifications for -- as an attorney, what type of -- just factfinding. 15 16 don't really recall 100 percent, you know, the detail, but just factfinding. 17 18 He told me that he was involved in Boy 19 Scouts in New Cumberland, his children attended, I think, Saint Theresa's. His wife -- small 20 2.1 talk. He seemed vested in the community. 22 Q. And what experience did he have with 23 regard to either being a school solicitor or education law? 2.4

Α.

25

I mean, his firm -- I looked at his

A lot of times -- I don't think -- I 1 firm. think there is -- what I remember about him --2 3 his qualifications, I don't, like, I couldn't tell you off the top of his head (sic). But I 4 know his firm dealt in education law. And I do 5 remember when Mrs. Say -- there was probably 6 7 four or five, maybe six people that worked from Stock and Leader with the school district. 8 it wasn't, like, just one attorney comes in. 10 And Kevin had explained to me they had the 11 resources, as much as what Stock and Leader 12 could do in working for the school district. I don't know all their titles or 13 14 names. That is just not my expertise. 15 So, you felt his firm had the wherewithal to do this. Did you ever come to 16 the conclusion that Kevin Hall himself was 17 18 qualified to be the solicitor? 19 I believe so. Α. 20 Q. What led you to believe that he had

- Q. What led you to believe that he had the necessary qualifications to serve in that role?
- A. He was going to represent to the best of his ability. And I believe his firm, you know, he could attend the meetings and his -- he

2.1

22

23

24

had back-up, like all law firms have, to -- you know, as a registered nurse, I know that I am just one part of the surgery team. I have an anesthesiologist, I have a doctor, I have a scrub tech, I have an x-ray person. So, all together we all get the job done.

So, I felt -- I looked at it from that standpoint, that everybody had different roles that they felt competent, in my opinion.

- Q. But your understanding would be Attorney Hall would be essentially the main point person, the one attending the meetings, correct?
- 14 A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. So --
- A. I think somebody -- I think one time somebody else came in his absence because he was away. And that occasionally would happen with other -- with Mrs. Say, too.
- Q. Did you confirm whether Kevin Hall though had any prior experience of any kind in either educational law or serving as solicitor?
  - A. Can you say that one more time?
- Q. Did you -- prior to deciding to go
  with Kevin Hall and Tucker Arensberg as the new

solicitor, did you ever confirm for yourself whether Attorney Hall had any prior experience either as a school solicitor or in education law in any way?

- A. I think he had that within his scope of practice, scope of being an attorney, was solicitor.
- Q. Had he represented any other school boards?
  - A. Not that I was aware of.
- Q. Did you -- when you were talking -you said you discussed qualifications with
  Attorney Hall. Did you discuss anything else
  with him with regard to things like policy goals
  for the school or any other things the board
  wanted to achieve?
  - A. I don't believe so at that time.
  - Q. Do you -- when you were speaking with Attorney Hall prior to his appointment, did -- do you know if he was associated with any political organizations?
- A. What do you mean by political organization?
  - Q. Any political action committees.
  - A. That I was not aware.

2.1

2.4

- Q. Do you know if -- did you speak to him about the Independence Law Center?

  A. I did not.
  - Q. Did you talk to him about the PA Economic Growth Pack?
    - A. I did not.

2.1

- Q. Did you interview or speak to any other attorneys as a potential solicitor?
  - A. I did not.
  - Q. Why not?
  - A. I felt comfortable with Mr. Hall.
- Q. Did you -- I think one of the things you said that it had been approximately 13 years since Stock and Leader had been appointed and there had never been an RFP. That was one of the reasons for going forward with an RFP, correct?
- A. I don't know the exact year. But I kind of think I heard Mr. Guistwhite say before they had done an RFP back then and they just would constantly just renew her contract every May, I think it was.
- Q. Do you -- what is your understanding of the process that went -- that the board went through to hire Stock and Leader?

- A. I was not on the board then. I would have no idea.
- Q. Did you -- what was your understanding about the purpose for an RFP?
- A. From what I was told, the RFP process, Mr. Guistwhite and Mrs. Tierney, I think they were on the board then. I think they kind of spoke about it. But I don't remember in great detail what they said. It was in the public -- maybe in a public meeting they talked about the RFP process from years back.
- Q. Mm-hmm. And is it your understanding that multiple firms were interviewed and narrowed down to -- based on a set of criteria that the board had developed at that time?
- A. Mr. Guistwhite might have said that.

  But I don't recall when, if it would have been,

  like, when I first was on the school board or if

  it would have been in 2024. I don't have a

  close relationship with him and conversating

  with him.
- Q. So, why didn't you think it was important to consider or compare other attorneys or firms as a potential solicitor?
  - A. Kelly seemed -- I trusted Kelly. And

2.1

2.4

she said they were -- the RFP process was very laborious and they were kind of ganging up on her and she said can we go about it, you know, a different way. So, I don't have the knowledge of the RFP process. I have never been part of any RFP process, as a nurse, as my time as a school director.

- Q. Okay. So, was it fair to say then it was Ms. Brent who did not -- who essentially decided that she didn't want to go forward with the RFP process and wanted to select a solicitor by other means?
- A. I am not pinning it all on her per se.

  But she was part of the RFP process and she was,

  like, Heidi, we can't -- this is not working.

  So, she is a very -- I know she runs her own

  business. I trusted her as a businesswoman. I

  trusted her qualifications in making business

  decisions. So, I put that trust in her.
- Q. All right. So, Ms. Brent told you that she was confident in Attorney Hall, and that is who she wanted to select as a solicitor?
- A. I don't agree with that wording totally. I believe she said this would be the best for the school district and us at this time

2.1

and going forward with Mr. Hall.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And when did she tell you that?
- A. I don't know the exact date.
- Q. But you -- your Interrogatory responses indicate you had spoken with Ms. Brent on March 12 concerning Mr. Hall and that you also had a meeting with her and Dr. Stoltz on April 8.

Did you have other phone -- either phone or in-person conversations with Ms. Brent about Kevin Hall between March and April?

- A. I mean, I couldn't tell you specifically which day she -- I was president, she was vice president. So, I leaned on -- we leaned on each other, both being new in the role, as we talked about numerous things.
- Q. Did you speak with Mandy Davis about -- this is -- my questions here, these are all prior to April 11 and the time leading up to when the official vote happened on the 11th.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. Did you, during that time, March through April, speak with Mandy Davis about Kevin Hall as a potential solicitor?
  - A. If I did, I just fact finded with her.

- Q. What do you mean by fact finded?
- A. Well, so, we get -- part of a school director, we get board notes on Sunday, we get the agenda. And because they were all new in the role, and I had a couple years of experience, I just would say to her, read the board notes, read the agenda. If you have questions, there is, like, a protocol.

The school district, I was always told from the beginning, doesn't like surprises. I put that in air quotes because they don't ever want us to have a question for them that they are not prepared for before we walk out to the board meeting. That is, like, a big no-no. And I don't understand it myself.

But I -- if I spoke to Mrs. Davis, it was of constant, like, you know, read your board notes, read the agenda. These are the questions. If you have a question about something on the agenda, e-mail the superintendent or e-mail Mr. Gay.

So, if I had conversations with Mrs.

Davis, it would have been education of -- maybe coddling is not the word, but just kind of encouraging how to find her way in her new role.

2.1

So, we were always -- I was always told as a new board member, we talk -- we find facts out of our concerns, and then I just would kind of navigate her to tell her, you know, reach out to maybe Dr. Argot, he is a wealth of information with this, or talk to the HR department or the finance department.

So, if I spoke to her, it is a lot of information we are presented to -- Mr.

Guistwhite, I think, would say it was kind of like drinking out of a firehose. It is a lot to manage when you work and you want to be prepared.

So, I did a lot of coaching, maybe, and just kind of factfinding of this is -- if you have a question about this, this is where you need to go to find the answer, to the best of my ability. I did not have a mentor in my role as president, so I was trying to find my way, also, and working.

- Q. Okay. So, did Mandy Davis ever express to you an opinion about what she thought about Kevin Hall after speaking with him?
- A. I don't recall. She -- I know she had expressed a frustration to me because the board

2.1

meetings, even though I felt prepared to lead as the president, there was sometimes that she would say, you know, why didn't you gavel this, or why didn't you -- kind of critiquing me as president.

So, we would have conversations of the emotional part of a board meeting, because they were pretty -- the public meetings were pretty volatile. Or maybe volatile is not the right word. I'll take that back. They were not -- I am trying to find the word. They weren't very cohesive, so they didn't flow very well. So, I think she was frustrated also at my ability to, you know, control a meeting. So --

- Q. Okay. What about, did you ever have any discussions with Brenda Cox about Attorney Hall?
- A. I don't recall specifically. You know, if I had any conversations with Brenda, they were kind of along the lines of how to be a good -- how to be a successful school director and being proficient in your role. I don't recall -- I can't recall one conversation that was just about Mr. Hall. I apologize.
  - Q. Okay. What about -- same thing with

2.1

David Brinton. Did you have any discussions with him about Kevin Hall acting as solicitor -- or being potentially appointed as the new solicitor?

- A. I don't recall. I don't speak to him very often.
- Q. When you met with Ms. Brent and Dr. Stoltz on April 8, I think it was, what did you -- what was discussed at that meeting --
  - A. Umm --

2.1

- Q. -- with regard to Attorney Hall or appointment of the solicitor?
- A. Just to place it on the agenda. I had agenda building meetings with him. The cabinet comes forth with an agenda. And if there was something that was coming up in -- we just had some -- just, like, the agenda building days, just to place it on the agenda. I don't recall the exact conversation. But just to place it on the agenda.
- Q. Did you ever tell any of the four board members who voted against the appointment, that would be Adam Trone, Brian Guistwhite, Christopher Kambic, or Abigail Tierney, did you ever tell any of those four that you were

speaking to Kevin Hall about potentially hiring him as the solicitor?

- A. I don't believe so. I called them to tell them I placed it on the agenda -- I was going to place it on the agenda. I called Mr. Kambic, I had a brief conversation. I called Mr. Trone. And I think I left a message for Mrs. Tierney. And Mrs. Tierney and I had, like, an -- not an agreement, but she would call Brian for things, because we did not have an amicable conversation, so she was my go-to.
- Q. So, what did -- you said you had a conversation with Christopher Kambic. What did you say to him? Or what was discussed with Mr. Kambic?
- A. I don't exactly remember it. But it was just I was going to place an appointment -- or put it on the agenda to hire an attorney -- I don't know the exact wording. He said, okay, thank you, and that was about the conversation.
- Q. What about Adam Trone? Did you speak to him?
- A. I did. I told him and he screamed and yelled at me and I told him to have a nice weekend and we hung up.

2.1

- Q. What did you tell him before --
- A. The same thing. Like, you know, just I was putting this on the agenda RFP -- I don't honestly -- I don't remember the exact wording of it. I apologize. It was a long time ago.
- Q. So, when did you know Kevin Hall was going to be appointed as the solicitor?
- A. After we appointed him. It was April 11 you told me?
  - Q. Right. That was the meeting.
- A. That was the meeting. That is when I knew he was going to be appointed, when we appointed him.
  - Q. Before that, you had authorized Attorney Hall to contact Brooke Say though, correct?
- A. He -- I don't think I said -- I don't think I said, oh, I am authorizing you. He just kind of said to me as a professional courtesy he was going to reach out to her. And I said if you feel that you would like to do that, then do that. But I don't know -- I am not -- I was not there when he contacted Brooke -- or Ms. Say. He just kind of made the statement that he would

contact her as a professional courtesy.

2.1

And I made -- like, is that what happens in lawyer world, you know, people contact each other as a professional courtesy? I don't know. So, he just kind of made that clear to me. And I said if you feel that is what you want to do, then do that. But I didn't authorize him to say what he said. I mean, Mr. Hall is an educated person. How he decided to write the e-mail is how Mr. Hall decided to write the e-mail.

- Q. All right. I am going to show you what was marked as Brent No. 5. This is an e-mail exchange dated April 5. There is one from Kevin Hall to Brooke Say, then her response. If you could take a moment to look at this and then let me know if you have ever seen this before.
  - A. What was your question?
- Q. First of all, have you seen these before? Do you recognize --
  - A. With the attorney, yes. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And in it, Kevin Hall's e-mail on the second page indicates, you know, in addition to the first sentence reaching out as a courtesy to inform you the change in the

2.1

solicitor will be on the agenda, then says with the goal of making the transition as smooth as possible, we would appreciate it if you could provide a list of the most pressing issues facing the school district and begin preparing transition paperwork for any active matters that you or your firm are currently working on. We do not foresee a need for you to attend the meeting on Thursday. However, if there are any agenda items you feel we should discuss prior to the meeting, please don't hesitate to contact me.

You would agree that his e-mail is more than just simply a courtesy saying, you know, this is going to be on the agenda, it says, you know, we need to prepare for the transition as though this is certainly going to happen.

Would you agree that is the tone of Mr. Hall's e-mail to Ms. Say?

- A. I told Mr. Hall we would be putting his -- on the -- April 11 on the agenda. But I did not tell him to add all those sentences.
- Q. Did you tell him that Ms. Say would not -- did you authorize him to tell Ms. Say

2.1

that she didn't need to attend the meeting?

2.1

A. He said it would probably be a courtesy -- professional courtesy, and that was it. Like, I didn't, like, you know, over think of, like, the overreaching arch that we were trying to -- that this appears to be.

Mr. Hall signed this letter. I did not. With all due respect, I feel like what he did was Kevin Hall, Mr. Hall's doing, not Heidi Thomas'. I just told him he would be put on the agenda.

- Q. But you were aware he was going to tell Attorney Say that she didn't need to attend the meeting?
  - A. Correct, yes.
- Q. In the response e-mail from Brooke Say
  on the first page -- is there a note you want to
  write down or --
  - A. No. I guess I am used to writing on paper.
  - Q. She wrote, thank you for the note.

    This confirms our conversation where you informed me that you and your firm would be appointed at the upcoming board meeting on April 11. You informed me that the President Thomas

asked you to make this communication about the appointment and that my presence at the meeting was not necessary. In response to my question, you are also advised there had not been a board meeting or executive session where appointment of your firm was discussed.

- A. Well, she -- am I allowed to talk?
- Q. Go ahead.

2.1

2.4

- A. I just see in her wording that she would be -- that he would be appointed -- Mr. Hall said that he would be on the agenda. So, those are two different -- being on the agenda and being appointed are two different things, in my opinion.
- Q. It also indicates that there was a conversation between the two of them prior to this e-mail exchange, correct?
- A. It would appear that way. But I was not party to these e-mails.
- Q. So, do you know specifically what

  Kevin Hall verbally told Ms. Say in their

  conversation that happened prior to this e-mail

  exchange?
  - A. I would not know that, no, sir.
    - Q. Did you speak to Attorney Say directly

1 to tell her that she did not need to be at the 2 meeting? I believe I did. I don't recall when. 3 Did you tell her that she did not need 4 Ο. 5 to be at the agenda preparation meeting? I did. 6 Α. 7 Why did you tell her that? Ο. Because Mr. Hall said, you know, it 8 Α.

was a professional courtesy. If he was definitely appointed, that maybe that could be upsetting to her to not be employed anymore with the school district -- or her firm, I should say.

Q. And -- but you wouldn't have told

Attorney Say that unless you were confident that

Kevin Hall was going to be appointed that

evening?

A. I was not confident. I never know what a board is going to poll. I never poll the board. I never have any idea of how people are going to vote.

Mr. Hall wrote that e-mail. Mrs. Say
-- I said if you don't want -- you know, you
don't need to come.

I have no -- I guess what I am trying

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

to say is I don't think for Mr. Hall -- and I don't think for Ms. Say. I think just for myself, and I am not being -- what's the word? Like, I am not being smart. I am not being disrespectful when I say that. I truly know I only speak for myself.

And I -- even as the president, I don't have any way to manipulate a vote, enforce a vote, in having another school director vote. I was always taught by Mr. Guistwhite and Mrs. Sheri Moyer had always told me to vote your conscience. And I had always instructed the new board members to vote the way that you feel that you -- like Mrs. Moyer said, vote that you had no regrets and that you could always vote your conscience, regardless of what other people were doing. So, I had no idea.

- Q. Are you suggesting that Attorney Hall overstepped his bounds with his communication to Attorney Say?
- A. I don't really know how to answer that, because I can't think for him. I don't know, because I don't know how he thinks. I am truly -- if -- if he went over -- I trusted him to do the best. And by saying, you know, for a

2.1

professional courtesy, and I didn't kind of ask,
like, what does a professional courtesy entail.
Like, what would you say? I didn't say, oh, I
want to see what you are going to say prior to
saying that.

You know, hindsight is 20/20. We live life learning forward. But we always, you know, understand maybe how it could be perceived. But I don't -- I don't know if I feel comfortable saying he overstepped his bounds because I just don't know what he was thinking.

- Q. All right. How did the discussion with Attorney Hall talking about him communicating with Attorney Say, how did that come up? Did you contact him to ask him to reach out to Attorney Say?
- A. When I met with him, he made that discussion with me, that he could reach out to her to be a professional courtesy and I kind of left it at that. I didn't -- I don't micromanage, you know, as a person. So, maybe I should have.
- Q. So, are you saying that you didn't see
  Attorney Hall contacting Attorney Say to say you
  don't need to be at the meeting as an indication

2.1

that he knew he was going to be appointed?

- A. Can you say that one more time? Sorry.
- Q. Do you -- do you see how somebody reading Attorney Hall's e-mail could conclude that he knew he was going to be appointed at that meeting?
- A. Once again, I don't think for Mr.

  Hall. I don't understand the context of what
  was behind it in him saying that. I would not
  be privy to that.
- Q. My question was, do you see how a third party looking at that e-mail could conclude that Attorney Hall knew he was going to be appointed that evening?
  - A. I don't see that, no.
- Q. Despite him saying that he doesn't -not only that he doesn't foresee a need to -for her to attend the meeting, but that she
  should start transitioning files as of April 5,
  which is six days before the vote takes place?
- A. I mean, he just said it would be placed on the agenda. You know, just reading the goal of making a transition as smooth as possible.

2.1

- Q. Exactly. How does he know -- how did he know there was going to be a transition?
- A. I mean, he just was telling her to put

  -- it was on the agenda. So, I can't speak for

  Kevin Hall. I truly I did not write this script

  for him. I did not write these sentences.
  - Q. All right. But --
- A. I mean, people can think what they want, you know what I am saying. I don't mean any disrespect.
- Q. You saw this -- you were aware of and saw this e-mail exchange prior to the April 11 vote, correct?
  - A. I believe so.

2.1

- Q. So, you didn't -- you never said, wait a minute, pump the brakes, Kevin Hall, you are overstepping your bounds, you are not supposed to transition yet, this hasn't happened.
- Did you tell him that -- did you ever tell him or anyone else what he said in his e-mail was inappropriate or incorrect?
- A. I did not. You know, looking at it now, the way we are going through it with a fine tooth comb, I just knew we put him -- put the potential for the appointment on the 11th.

Q. I also want to show you what was marked as Brent No. 6. This was some e-mails that are dated April 3 and April 5. The first one looks like it is from Kevin Hall to you dated April 3, and then there is an e-mail from you to Dr. Stoltz following that.

First of all, take a moment to review this and tell me if you recognize these e-mails.

- A. Okay. I am familiar with these.
- Q. All right. So, on April 3, Kevin Hall is forwarding to you business agenda items for the upcoming April meeting, correct?
  - A. Okay.

2.1

2.4

- Q. So, why is Kevin Hall preparing the agenda item on April 3, eight days before his appointment, if he didn't know that he was going to be the solicitor?
  - A. April 5, you mean? Or --
- Q. Well, on the first page it looks like that is dated April 3 where he is saying good afternoon, Heidi, please see the attached business agenda items for the upcoming April meeting. That is dated April 3.
  - A. These --
- 25 MR. BONN: Wait a second. Where is

1 that? 2 MR. ELLIOTT: On the first page at the 3 top, this is WSSD 000001. MR. BONN: Oh, okay. I'm sorry. 4 BY MR. ELLIOTT: 5 Kevin Hall, it says sent time, 4/3/246 at 2:20:30 p.m. to your WSSD e-mail. And it 7 says attached -- see attached business items for 8 the upcoming April meeting. 10 So, this is just his information, see 11 information about Tucker Arensberg, his 12 information, and the agenda item for the 11th. So, that is no -- I foresee it as it was just a 13 14 courtesy to provide the information to the other board members of Mr. Hall's qualification and 15 16 his firm. I didn't have any of that information, so he sent it to me. 17 18 Q. But did he actually prepare the agenda 19 item that's attached -- that was attached and sent to --20 2.1 No. He is just referring to the 22 agenda on the 11th, is how I read it, from what 23 I remember, you know, just asked him to send his

Q. But the list of attachments includes

qualifications.

24

business agenda items for the 11th, which was -if I recall -- yeah, it was the changing the
policy, which would allow you to terminate Stock
and Leader without the previous notice
provisions and also his appointment.

Did he prepare that?

- A. Well, obviously -- I mean, it appears that he just is presenting information, his information sheet about Tucker Arensberg, information sheet on Mr. Hall, potentially what an engagement letter would look like.
- Q. Right. Also, the fourth one there is business agenda items for the April 11 meeting.
- A. Yeah. I just see, like, the -- I don't see, like, the detailed part of each attachment. I don't see the attachment for them.
- Q. Well, my question is, the e-mail says attached business agenda items, and that is one of the items that is listed.
- To your recollection, did Attorney Hall prepare that?
- A. He didn't do the business agenda.
- Q. Who did?
- 25 A. Mr. -- Dr. Stoltz and myself. It was

2.1

2.4

the school district. I don't know if his secretary -- like, I don't know, like, how the sausage is made behind the scenes. They just put an agenda together, then I asked Dr. Stoltz to put it on the agenda.

- Q. Who informed you that the policy change needed to be on the agenda in order to be able to terminate Stock and Leader and hire Tucker Arensberg that evening?
- A. Umm, I don't really recall, honestly.

  I don't remember if Kelly and I spoke about it

  or not.
- Q. Is that anything you spoke with about Attorney Hall?
  - A. No.

2.1

- Q. Other than saying that he could reach out as a courtesy, did he -- did you discuss any other -- anything else with Kevin Hall about handling the solicitor transition?
  - A. I don't recall.
- Q. All right. You indicated you did -you also personally told Attorney Say that she
  did not need to attend either the April 11
  meeting or the agenda preparation meeting ahead
  of that, correct?

- A. I did, yes.
- Q. All right. What specifically did you tell her?
- A. I won't need -- I don't remember the exact words. It was fairly short and direct.

  That we were hope -- going to do an agenda 11 item (sic) and I didn't need her to come. I honestly don't recall the exact words. But it was pretty short and to the point.
- Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

15

16

17

18

22

- A. Or direct, I should say.
- Q. So, but, if you didn't know if Tucker
  Arensberg was, in fact, going to be appointed,
  why would she not need to be there?
  - A. I just -- I'll go back to the professional courtesy and what was recommended by Mr. Hall. Other than that, I don't have any other conversation to add to that.
- Q. So, did it occur to you that then if the vote didn't go through, you wouldn't have a solicitor at that meeting?
  - A. That would be correct.
  - Q. Why would you want that situation?
- A. Umm, I would figure it out. We would work on figuring out what the next step would

```
be. I mean, I -- I don't really know the
1
2
   question -- the answer to that question. Not
3
   everything goes through in a nine-oh, five-four,
    four-five, seven-three. So, at this point it
4
5
   was a journey going, flowing, and we would cross
6
   that bridge when we got there.
7
              MR. BONN: Can we take a break?
              THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I drank all
8
9
   this water.
10
              MR. ELLIOTT: If you need a minute,
11
   that is okay.
12
               THE WITNESS: I apologize.
13
               (A recess was taken from 10:41 a.m.
   until 10:44 a.m.)
14
    BY MR. ELLIOTT:
15
16
              So, the first time that -- to your
   knowledge, the first time that either Adam
17
18
   Trone, Brian Guistwhite, Christopher Kambic, or
19
   Abigail Tierney knew that Kevin Hall was being
   considered as the solicitor was when it was
20
2.1
   placed on the agenda for the April 11 meeting,
22
   correct?
23
          Α.
              Well, I called them to tell them it
24
   was going to be on that Friday.
25
              All right. So, you called them -- do
          0.
```

```
you remember what day you called them?
1
               I think Friday.
2
3
          Q.
               That was April 5?
               I believe I called them.
4
               Okay. So, that phone call was the
5
          Q.
6
    first any of them knew --
7
              About Kevin Hall, correct.
          Α.
              -- about Kevin Hall?
8
          Q.
9
          Α.
               That's correct.
10
               There was any chance of --
          Q.
11
          Α.
               Yes, sir.
12
               What was -- it sounded like you said
          Q.
   Adam Trone had a fairly strong reaction. What
13
14
   did he say?
          A. He probably called me a fucking
15
              I don't know. Something absurd.
16
   asshole.
17
   Nothing different than he usually always speaks
18
   to me. And I just told him to have a nice
19
   weekend and hung up.
20
          Q. All right.
2.1
               I apologize for --
22
               No, it is okay to be accurate.
23
   can have the unvarnished statement.
24
               So, you had spoken earlier about there
25
   is sort of a chilling effect in some of the
```

meetings and executive sessions. Is that fair to say that not all the members -- board members were getting along well?

- A. Yes, sir. That would be correct.
- Q. So, did it -- did you think about how putting this item -- something as fundamental as selecting the solicitor would be, what effect that would have when you put that on the agenda and four of the members had no prior knowledge of that?
  - A. What was your question?
- Q. Did you think about what kind of effect that would have, putting an item as important as the solicitor on the agenda with four of the members having no prior knowledge that was going to happen?
- A. Umm, I would make the assumption -- I can't think for Abby, Adam, Brian, or Chris -- based on past behaviors that I had observed,
  Mrs. Tierney, Mr. Kambic, Mr. Guistwhite, and
  Mr. Trone, had venomous disregard or dislike of
  me. Maybe venomous is not the word. They just
  didn't like me.
- So, in regard to your question, I am kind of thinking -- like, I don't -- I didn't

2.1

think I could have said that we got a million-dollar grant and there would have been some controversy. Darned if you do, darned if you don't. Like, I felt pretty much pinched in-between that with any of the four board members.

I recollect when I was fairly elected, along with Mr. Brinton, Mrs. Davis, Mrs. Cox, and Mr. -- or Mr. -- Mr. and Mrs. Brent, I recall walking into the executive session on a Thursday, election day was Tuesday. Nobody spoke to me. Not one person spoke to me after I had already served two years. And I sat down next to Mr. Butt -- or Dr. Butt and said hello. And the only person that spoke to me after about 10 minutes -- because we all sit and we eat and have conversation, then we go over agendas in executive session.

Dr. Argot came over and said congratulations, Mrs. Thomas, and I said thank you. Nobody spoke to me. They all stood in a corner, almost as juvenile as middle school is, talking amongst themselves.

The second meeting I walked in, the same damn -- the same icy, chilly reception that

2.1

I had received the week before was the same. 1 2 Mr. Guistwhite never congratulated me. Mr. Kambic never congratulated me. Mrs. Tierney --3 Mr. Stoltenburg, Mrs. Moyer, they all went and 4 5 stood again, from what I recollect. I sat with Dr. Butt again. We talked small talk about our 6 7 children and about the food that we were eating. And Mrs. Crocenzi did come over and shook my 8 hand and congratulated me and said, you know, I think you'll do a good job. And that was it. 10 11 So, the answer to your question, I was 12 used to constantly being bullied there. So, it didn't cross my mind to know how Mr. Kambic, Mr. 13 14 Trone, Mr. Guistwhite, and Mrs. Tierney was 15 going to receive what I did, because they never well received anything I did. So, this was 16 17 going to be another, you know, target on my 18 back, per se. 19 Did that answer your question? 20 Q. Yeah, I think so. But -- and to 2.1 follow-up on that. Prior to you informing them 22 of the agenda item though, their understanding 23 would have been that there was an RFP process 24 ongoing that was going to select the next

solicitor, right?

- A. Correct. And Mrs. Brent had stopped that process.
- Q. Right. Well, she -- my understanding from previous depositions is that Ms. Brent came to the conclusion that she was going to stop that process. I think she told you that.
  - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. But to your knowledge, did she tell anyone else, either on that committee or anyone else in the board other than you, that the RFP process was not going to go forward?
- A. I don't -- I don't know if she spoke
  to anyone else on -- on that fact. I don't
  know.
  - Q. Prior to April 11, there was never any discussion in a public meeting that the RFP committee was being abandoned or stopped in any way?
    - A. I don't recall that, no.
  - Q. So, after you called the -- those other members to tell them about the agenda item on or about April 5, it was placed on the agenda. And, also, the e-mail exchange between Attorney Say and Attorney Hall was given or shared with all the board members, correct?

- A. I don't know who else. If they blind copied, I don't know 100 percent the answer.
- Q. Do you remember discussing with any of the board members the fact that Attorney Hall had apparently contacted Attorney Say and told her that, in effect, he knew he was going to be appointed? Did any of the -- what we call the minority board members, the four who voted against Kevin Hall -- did any of them complain to you or suggest that we are going about this the wrong way, or make any kind of complaint to you?
- A. Not that I can remember. I think the conversation with Chris was thank you for the information. And Adam Trone just yelled. And I think I left a message for Abby. I don't remember if anybody contacted me after then.
  - Q. All right.
- A. They could have and I just am not recalling it.
  - Q. All right.
  - A. I apologize.
- Q. And do you agree that the agenda item and the fact that Tucker Arensberg was going to be an agenda item for the meeting, that became

2.1

public knowledge and there were -- and the board 1 received electronic communications from members 2 3 of the public about that subject, correct? There was electronic communications 4 Α. 5 for sure. Am I allowed to say through the 6 discovery process about Mrs. Tierney? 7 MR. BONN: Yeah. 8 THE WITNESS: It has come to my 9 knowledge now that through discovery that Mrs. 10 Tierney had tipped off the Northern York County Democratic Club and sent an e-mail to them 11 12 making the statement, you know, to come to this 13 meeting wear yellow or orange with a flashlight. 14 The assumption that they were assuming was Sunshine Law violation. According -- I don't 15 16 know if we have that paperwork from Mrs. Tierney 17 making it knowledgeable. 18 MR. BONN: It was turned over in 19 discovery. I don't think I have a copy of it with me. 20 2.1 MR. ELLIOTT: If we take a break -- if we are going to talk about it, we may as well 22 23 refer to that and mark it as an exhibit. If you 24 give me a minute.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

MR. ELLIOTT: I think I can track that 1 2 down. 3 (Discussion off the record.) 4 (The record was read as requested.) 5 BY MR. ELLIOTT: 6 I had asked about the fact that the 7 public being aware this was going to happen at the April 11 meeting. And you -- initially I 8 was asking about the various e-mails, electronic 10 correspondence that came into the board in the 11 couple days leading up to that meeting. 12 And my understanding is those were forwarded to the board, and I was wondering if 13 14 you had a chance to see those and read them 15 prior to the meeting. 16 Α. Yes, sir. 17 Okay. Is there something you wanted 18 to say -- we started talking about an e-mail Ms. 19 Tierney had sent. Was there anything you wanted 20 to say about that in response to this issue? 2.1 Well, I didn't -- I wasn't aware of Α. 22 Mrs. Tierney doing that prior to the meeting. 23 And usually the Wednesday before is when the 2.4 public sees the agenda. 25 So, usually that Wednesday before a

board meeting we'll get numerous e-mails if the public is unhappy about something or they have their opinion, which they rightfully do.

So, I am not sure what day they came looking back, but it was just -- I was kind of, like, how is this all happening? There must be somebody telling the public what's going on prior to the public being privy to it.

So, that was -- I don't mind the e-mails. I read all of them. It just was a numerous amount of e-mails. And now that I have become aware of Mrs. Tierney tipping off the Northern York Democratic Club, you know, hindsight is, like, oh, so that is why there were so -- it was so well orchestrated. It was almost as if somebody said to a room of 50, here is an e-mail, send it out and flood them, is my opinion.

Q. All right. And just -- I'll show you what was marked as Brent No. 7. I think these are the minutes from the April 11 meeting. And after you have had a moment to look at it, would you agree that there is a list -- like, a summary of people who wrote in prior to the meeting and the concerns that they expressed?

2.1

```
And those are all listed on -- within the
1
2
   minutes.
3
              Okay. I looked at that.
          Α.
              MR. BONN: Are you ready to answer the
 4
5
   question?
6
              THE WITNESS:
                            Gosh, I apologize.
7
   was the question again?
8
              MR. ELLIOTT: I'll -- whatever it was,
   I'll ask a new one.
10
   BY MR. ELLIOTT:
11
          Ο.
             You have had a chance to look over
   that document?
12
13
             Yes.
          Α.
              Page 331 through 342, am I correct
14
   there is an alphabetical listing of people who
15
16
   shared written comments with the board prior to
   the April 11 meeting, and each of them indicate
17
18
   that these comments were shared with the board
19
   in advance of the meeting?
20
          A. Correct.
2.1
          Q. Okay. And then on Page 342 it starts
   over with the A's. From there forward, those
22
23
   are the people who spoke in person at the
   meeting, correct?
24
25
          A. Correct.
```

Q. There is a substantial number of ones that indicate that they were shared with the board in advance of the meeting.

Were all of those comments forwarded along to you beforehand?

- A. Yes. Dr. Argot does that, as long as he can verify address is correct and that they are residents of the school district.
- Q. So, did you -- were you able to read those in advance of the meeting?
  - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And is it fair to say that the vast majority of those expressed concern with the manner in which it appeared the solicitor was being selected?
  - A. That was their opinion.
  - Q. Right.
    - A. Correct.
- Q. Some of them indicated that they thought there might be a violation of the Sunshine Act. Is it fair to say some of those expressed that opinion?
  - A. It appears that is their opinion.
- Q. Whether you agree with it or not, there were a number of people expressing that

opinion, correct?

2.1

- A. That opinion, correct. Their assumption.
- Q. All right. And at that point some of the other board members had also expressed that same concern, that this might violate the Sunshine Act, correct?
- A. I honestly didn't go back and read or listen to the meeting to hear their exact words. I don't recall if they said this was a violation of the Sunshine law. If you are making that statement --
- Q. I am saying prior to the meeting, had any of the -- either in an e-mail or call to you or in executive -- not in executive session.

  But had anyone expressed -- any of the board members expressed to you that not just they didn't agree with hiring a solicitor, but they thought the way you were going about it violated the Sunshine Act?
- A. I don't recall them specifically saying that.
- Q. I think there was an article in the York Dispatch at least a day or two prior to the meeting, I think, along the lines of the e-mail

you referenced with regard to Abby Tierney alerting the Democratic Club.

2.1

2.4

Did you happen to see that article prior to the meeting?

- A. No, sir. I don't subscribe to the York Dispatch.
- Q. Had you heard about it? Did anybody tell you that there was an article in the paper about the upcoming agenda item?
- A. I don't recall. I honestly don't recall if they said there was an article. Maybe they did. I don't know. There was always so many moving parts. I don't recall specifically. It wasn't, like, printed out and handed to me.

I mean, I had been receiving -- one of the things that became very clear to me when I became president is Mrs. Rhonda Fourhman was very good friends with Mrs. Say. And one of the times I walked out from executive session and Fox 43 was there and it came to my knowledge Mrs. Fourhman's husband worked for Fox 43. And when I asked Ms. Say, I am, like, why is the news here, and she said, oh, I have no idea. Then I found out, you know, after the fact.

So, my dad always had a saying, if it

smelled like shit, it was always shit. And there was a lot of sneaky things that were happening that I would see from the inside that maybe were, quote on quote, coincidental, but it came out in the end that Mrs. Fourhman was calling Fox 43. Mrs. Fourhman was -- with the connection with her husband working there, we had Fox 43 there. You know, the newspapers were there.

I had no idea who was, you know, drumming up this business to come to disrupt the meetings. I can make assumptions.

With that type of destained -- maybe, like, their -- I don't think they hate me. Do you know what I am saying? Like, I don't think the other board members hate me. They maybe just don't like me. And I think they were trying to make it as most difficult for me. Looking back, how to make it rougher for me.

Certainly nobody rolled out a welcome mat and said this is the best way to run a public meeting. And I was kind of on my own.

With that being said, reading those comments, that is their opinion of me. And I cannot think for those people and I can't think

2.1

for their ideations of why they have such dislike of me. I don't think it is -- I am not taking it personal.

- Q. Right. Did seeing that volume of public comment beforehand, did any of that give you pause that maybe this wasn't proceeding in the proper fashion?
- A. I think you could -- no. The answer would be no to that. Because I think you could go back to January, February, March, and probably all the way up to the entire time that I was president that somebody didn't have some comment to say that they didn't like me for what I did.
  - So, we could probably pull up February and there was probably numerous comments. I read them, I digest them, and I move forward to what I felt was on the agenda. And we were going to have open discussion on it. And how it played out, we saw it was a very long meeting. And here we sit getting deposed -- you know, getting my deposition for this.
  - Q. Prior to taking the vote at the April
    11 meeting, did you receive any information or
    have any concern that the way you were going

2.1

about this violated the Sunshine Act?

2.1

- A. No. No, sir. Because we didn't deliberate. We deliberated in that public meeting.
- Q. So, are you saying other than the -putting aside the electronic comments that came
  in, no one else told you that there could be
  potential problem with the Sunshine Act in the
  way this was --
- A. Not in the way I knew it, no, sir. I believe you would have to have a separate meeting and meet outside of a regular meeting.

  We never did that. We, meaning Mrs. Cox, Mrs.

  Davis, Mr. Brinton, Mrs. Brent, and myself.
- Q. All right. Is that the only way that the Sunshine Act could have been violated, is -- in your mind is if you had an actual meeting outside of the public meeting?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. What about if board members confirmed how they were going to vote in a phone call? Would that violate the Sunshine Act to your knowledge?
- A. If they decided that and told me that.

  I would never ask them that. I have never asked

them that. I don't think -- according to what I know of the Sunshine law, you do not poll -- as the president, you don't poll them how they are going to vote. That would be a violation.

Mrs. Say, I believe, in that training -- obviously we are allowed to talk to each other and fact find. But never say, oh, you know, how are you going to vote on that. You know, I am just calling you for information, do you have any questions.

Like I said, I have always told the board members -- the newer board members, because we were not, in my opinion, a welcome mat, it was very icy. When the new majority came on, I would just encourage them to have a conversation with Dr. Stoltz, look at the agenda, go through the attachments, reach out to the administration, read the board notes. And I felt it was important that if they did ask a question to Dr. Stoltz, it was better in an e-mail format so that Dr. Stoltz could have an answer.

If that question was asked in public, like I said, I was told from the beginning of my time there that no surprises -- I am putting it

2.1

in quotes -- Mrs. Moyer would say. And in the beginning of my tenure there, I kind of found -- that was to me, I was, like, that seems a little bit underhanded. I think the public should know or try to make sure that Dr. Stoltz looks prepared, you know, which he generally is.

So, I never asked anybody their vote. I always did what Mr. Guistwhite and Mrs. Moyer told me in the beginning, vote your conscience and know that your vote is not always going to be popular, but do what you feel is best.

- Q. Okay. So, you didn't poll. But did you have an idea of the reaction that the other members who spoke to Kevin Hall had with him?
- A. I would not be privy to their conversations with him.
- Q. Did any of them -- did any of them tell you that they had a positive impression of Kevin Hall?
  - A. I never asked that question.
- Q. Well, I didn't ask that. Did any of them tell you that, whether it was unsolicited?
  - A. No, no.
    - Q. You said you --
- 25 A. Not that I recall.

2.1

Q. You said though that you were going forward with this, with sort of not going through the RFP and putting Kevin Hall on the agenda because you trusted Kelly Brent.

Was that your testimony?

A. Yes, sir.

2.1

- Q. So, you were at least aware that she was in favor of and trusted that Kevin Hall would be a good solicitor?
  - A. I trusted Kelly to know that, yeah.
- Q. All right. Do you know if Kelly Brent had any similar conversations with any of the other board members?
  - A. I wouldn't be aware of that, no, sir.
- Q. But -- and you would not have put this on the agenda if Kelly Brent didn't think that Kevin Hall would be an appropriate solicitor?
- A. I trusted her.
- Q. All right. And just to be clear, you don't see an inherent contradiction between saying no action was taken before April 11, but yet Attorney Hall seemed to know that he was going to be appointed and asked, say, to start transitioning files prior to the 11th?
  - A. I was not aware of that conversation

- in that Mr. Hall implying that I had. I had nothing to do with Mr. Hall's e-mail.
  - Q. Right. But you had seen that e-mail before the 11th, right?
    - A. Yeah.

2.1

- Q. Obviously a lot of the people who were writing in knew about that, also?
  - A. Thank you to Mrs. Tierney.
- Q. So, to go back to, you know, the saying that if something smells like shit, that is because there is shit, do you understand why people were feeling that and had that opinion?
  - A. They could be entitled to that, yes.
- Q. So, why -- given that kind of sentiment, why didn't -- why didn't you postpone the vote to allow the other members to interview Kevin Hall, or maybe even suggest other potential solicitors, or revisit the RFP process?
- Why didn't you -- given all the -- all of this public pushback, why did you proceed with a vote that evening and not postpone it for at least a month?
- A. Based on what Mrs. Brent had said how the RFP process was going, led me to believe

that this was the best way -- best path forward. Because she said it was -- I was not a part of the RFP process, but she said it was just not going anywhere.

- All right. But even if you didn't use an RFP process, why did you refuse the pleas to consider other potential solicitors?
- I mean, not that what they were saying Α. didn't mean anything to me. We just knew -those folks in my opinion didn't know what was really going on and how the administration was not allowing us to kind of move forward, you know, that they -- the administration had demonstrated in a public meeting that they were willing to throw me under the bus in a public statement, and I am referring to the Byrnes education that Dr. Stoltz had written.

You know, the news showing up. know, the outcry of the people that were coming. Kelly said the RFP process was just not going anywhere. And she just didn't feel -- we have got to move forward, let's put it on the agenda. So, I trusted her to go forward with that.

- 23
- So, even though -- for instance, in 24 Q. 25 Mr. Guistwhite's deposition, he indicated that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- the RFP was on schedule to be presented as they
  laid out in the schedule, you didn't trust that?
  Or did you even get his opinion?
  - A. I didn't even get his opinion. We did not speak.
  - Q. Okay. So, you just took everything that Kelly told you at face value and trusted her?
    - A. Yes, sir.

4

5

6

7

8

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. When you voted for -- to appoint Kevin
  Hall and Tucker Arensberg, did you know what
  their fees were going to be?
- A. I believe Mr. Hall had put the fees on this information sheet. I don't recall exactly.

  But I think Mrs. Brent said they were less than

  Mrs. Say -- Stock and Leader.
- Q. Do you know if that -- when you say less, is that, like, an hourly rate or --
  - A. Correct.
    - Q. Do you know if that is, in fact, true?

      If their hourly rate is less?
  - A. I would have to see both of their information sheets to say that for certain.
  - Q. Did you have any concern that because Kevin Hall did not have any prior experience as

a solicitor, that it may take more attorneys than it would Stock and Leader to accomplish the same tasks, or there might be repetition of effort?

- A. Can you ask that one more time? I apologize.
- Q. Irrespective of what the hourly rate is for any attorneys, did you have any concerns that there might be either repetition of efforts on behalf of the attorneys because Kevin Hall had no previous experience as a solicitor and he might need help getting up to speed on education law?
- A. I don't believe so, because they had different people in their practice that would assist. So, I didn't think that was going to be of issue.

I am not -- I am not a lawyer, so I don't really know how these things work. But I knew there was a team at Stock and Leader, and I knew Mr. Hall was bringing that same type of team. And I felt based on the information presented, his firm was going to be able to go dollar for dollar and be able to produce the same quality of work for the same quantity, per

2.1

se.

2.1

Q. You indicated -- one of the -- you said one of the -- the impetus behind this was Attorney Say was not representing the majority of the board.

What gave you confidence that Kevin Hall was going to represent the majority of the board?

A. Umm, he appeared to be more objective. And the lawsuit that the school district found themselves in -- I don't know the year, it might have been 2022, against parental rights, there was some things said in executive session -- obviously I can't say, but there was some definitely undercurrents of did Mrs. Say really represent the school district well and how that outcome of that lawsuit was.

So, that was my history with her.

- Q. Just before I forget, to be clear, when you are saying the lawsuit, is that referring to a parent who wanted to keep their child out of the Character Strong program?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And there was some question about whether they could actually identify a religious

reason to justify that? Was that the issue? Or what is your understanding of the issue behind that lawsuit?

A. The understanding that -- I want to say it is, like, the Pennsylvania Code gives the right to a parent to opt a child out, and the school district didn't follow evaluate properly, that they were found actually liable for not following the law.

The program was a social-emotional program learning. The parent wanted them out. A parent doesn't have to prove what part of, like, a specific sentence from what I understand. There were inconsistencies that were allowed by administration.

And I believe one of the meetings, you know, there was the understanding as board members, because we are kind of not in the loop, but out of the loop, per se, we get a legal update. And it seemed, like, yeah, we are going to win this. Then the next meeting would come back and Ms. Say would be, like, well, they went through discovery and they found some inconsistencies. And there were some bad things administration did.

2.1

So, I had that history with Ms. Say and kind of wondering, you know, was she being objective, or was there trying to cover up one of the administration's boo boos.

- Q. All right. My recollection at one of the public meetings, Mrs. Tierney said that case settled, not there was, like -- not that it went to trial, there was a finding of liability?
  - A. Right.

2.1

2.4

- O. Is that correct?
- A. I believe it settled and the school district paid the fees for the attorney and something to the families for wrongdoing.
- Q. Okay. So, how was -- you said
  Attorney Hall seemed like he was going to be
  more objective.

In what way did you feel he was going to be more objective?

A. I felt that he would probably be more forthcoming and keep us out of predicaments like that, where maybe she was more emotionally charged to it, in my opinion, based on my observations of Ms. Say and the Character Strong lawsuit.

And then the public statement that she

wrote up -- I believe she wrote it up for Dr.

Stoltz. And her close relationship with Rhonda

Fourhman, with that connection to Fox 43. She

didn't seem objective.

- Q. Are you claiming that Brooke Say wrote the statement that Dr. Stoltz said about with regard to the Byrnes center?
- A. There was two statements written and Todd -- Dr. Stoltz decided to read one of the two. And I kind of think Ms. Say had written them, or she looked over them. I don't remember the total because there was so much commotion in the executive session when we were leaving.

You know, I think I read them, and I was, like, this is bull hooky. This is not what happened. Tell me with the lack of decorum, you weren't even in the meeting. And he is, like, I am doing it, and out he walked.

- Q. Okay. Did you -- prior to the April 11 meeting, did you speak to any members of the public about Kevin Hall or the fact he might be coming up on the agenda to be considered for solicitor?
  - A. I don't recall that I did.
  - Q. Let me show you an e-mail. This was

2.1

2.4

```
marked as Brent 10 we looked at previously.
1
2
    This is an e-mail exchange between Christopher
3
   Kambic and Thaddeus Eisenhower, which there is
   one that starts on April 8 and there is
4
    follow-up on April 10, I believe.
5
6
               Take a minute to look over this, then
    let me know if you have ever seen this before.
7
8
               I have never seen this before.
          Α.
9
               Okay.
                      Were you aware that Mr.
          Q.
10
   Eisenhower had contact with Mr. Kambic prior to
11
   the April 11 meeting?
12
          Α.
               No.
              Do you know who Thaddeus Eisenhower
13
          Q.
14
   is?
               I knew his father and his parents.
15
                                                     Му
   mom and dad knew his parents. They owned
16
17
    Gullifty's Restaurant, and they did church
18
   things. I have heard of Thad. I couldn't pick
19
   him up out of a line-up.
20
          Q.
              Okay.
2.1
               I don't -- do you want me to read
          Α.
22
   this?
23
          Q.
               No, you don't have to read anything.
24
   My --
25
              This is interesting.
          Α.
```

- Q. So, do you have any idea how Mr. Eisenhower found out that Kevin Hall was being considered as the solicitor, or any of the background information he seems to have on Mr. Hall?
- A. Does it spell it out here? I have no clue.
- Q. I am just wondering, he mentioned -you know, there is mention in here about him
  talking about Mr. Hall's background in the
  community. I am just wondering if you know how
  he came about that information prior to the --
  - A. I have no idea. No idea, sir.
- Q. All right. Next I'll show you what we marked as Brent 11. This is an excerpt from the answer and new matter that the district filed to the complaint.
- Did you participate in forming the response to the complaint?
- A. Did I write this? Is that what you are asking?
- Q. Not that you wrote it. But did you have input or participate in determining what the response was going to be to the allegations in the complaint?

2.1

- A. I believe that is the lawyer's job to do that. He probably -- I am assuming took our input and made it a legal document. Am I saying that right? I would assume.
- Q. I am asking you what your understanding of how that came about. So, that is fine.

No. 54 specifically says, upon information and belief, plaintiffs Stephen

Beaver, Leah Cooper, Amy Elliott, John Elliott,

Jeff Harmon, Tara High, Katherine Jan Jarrett,

Jesse Sayre, and Jane Vivier have brought this lawsuit for the illegitimate means of imposing their political agenda on the West Shore School District, regardless of how the majority of the duly-elected board members voted.

Do you agree with that statement?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Why? What is your basis for agreeing with that?
- A. Well, Ms. High at the primary, I was working the primary at a church on Red Mill. It might be Yocumtown Church of God. It is a polling area.

And in my opinion, as I was handing

out polling cards, I heard Ms. High say, oh, I am surprised to see you out here in the sunshine, seeing that you are a vampire. And the girl that was sitting to my left said, what did she just say to you? I said, she is accusing me that I am a vampire.

Mr. Dan Almoney, who is member at large of the Northern York Democratic Club, Mr. Almoney, I was walking my dog with my friend who is German and is a dog lover and very interested in other people's dogs, and said to this gentleman walking, who I did not recognize, oh, what a beautiful dog you have, what kind of dog do you have? And the dogs were, you know, tethered apart.

And he said I have a GSP, and she says what is a GSP. And, so, they are -- my friend and Mr. Almoney -- Dan, I don't know how to pronounce -- Almoney, I think. Or Almoney. He said, oh, this is his dog and then he looked at me and said, oh, I am surprised you are out here in the sunshine. And I said, excuse me? I don't know you. And he said, oh, you know me. You are a vampire. You don't come out in the sun. And I felt very threatened by that. He

2.1

did that prior to Mrs. High, so obviously the two of them spoke.

There is a new group called United for West Shore that I believe Mr. Marvin Beshore, who had -- I saw his name on this April 11 documentation, I saw Sam Andes' name, Lisa Budwig, I believe they are on this United West Shore. I know Mr. -- I know Mr. Harmon is on that group as a treasurer. Joei Askey, her comments are here on this April 11 board. And Mr. Beaver is one of the plaintiffs.

Tara High on a lot of the Facebook
group has, you know, she was in my region. She
ran on a ticket with Mrs. Crocenzi on the
Democratic ticket, and I and Mrs. Cox were on
the Republican ticket. And we won fairly.

These people -- Tara High comes to every meeting. I don't believe she has ever had a conversation with me, as I her, because it doesn't seem like we would have much to talk about.

I, in my opinion, feel that those types of behaviors are unbecoming of an adult to accuse me of being a vampire. I think they are inappropriate. And Mr. Almoney ran on the 92nd

2.1

ticket and lost to Mr. Anderson. And I just was
a bit disturbed that being called a vampire for

-- because I don't know how to be in the sun.

And I was at a polling facility when that
happened. So, that is political. I was handing
out polling cards, she was working for the

Northern York Democratic Party.

- Q. All right. You agree that Dan Almoney is not a plaintiff in this case? You are aware of that, right?
  - A. Correct. Yes, sir.
- Q. And you -- obviously you stated Tara High, you have the incident with her. Obviously she has a different political view.

How does that translate into the lawsuit itself being brought for illegitimate means?

A. Well, it wasn't like she ever shook my hand and said, hey, you ran a great campaign, I am sorry I lost to you. That would be how an adult would handle it, I believe.

She was at a polling place. We obviously don't have the same ideology. This is a Northern York Democratic Party formed as a progressive agenda party, which contradicts what

2.1

my -- what I ran on.

2.1

Why else would somebody do this,
except for trying to derail any type of
productive success for my team -- this part -the team that got elected to succeed this year.
We have been in the spotlight since this has
been brought up. It is going to be an expensive
venture.

I think it just -- it was a fair win and a fair -- I feel this is based on a couple of those examples I gave you that this was politically motivated because it would be easier just to keep everything dirty and dusty and not allow us to get back to the fundamentals of what the Pennsylvania School Board Association says keeping the children as your No. 1 factor in what we think of. And looking at our poor scores the school district has, we have just not been able to do much of the work that I feel that my constituents wanted me to do.

Q. Okay. I think you have expressed how there is -- how Tara High has a different political view than you. Do you have any evidence that the motive of any of the plaintiffs is not actually enforcing the

Sunshine Act, but solely to disrupt your political agenda?

2.1

- A. What was your question on that? So --
- Q. You recognize there is a difference between -- let me back-up.

The allegation of the complaint is that the board violated the Sunshine Act. You recognize there is a difference between whether that is ultimately found incorrect versus the reason the suit is brought has nothing to do with the Sunshine Act, but is for some improper purpose.

Do you understand the legal distinction between those two things?

A. I do to a point. None of these -these people don't come to these meetings to say
anything positive. It is always negative. I
would make that -- I would stand by that, that
we fairly won. Fred Stoltenburg lost, Sheri
Moyer lost. She was on this -- she was here on
this April 11. So was Tony -- I forget his
name. Tony Kapranos. He also lost. Judy
Crocenzi was on that, she lost.

Those are the facts. They lost their race. Heidi Thomas won, Brenda Cox won, Kelly

Brent won, Mandy Davis won, David Brinton won against all of them. And what Mr. Hall said, I had nothing to do with writing that e-mail.

There is an allegation. I don't think the allegation is true. We never did go behind closed doors and make a decision prior to going out to that meeting.

I never poll the board. I, as president, cannot manipulate and force somebody to do something. In my opinion, it feels as if these people are upset they lost their race and they have done everything to make our first year very unpleasant. And they have succeeded at that.

- Q. Most of the people you just mentioned are not plaintiffs in this suit. You acknowledge that, correct?
- A. Correct. But there is another group called United -- would you be able to ask your plaintiff -- your -- your -- Mr. Harmon, who is in this room, would you be able to ask him the name of what the group is called? Because I don't know the name of it. But I recognize the names because I have gotten e-mails from this group, and it is not favorable to the

2.1

conservative board members.

2.1

- Q. Are you talking about West Shore Unified or United?
  - A. Yes, that is it, sir. Thank you.
- Q. Are you -- they are not named as a plaintiff, either. You understand, correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. All right. If --
- A. I believe they all come together, because a lot of these names, Jane, Lisa, Sam, Marvin, those names are on West Shore United that have sent me e-mails one way or another having to do with a separate situation with Adam Trone.
- Q. Okay. So, how -- let me ask you then, what -- other than disagreeing with you politically, do you have any evidence that any of the plaintiff's motive for this case is specifically for an improper -- is for an improper purpose?
  - A. Why would Mrs. High call me a vampire?
- Q. Well, if she thought you violated the Sunshine Act, which is the purpose of the lawsuit, why is -- even if she did it in a way that you don't think appropriate for an adult,

and, you know, is a bad way to go about it, the allegations are related to violation of the Sunshine Act.

What evidence do you have that is not really the purpose of the lawsuit?

- A. I think it is -- I think it is outreaching tentacles touch, this lawsuit, based on the e-mails, the names. They all have similar fundamentals.
- I know Mr. Almoney is not part of the lawsuit. But why would Mr. Almoney say the same thing Tara High is saying to me and he is a member at large of the Northern York Democratic Committee? It says on their website.
- Q. So, is it fair to say then your belief is based because these -- some of these people disagree with you and are members of groups that are politically active, but that is their own possible motivation, is politics?
  - A. That would be correct.
- Q. Other than that belief, are you aware of any direct evidence that any of the specifically named plaintiffs have brought this case for an improper purpose?
  - A. It would be in my opinion to state,

2.1

yes, I believe they did bring this for improper violation. I believe the evidence shows if you went back and polled every one of January,
February, March, April, May, June, July, August,
September, October -- well, we don't have a
meeting in July. But you would see some of
those names on every public comment and in
attendance to every meeting. And that is their
right. That is their right. I agree to that.

Q. Right. But you seem to be saying that expressing disagreement with your actions or votes as a board member means that there is no other possible motivation somebody could have other than a political motivation to disrupt what you are doing.

Is that what you are saying?

- A. I would agree to that. Yes, sir.
- Q. And that is the basis of your opinion that --
  - A. Yes, sir.

2.1

Q. -- it is politically motivated?

So, I think maybe you sort of implicitly answered this question.

As part of the supplemental discovery we were sent a video of you showing Dan

Almoney's Facebook friends list. 1 2 Do you remember doing that? 3 Yes, sir. Α. 4 Ο. Okay. 5 Α. To the attorney? 6 Right. Q. 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. That was presented to us through 9 discovery. So, just -- I think I can anticipate 10 this. But what is the relation of Dan Almoney's 11 Facebook friends list to this case, if any? 12 Because Dan Almoney called me a Α. vampire and said the same -- along the same line 13 14 that Tara Oberlin High said to me in a political primary setting. And a lot of their friends --15 16 his friends coincide with the names that we see 17 on this April 11 or -- Dan has come to the 18 He was very threatening to me. meetings. 19 And I think it is relevant -- like, I 20 just don't understand the value in calling me 2.1 that. You know, that is derogatory and 22 insulting. I think it is relevant who he 23 associates with, is who adamantly despises me by 24 the derogatory comments that he has stated,

along with Ms. High.

```
Other than Ms. High, have any of the
      Q.
other named plaintiffs ever done anything that
you would consider insulting or inappropriate
behavior toward you?
          I don't really have any interaction
with any of those people. I see them -- as
president, you kind of look out and see who is
sitting there. But those are the two -- two
items that I remember being specifically stated
to me.
          MR. ELLIOTT: Why don't we take break
for just a minute. I think that might be it.
want to confer with Jeff.
           (A recess was taken from 12:08 p.m.
until 12:12 p.m.)
           (Thomas Exhibit 1 marked for
identification.)
BY MR. ELLIOTT:
          I'll show you what we marked as Thomas
1.
    Take a moment to look at this, then let me
know if you recognize it.
      Α.
          The attorney shared this with me the
other day.
      Q. Is this -- it is the main portion of
this is -- it looks like it is an e-mail from
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

```
Abby Tierney dated April 9, 2024.
1
               Is this the e-mail we were talking
2
3
   about earlier in the deposition with regard to,
   as you described it, Abby sort of tipping off
4
   the public?
5
6
          Α.
              Yes, sir.
7
          Ο.
               This is the e-mail we were referring
   to?
8
9
          Α.
              Yes.
10
               MR. ELLIOTT: I don't think I have any
11
   other questions about it.
12
               MR. BONN: Just so you know, John,
   there was another version of this e-mail that
13
14
   was distributed to the Northern York Democratic
15
   Party.
16
               MR. ELLIOTT: It looked like this
   appeared several times within the document.
17
18
               I just wanted to get -- but to the
19
   best --
20
               MR. BONN: This is the --
2.1
    BY MR. ELLIOTT:
22
          Q.
               To the best of your knowledge, this is
23
   at least this is what Ms. Tierney wrote, that
   this was an accurate copy of the e-mail to the
24
25
   best of your knowledge, right?
```

Yes, sir. Can I say something? 1 Α. 2 Sure, go ahead. Q. 3 Usually -- if that is on the ninth and Α. the meeting was the 11th, people wouldn't be 4 5 privy to anything unless a board member told them until the 10th. 6 So --7 You are indicating for a meeting on Ο. the 11th, the agenda would not normally come out 8 until the 10th. Is that what you are saying? 10 Yes. For the public to see. So, apparently Mrs. Tierney is our mole that we were 11 12 looking for, wondering why things were coming 13 out. 14 MR. ELLIOTT: Okay. Did you have any 15 follow-up questions you want to ask? 16 MR. BONN: Yes, I do. 17 EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. BONN: 19 Ms. Thomas, Josh Bonn on behalf of Q. West Shore Board of Directors of the West Shore 20 School District. 2.1 22 Didn't you first bring up publicly 23 potential change in the solicitor in the January 24 2024 meeting?

Α.

Yes.

- Q. And what was that conversation?
- A. I think it was struck down by the superintendent.
- Q. What do you mean, it was struck down? There must have been something that was discussed, correct?
- A. On the agenda, do you mean? To place it on the agenda. We tried to place it on the agenda in January.
- Q. Yeah. Wasn't there some actual discussion in the public meeting though as an information item?
- 13 A. Yes. In January there was an 14 information item.
- Q. Do you remember what that discussion was?
- A. Not off the top of my head, no.
- Q. Did the board ever publicly vote to engage in an RFP process?
- A. I don't know if we voted on it or if
  it was just an information item the RFP would be
  put together.
- Q. Well, if the board had never voted to engage in an RFP process, why would the board vote to stop the RFP process?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

- A. No, we didn't vote on that, no. Now that -- we did not.
- Q. Do you recall at the April 11 meeting, was there a vote to suspend a board policy?
  - A. I would have to look back at it.
- Q. Let me ask this. Was there a board policy that somehow obligated the board to engage in an RFP process when hiring a solicitor?
- A. Say that one more time.
  - Q. Was there a board policy that somehow obligated the board to engage in an RFP process to change its solicitor?
  - A. I don't remember specifically what 005 mentioned. But I know that we suspended that on the April 11 meeting, according to these minutes that I am looking at.
  - Q. To your knowledge, that was on the agenda for that meeting?
- 20 A. Correct.

- 21 Q. The suspension of the policy?
- 22 A. Yes, sir, it was.
  - Q. The public was provided an opportunity to provide input before the board made the decision to suspend that policy?

A. Yes, sir.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

24

25

Q. Was the public provided with -- let me ask this.

Was the potential change in the solicitor on the agenda for the April 11 meeting?

- A. Say that one more time.
- Q. Was a potential change in the solicitor on the agenda for the April 11 meeting?
- 11 A. Yes, sir, it was.
- Q. And that agenda was distributed to the public consistent with how the board ordinarily distributes its agenda?
  - A. Yes. Within 24 hours, per the Sunshine law.
  - Q. Did the public have an opportunity to provide public comment before the board voted to appoint Kevin Hall as solicitor?
- A. Because it was a business item, they
  did -- excuse me. They did have time to public
  comment and send in e-mails prior to that
  meeting.
  - Q. I believe you testified earlier there were actually a number of written e-mails that

were submitted to the board prior to the 1 2 meeting? 3 Yes, sir. I could count them. 4 is numerous. 5 Did you review those before you 6 participated in the vote to change the solicitor? 7 A. Yes, sir, I did. 8 9 Did you listen to public comment, oral Q. public comment before you voted to change the 10 solicitor? 11 12 A. Yes. I was present at that meeting and did listen to the public comment. 13 14 Q. Did the board have any public 15 discussion prior to voting to appoint the 16 solicitor? Or prior to voting to appoint Kevin Hall as solicitor? 17 18 There was a motion made and they have Α. discussion. So, yes, we did have discussion. 19 Q. Do you recall -- did you participate 20 2.1 in that discussion? 22 A. I don't believe I said anything 23 because it was probably three or four hours into 24 it. It was -- I was pretty exhausted mentally.

Q. Okay.

- A. And that is very common. If I don't have -- before I vote, you know, some meetings we have discussion and sometimes we don't, and we just vote. That is common.
- Q. So, if the decision, or if -- strike that.

If the public was notified the change in solicitor was going to be on the agenda and the public had an opportunity for public comment and the board deliberated before making the decision to replace the solicitor, do you believe there is any legitimate basis for the Sunshine Act that was brought against the board of directors?

- A. No, I do not.
- Q. Did Kelly Brent ever tell you how she was going to vote regarding the change in solicitor?
- A. No, she did not.
- Q. Did you ever tell Kelly Brent how you were going to vote regarding the change in solicitor?
- A. No, I did not.
- Q. Did you tell any other board members
  how you were going to vote regarding the change

2.1

in the solicitor?

2.1

- A. No, I did not.
- Q. Did any other board members tell you how they were going to vote regarding the change in the solicitor?
  - A. No, they did not.
  - Q. Did you tell Kevin he had five votes?
  - A. I did not.
- Q. Did you tell Kevin that he was going to be appointed as solicitor at the April 11 meeting?
- 12 A. I did not. I told him he would be on 13 the agenda.
  - Q. Before you became president of the board, was it common for you to first learn of items on the agenda at the time the meeting packet was distributed?
    - A. Correct, yes.
  - Q. How much notice did that give you before the meeting to learn about items that were going to be voted on at the public meeting?
  - A. Sometimes things are placed on there on a Thursday, so that would give us a week.

    But a lot of times things weren't loaded until that Sunday or Monday. So, you almost had

sometimes Monday to read through, say, a contract.

2.1

Anywhere between seven days to 48 hours, it was not uncommon, if things weren't loaded in and they were waiting, you know, for -- I can't speak for how -- before I was president.

- Q. So, if the other board members did not know that a change in solicitor was going to be on the agenda until you told them approximately a week before the meeting, was that unusual based on your past experience on the board?
  - A. No, it was not.
- Q. Is it fair to say that whenever you were -- you were president of the board from January 2024 until April 2024, that you had been dissatisfied with Brooke Say's performance as solicitor?
  - A. I would say yes.
- Q. How difficult is it to perform your duties as president whenever you are dissatisfied with the solicitor?
- A. It made it fairly impossible. As not an attorney and not knowing how -- there was some matters that happened with other board

members, it made it very hard to feel like I had 1 a solid foundation of trust with her. And I 2 think trust is needed in the role that I was 3 serving, due to the fact the past president, Mr. 4 5 Guistwhite, never spoke to me, or helped me at all as a preceptor in the role. 6 7 Were you comfortable asking Brooke Say Ο. for advice on how to replace Brooke Say? 8 Α. No, I was not, at all. MR. BONN: I don't have anything --10 11 nothing further. 12 MR. ELLIOTT: Okay. Just briefly. RE-EXAMINATION 13 14 BY MR. ELLIOTT: 15 Q. I think you indicated during the April 11 meeting when the solicitor appointment was 16 17 discussed, you said it had been a long meeting 18 and you didn't talk much at that point, correct? 19 Yes, sir. Α. 20 Q. Do you recall anyone who voted for 2.1 Kevin Hall describing the basis for their vote, 22 and why they were voting for him? 23 I don't recall specifically. I would 24 have to go back and watch the meeting. Like I

said, it is not uncommon to not have a

```
discussion. You know, somebody firsts it,
1
2
    seconds it, discussion, and then we poll.
3
               I don't recall if anybody -- maybe Ms.
   Brent did. She sat to my right. She might have
4
   said something. But Mrs. Cox didn't sit close
5
6
   to me, nor did -- Mrs. Davis sat to the right of
   Mrs. Brent. And I think Mr. Brinton sat, like,
7
   on -- because we sit in, like, a horseshoe. So,
8
   if -- sometimes you are blocked by views. So, I
   don't recall if they said anything.
10
11
              But that is not uncommon. People
12
   don't want to -- if they don't feel inclined,
   they just want to vote, then it is not mandatory
13
14
   there is a discussion.
          Q. All right. But you agree that
15
   deliberation on the basis for a vote has to take
16
17
   place in a public meeting, correct?
18
          Α.
              Say that again, sir.
19
              The deliberation for -- about the
          Q.
20
   basis for a vote has to take place at a public
2.1
   meeting?
22
          Α.
              Correct. Deliberation, you are saying
23
   is the same thing as discussion?
2.4
          Q.
             Not necessarily.
```

Α.

Okay.

```
1
               I think there is a legal distinction
          Q.
2
   between the two.
3
               Do you have an understanding of
   whether there is -- let me ask you this way.
4
   Based on your knowledge, do you draw any
5
   distinction between discussion and deliberation
6
7
   in a meeting?
8
               I don't think I understand the
   question. I'm sorry.
          Q. For purposes of the Sunshine Act,
10
11
   based on the training you have had and your
   knowledge of it, is there a difference between
12
   discussion and deliberation?
13
              T wouldn't know.
14
15
               MR. ELLIOTT: Okay. That's all I
16
   have.
17
               (At 12:30 p.m., the deposition
18
   concluded.)
19
20
2.1
22
23
2.4
25
```

1	County of Lancaster:
2	SS
3	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:
4	
5	
6 7 8 9	I, Angela N. Kilby, Reporter, Notary Public, duly commissioned and qualified in and for the County of Lancaster, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, hereby certify that the deponent/witness came before me, who was duly sworn/affirmed by me to testify to the truth of his/her knowledge concerning the matters in controversy in this cause.  I also certify that the questions and
L1 L2 L3	answers were recorded by me in stenotype, to the best of my ability, and subsequently reduced to computer printout under my supervision, and that this copy is a true and correct record of the same.
14 15 16	I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of counsel or the parties hereto. This certification does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under my direct control and/or supervision.
L7 L8	Dated this 4th day of March, 2025.
L 9	A 40
20	
21	Angela N. Kilby - Reporter Notary Public
22	
23	Commission Expiration: June 2, 2027
24	
25	
<u></u>	—Key Reporters————keyreporters@comcast.net———

105.3 [1] - 10:15       331 [1] - 57:14       342 [2] - 57:14, 57:21       36igail [2] - 30:24, 47:19       30:17, 30:18, 30:20, 31:4, 31:5, 31:18, 31:4, 31:5, 31:18, 32:3, 34:1, 34:10, 32:3, 34:1, 34:10, 32:3, 34:1, 34:10, 34:15, 34:22, 35:11, 36:12, 37:5, 41:12, 44:13, 45:23, 46:6, 47:21, 52:15, 55:8, 56:21, 57:17, 62:24, 66:21, 74:20, 75:11, 76:15, 79:5, 79:10, 82:21, 87:17, 92:3, 92:16, 93:5, 93:9, 96:10, 98:16       4				<u> </u>	
15.5, 24.19, 88.1, 90.24, 87.16   90.24, 87.16   90.24, 87.16   90.24, 87.16   90.24   90.24   90.25   90.24   90.25   90.24   90.25   90.25   90.25   90.25   90.25   90.25   90.25   90.24   90.25	,	<b>2024</b> (8) - 8:16 9:5	•	administration [5] -	67·16 81·14
24   p   9.6			9	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· ·
0 13 2024;91-03132 [1] - 13 2025[3] - 1416, 10117	'24 M1 O:6		<b>0</b> (4) 90-1		,
000001   1.43.3   2025   1.116, 101:17   2027   1.101:22   24   11.93:16   240   11.72   2018   11.73   2018   20	24[1] - 9.0	· ·		•	
1					
D00001	U				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
1 220:30   1 - 2214	000001 (41 - 43:3				• • • •
1			9:27 [1] - 1:1/		
1	005 [1] - 92.14				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Tigs   38, 81:16, 88:10   3   3   7   18:11, 42:3, 47:14   3   4	4		Α		· ·
3 am     -117, 47:13, 81:16, 88:16, 88:10, 88:00     10     -711, 12:4, 18:12, 50:16, 75:1, 75:5   3   3   7   -18:11, 42:3, 42:0, 42:13, 42:0, 42:15, 42:0, 42:23   53:16, 60:1, 89:1, 42:14, 277, 27:18, 27:20, 30:13, 30:14, 30:15, 30:18, 30:20, 47:14   104       -90:6, 90:9   4   22:18, 29:13, 10:111   342     -57:14, 57:21   25:18, 59:13, 10:111   342     -57:14, 57:21   25:18, 59:13, 10:111   342     -57:14, 57:21   25:18, 59:13, 10:111   342     -57:14, 57:21   25:18, 59:13, 10:111   342     -57:14, 57:21   25:18, 59:13, 10:111   342     -57:14, 57:21   25:18, 59:19, 59:18, 59:19,	1	<b>2:20:30</b> [1] <b>-</b> 43:7	A1 57.00		-
8616, 8820 10 [8] -711, 124, 1812, 50:16, 75:1, 75:5 10 [2] -19:16, 53:2, 105:3] -10:15 10 [2] -19:16, 53:2, 105:3] -10:15 10 [2] -19:16, 53:2, 105:3] -10:15 10 [2] -19:16, 53:2, 105:3] -10:15 10 [2] -19:16, 53:2, 105:3] -10:15 10 [2] -19:16, 53:2, 105:3] -10:15 10 [2] -19:16, 53:2, 105:3] -10:15 10 [2] -19:16, 53:2, 105:3] -10:15 10 [2] -19:16, 53:2, 105:3] -10:15 10 [2] -19:16, 53:2, 105:3] -10:15 10 [2] -19:16, 53:2, 105:3] -10:15 10 [2] -19:16, 53:2, 105:3] -10:15 10 [2] -19:16, 53:2, 105:3] -10:15 10 [2] -19:16, 53:2, 105:3] -10:15 10 [2] -19:16, 53:2, 105:3] -10:15 10 [2] -19:16, 53:2, 105:3] -10:15 10 [2] -19:16, 53:2, 105:3] -10:15 10 [2] -19:16, 53:2, 105:3] -10:15 10 [2] -10:16 10 [	4 . 2.0 01.16			• • •	
10		3			
18:12, 50:16, 75:1, 75:5 100:21 - 19:16, 53:2 105:311 - 10:15 100:21 - 19:16, 53:2 105:311 - 10:15 100:41   11 - 47:14 101 tip   - 90:6, 90.9 11   120 - 18:12, 28:19, 32:9, 34:22, 35:25, 42:12, 43:24, 11:2, 44:13, 45:23, 46:6, 47:21, 52:15, 43:9 - 60:20, 60:21, 56:8, 66:21, 74:20, 75:11, 76:15, 79:5, 85:16, 60:1, 89:1, 39:11, 30:14, 30:15, 31:18, 30:17, 30:18, 30:20, 30:13, 30:11, 30:15, 31:18, 30:18, 32:3, 34:1, 34:10, 34:19, 34:19, 34:21, 36:18, 36:19, 36:10, 36:19, 36:1	· ·				•
75:5 100 [2] - 19:16, 53:2 100 [3] - 10:15 10-41 [1] - 47:13 100 [4] - 19:16, 53:2 100-41 [1] - 47:14 10th [2] - 90:6, 90.9 11 [29] - 18:12, 28:19, 32:9, 34:22, 35:25, 41:12, 44:13, 45:23, 43] [- 57:14, 61:6, 61:8, 74:3 48 [1] - 97:3 48 [1] - 97:3 48 [1] - 97:3 48 [1] - 97:3 48 [1] - 97:3 48 [1] - 97:3 48 [1] - 97:3 39:9, 96:10, 98:16 11 [29] - 18:12, 24:41, 66:24, 67:4, 90:4, 90:8 11 [29] - 18:12, 26:6, 35:19, 93:19,				•	•
100				agenda [71] - 27:4,	
105.3     10:15   331     10		·		27:7, 27:18, 27:20,	• • •
10.44				30:13, 30:14, 30:15,	amicable [1] - 31:10
10:44 (i) - 47:14					
10th         -		<b>342</b> [2] <b>-</b> 57:14, 57:21			
11			-		-
32:9, 34:22, 35:25, 41:12, 44:13, 45:23, 44:14, 43:66, 47:21, 52:15, 55:8, 56:21, 57:17, 62:24, 66:21, 74:20, 75:11, 76:15, 79:10, 82:21, 87:17, 92:3, 92:16, 93:5, 79:10, 82:21, 87:17, 92:3, 92:16, 93:5, 79:10, 82:21, 87:17, 92:3, 92:16, 93:5, 79:10, 82:21, 87:17, 92:3, 92:16, 93:5, 79:10, 82:21, 87:17, 92:3, 92:16, 93:5, 79:10, 82:21, 87:17, 92:3, 92:16, 93:5, 79:10, 82:21, 87:17, 92:3, 92:16, 93:5, 79:10, 82:21, 87:17, 92:3, 92:16, 93:5, 79:10, 82:21, 87:17, 92:3, 92:16, 93:5, 79:10, 82:21, 87:17, 92:3, 92:16, 93:5, 79:10, 82:21, 87:17, 92:3, 92:16, 93:5, 79:10, 82:21, 87:17, 92:3, 92:16, 93:5, 79:10, 82:21, 87:17, 92:3, 92:16, 93:5, 79:10, 82:21, 87:17, 92:3, 93:9, 96:10, 98:16  11-year-old (j) = 1:24 119  2j = 1:11, 2:4 1116  9 - 26:20, 41:25, 43:12, 43:22, 44:1, 66:24, 67:4, 90:4, 90:8, 82:2, 90:8 12  2j = 18:11, 26:6 12:08 (j) - 88:8 12  2j = 18:11, 26:6 12:08 (j) - 88:8 12  2j = 18:13, 33:12 1300 (j) - 10:017 13  2j = 9:17, 23:13 1300 (j) - 12:3 17101 (j) - 29 17319 (j) - 4:22 17404 (j) - 1:23 17101 (j) - 24 17404 (j) - 39:6 207 (j) - 66:16, 7:2, 7:4 2016 (j) - 66:16, 7:2, 7:4 2016 (j) - 6:21, 6:23, 7:4 2016 (j) - 6:21, 6:23, 7:4 2016 (j) - 4:21 2020 (j) - 4:21 2021 (j) - 7:18	,	4	28:18, 29:13, 101:11		<b>AND</b> [2] - 1:5, 1:10
41:12, 44:13, 45:23, 46:6, 47:21, 52:15, 58; 56:21, 57:17, 62:24, 66:21, 74:20, 75:11, 76:15, 79:5, 79:10, 82:21, 87:17, 92:3, 92:16, 93:5, 93:9, 96:10, 98:16 11-92:4 1114   91-21-119, 2:4 114   191-21-119, 2:4 114   12:12   11-88:15				, , , ,	Anderson [1] - 80:1
46:6, 47:21, 52:15, 55:8, 56:21, 57:17, 61:6, 61:8, 74:3 d8 [η - 97:3 dth [η] - 48:16 accomplish [η - 70:2 according [η - 58:15, 93:9, 96:10, 98:16 11-year-old [η - 124 119] - 1-119, 2:4 11th [η - 26:20, 41:25, 43:12, 43:22, 44:1, 46:24, 67:4, 90:4, 90:8 12 [ν] - 18:15 13 [ν] - 88:15 12:20 [η - 78:8					<b>Andes'</b> [1] - 79:6
46:6, 47:21, 52:15, 55:8, 56:21, 57:17, 61:6, 61:8, 74:3 d8 [η - 97:3 d8 [η - 97:3 ds. η - 12.0, 75:11, 76:15, 79:5, 79:10, 82:21, 87:17, 92:3, 92:16, 93:5, 93:9, 96:10, 98:16 11-year-old [η - 12:4 119 [2] - 11:19, 2:4 11th [9] - 26:20, 41:25, 42:18, 48:3, 52:22 ds. 22:18, 48:3, 52:22 ds. 42:18, 48:3, 52:22 ds. 42:19, 17:18 ds. 42:19 [1] - 11:12 ds. 42:12 [1] - 88:15 ds. 42:19 [1] - 12:3 ls. 42:21 [1] - 12:3 ls. 42:21 [1] - 12:3 ls. 42:21 [1] - 12:3 ls. 42:22 ls. 42:11, 10:122 ls. 42:12 ls. 43:12, 26:8, 30:8, 75:4 ls. 43:12, 43:22, 44:1, 66:24, 66:			70:24, 81:19, 83:19,	42:15, 42:22, 43:12,	anesthesiologist [1] -
62:24, 66:21, 74:20, 75:11, 76:15, 79:5, 79:10, 82:21, 87:17, 99:3, 92:16, 93:5, 93:9, 96:10, 98:16 11-year-old [η - 12:4 119] 2: 4111 [η - 2:4 111 [η - 2:4 12:12] - 88:14 12:[21-18:11, 26:6 12:30] [η - 100:17 13:[2] - 9:17, 23:13 1300 [η - 1:23 170] [η - 1:24 1710 [η - 2:9 17319] [η - 4:22 17401 [η - 2:4 17404] [η - 1:23 19 [η - 1:16]  2			83:21	43:18, 43:22, 44:1,	21:4
66:24, 66:21, 74:20, 75:11, 76:15, 79:5, 79:10, 82:21, 87:17, 92:3, 92:16, 93:5, 93:9, 96:10, 98:16		, ,	absence [1] - 21:17		Angela [3] - 1:20,
79:10, 82:21, 87:17, 92:3, 92:16, 93:5, 93:9, 96:10, 98:16 11-year-old [1] - 12:4 119 [2] - 1:19, 2:4 1119 [2] - 1:19, 2:4 1119 [2] - 1:19, 2:4 1119 [2] - 1:19, 2:4 1111, 26:6 12 [2] - 18:11, 26:6 12 [2] - 18:11, 26:6 12 [2] - 18:11, 26:6 12 [1] - 88:15 12 [2] - 18:15 13 [2] - 9:17, 23:13 13 [2] - 9:17, 23:13 13 [2] - 9:17, 23:13 1300 [1] - 1:23 17101 [1] - 2:9 17319 [1] - 4:22 17404 [1] - 1:24 17404 [1] - 1:24 17404 [1] - 1:23 19 [1] - 1:16  8 8 [1] - 18:12, 26:8, 33:18, 33:19 2 [2] - 18:11, 101:22 2 (2) (20 [1] - 83:6 2 [2] - 18:11, 101:22 2 (2) (20 [1] - 83:6 3 [3] - 5:14 3 [3] - 5:14 3 [3] - 5:14 3 [3] - 5:14 3 [3] - 5:14 3 [3] - 5:15 4 [3:15, 64:1, 92:16 48:22, 89:24 4 accuse [1] - 79:24 accusing [1] - 78:6 6 achieve [1] - 22:16 acknowledge [1] - 22:16 acknowledge [1] - 3:3, 58:21, 90:8, 91:7, 91:8, 91:7, 91:8 accurate [3] - 18:15, 64:1, 90:14 42:2, 89:24 accuse [1] - 79:24 accusing [1] - 78:6 acknowledge [1] - 22:16 acknowledge [1] - 23:16 acknowledge [1] - 3:3, 58:21, 90:8, 91:7, 91:8, 90:19, 91:7, 91:8, 90:19, 92:19, 93:5, 93:9, 93:12, 93:14, 90:9, 93:19, 93:15, 92:27, 76:06 apologized [3] - 5:15 5 [3] - 18:10, 40:20 101:11 anticipate [1] - 86:20 717:17 [3] - 5:20 717:17 [3] - 5:20 717:17 [3] - 5:20 717:18 [3] - 5:20 717:19 [1	62:24, 66:21, 74:20,	<b>48</b> [1] <b>-</b> 97:3	absurd [1] - 48:16	45:4, 45:5, 45:7,	_
79:10, 82:21, 87:17, 92:3, 92:16, 93:5, 93:9, 96:10, 98:16 11-year-old [1] - 12:4 119 [2] - 1:19, 2:4 111 [9] - 2:20, 41:25, 42:18, 48:3, 52:22, 50 [1] - 56:16 66:24, 67:4, 90:4, 90:8 12 [2] - 18:11, 26:6 12 [2] - 18:11, 26:6 12 [2] - 18:11, 26:6 12 [2] - 18:11, 26:6 12 [2] - 18:11, 26:6 13 [2] - 9:17, 23:13 1300 [1] - 100:17 13 [2] - 9:17, 23:13 1300 [1] - 12:3 17101 [1] - 2:9 17319 [1] - 1:24 17404 [1] - 2:9 177404 [1] - 2:4 17404 [1] - 1:23 19 [1] - 1:16  2 2 [2] - 18:11, 101:22 20/20 [1] - 39:6 20/20 [1] - 39:6 20/20 [1] - 39:6 20/20 [1] - 39:6 20/20 [1] - 39:6 20/20 [1] - 39:6 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 39:6 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 7:18 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 7:18 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 7:18 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 7:18 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 7:18 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 7:18 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 7:18 20/20 [1] - 7:18 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 7:18 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 7:18 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 7:18 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 7:18 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] - 7:18 20/20 [1] - 4:21 20/20 [1] -	75:11, 76:15, 79:5,	4th [1] - 101:17	accomplish [1] - 70:2	45:24, 46:6, 47:21,	answer [11] - 5:15,
\$\frac{92.3}{92.16}, 93.5, \\ 93.90, 96.10, 98.16 \\ 11-\text{yer}-\text{old} \( \pi_1 - 12.4 \\ 11\text{pi}_1 - 2.24 \\ 11\text{pi}_1 = 2.62.0, 41:25, \\ 42:18, 48:3, 52:22 \\ 23:13, 40:20, 42:3, \\ 42:18, 48:3, 52:22 \\ 42:18, 48:3, 52:22 \\ 42:18, 48:3, 52:22 \\ 42:18, 48:3, 52:22 \\ 42:18, 48:3, 52:22 \\ 43:12, 43:12, 43:22, 44:1, \\ 66:24, 67:4, 90:4, \\ 90:8 \\ 12[2] - 18:11, 26:6 \\ 12:20 \( \pi_1 - 88:15 \\ 12:20 \( \pi_1 - 100:17 \\ 13[2] - 9:17, 23:13 \\ 1300 \( \pi_1 - 100:17 \\ 13[2] - 9:17, 23:13 \\ 1300 \( \pi_1 - 1:23 \\ 17101 \( \pi_1 - 2:29 \\ 17319 \( \pi_1 - 4:22 \\ 17404 \( \pi_1 - 1:24 \\ 717, 480.5304 \( \pi_1 - 1:24 \\ 717, 480.5304 \( \pi_1 - 2:9 \\ 717, 843.8968 \( \pi_1 - 2:5 \\ 764-7801 \( \pi_1 - 1:24 \\ 7th_{  } - 2:9 \\ 74th_{  } - 2:9 \\ 88 \\ 1007 \( \pi_1 - 6:23, \\ 7:4 \\ 2016 \( \pi_1 - 6:23, \\ 7:4 \\ 2020 \( \pi_1 - 4:21 \\ 2021 \( \pi_1 - 7:18 \\ 3008, 75:4 \\ 88 \( \pi_1 - 3:9 \\ \end{array}} \]  \[ \begin{array}{c} 64:1, 92:16 \\ 48:22, 89:24 \\ 4accuse \( \pi_1 - 79:24 \\ accuse \( \pi_1 - 79:24 \\ accuse \( \pi_1 - 77:8 \\ achieve \( \pi_1 - 2:16 \\ achieve \( \pi_1 - 1:24 \\ achieve \( \pi_1 - 3:25 \\ achieve \( \pi_1 -	79:10, 82:21, 87:17,		according [3] - 54:15,	49:8, 49:14, 51:22,	28:17, 38:21, 47:2,
39.9, 96.10, 98.16   11-year-old [n] - 12:4   11-year-old [n] - 12:5   48:22, 89:24   accuse [n] - 79:24   accuse [n] - 86:12   90:8, 91:7, 91:8, 91:9, 93:5, 93:9, 93:12, 93:14, 95:8, 96:13, 96:16, 97:10   agendas [n] - 50:17   agendas [n] - 50	92:3, 92:16, 93:5,	5	_	52:21, 52:23, 53:23,	51:11, 51:19, 53:2,
11-year-old [n] - 12:4   119, 22:4   119, 22:4   119, 22:4   119, 22:4   111, 129, 26:20, 41:25, 43:12, 43:22, 44:1, 66:24, 67:4, 90:4, 90:8   12 [a] - 18:14, 26:6   54 [n] - 77:8   2   2   - 18:11, 26:6   12:08 [n] - 88:15   6   12:08 [n] - 88:15   12:30 [n] - 100:17   13 [a] - 9:17, 23:13   1300 [n] - 12:23   7   7 [n] - 56:20   7 [n] - 1:16   7   7   7   7   7   7   7   7   7	93:9, 96:10, 98:16		accurate [3] - 18:15,	53:25, 55:24, 60:9,	
119	11-year-old [1] - 12:4	<b>5</b> [8] - 18:13, 33:12,	• • •	62:18, 64:17, 66:4,	
11th  9  - 26:20, 41:25, 43:12, 43:22, 44:1, 66:24, 67:4, 90:4, 90:8     12   2  - 18:11, 26:6   12   208     - 88:15   13     2  - 9:17, 23:13     13   2  - 9:17, 23:13   1300     - 1:23   17101       - 2:9   17319       - 1:16   19	<b>119</b> [2] - 1:19, 2:4	33:13, 40:20, 42:3,		66:16, 68:22, 74:22,	answered [1] - 86:23
43:12, 43:22, 44:1, 66:24, 67:4, 90:4, 90:8   90:8   91:7, 91:8, 90:8   91:9, 92:19, 93:5, 93:9, 93:12, 93:14, 95:8, 96:13, 96:16, 97:10   32:9   17.12   19.123   17.14   19.123   17.14   19.123   17.14   19.123   19.19   19.19   19.116   19.124   19.116   19.124   19.19   19.19   19.19   19.110   2.14   19.19   19.116   19.19   1	<b>11th</b> [9] - 26:20, 41:25,	42:18, 48:3, 52:22		77:14, 80:25, 82:2,	• •
Section   Sect	43:12, 43:22, 44:1,	<b>50</b> [1] <b>-</b> 56:16		90:8, 91:7, 91:8,	
90:8 12 [2] - 18:11, 26:6 12:08 [n] - 88:14 12:12 [n] - 88:15 12:30 [n] - 100:17 13 [2] - 9:17, 23:13 1300 [n] - 1:23 17101 [n] - 2:9 17319 [n] - 4:22 17401 [n] - 2:4 17404 [n] - 1:23 19 [n] - 1:16  2 7 [n] - 56:20 777 [n] - 1:24 774.88.3868 [n] - 2:5 764-7801 [n] - 1:24 7th [n] - 2:9 2 [2] - 18:11, 101:22 2 20/20 [n] - 39:6 2 3 [a] - 18:12, 26:8, 30:8, 75:4 2 2020 [n] - 4:21 2 2021 [n] - 7:18 2 2020 [n] - 4:21 2 2021 [n] - 7:18 2 2020 [n] - 3:9 3 (3:17, 59:20, 63:1, 63:2, 63:16, 63:22, agendas [n] - 50:17 2 agendas [n] - 50:17 3 agenda	66:24, 67:4, 90:4,	<b>54</b> [1] <b>-</b> 77:8		91:9, 92:19, 93:5,	
12	90:8		•	93:9, 93:12, 93:14,	
12:08 [1] - 88:14   12:12 [1] - 88:15   12:30 [1] - 100:17   13 [2] - 9:17, 23:13   1300 [1] - 1:23   17101 [1] - 2:9   17319 [1] - 4:22   17404 [1] - 1:23   19 [1] - 1:16   17.843.8968 [1] - 2:5   764-7801 [1] - 1:24   7th [1] - 2:9   7th [1] - 2:9   7th [1] - 2:9   7th [1] - 1:24   7th [1] - 2:9   7th [1] - 3:25   34:13, 34:19, 53:23, 56:23, 58:24, 59:18, Appear [1] - 36:18   Appear [1] -	<b>12</b> [2] - 18:11, 26:6	6		95:8, 96:13, 96:16,	
12:12 [1] - 88:15	<b>12:08</b> [1] - 88:14			97:10	
12:30 [1] - 100:17   13 [2] - 9:17, 23:13   1300 [1] - 1:23   7   7   19:10 - 4:22   17401 [1] - 2:9   717.843.8968 [1] - 2:5   764-7801 [1] - 1:24   7th [1] - 2:9   8   8 [4] - 18:12, 26:8, 30:8, 7:4   2020 [1] - 4:21   2021 [1] - 7:18   2020 [1] - 4:21   2021 [1] - 7:18   2020 [1] - 4:21   2021 [1] - 7:18   2020	<b>12:12</b> [1] - 88:15			agendas [1] - 50:17	
13	<b>12:30</b> [1] - 100:17	<b>60</b> [1] <b>-</b> 8:8		<b>ago</b> [1] - 32:5	
1300 [1] - 1:23					_
17101 [1] - 2:9   17319 [1] - 4:22   17401 [1] - 2:4   17404 [1] - 1:23   19 [1] - 1:16   2:10   717.843.8968 [1] - 2:5   764-7801 [1] - 1:24   7th [1] - 2:9   8   2007 [3] - 6:16, 7:2, 7:4   2001 [3] - 6:21, 6:23, 7:4   2020 [1] - 4:21   2021 [1] - 7:18   2021		7	, , ,	34:13, 34:19, 53:23,	•
17319 [1] - 4:22				56:23, 58:24, 59:18,	
17401 [1] - 2:4			•	77:17, 80:8, 86:9,	• • •
717.480.5304 [1] - 2:5 19 [1] - 1:16  2  Action [1] - 1:3 active [2] - 34:6, 85:18 active [2] - 36:17, 91:10  Adam [7] - 30:23, 31:21, 47:17, 48:13, 49:18, 53:15, 84:13 adamantly [1] - 87:23 add [2] - 34:23, 46:18 adding [1] - 16:13 addition [1] - 33:24 addition [1] - 33:24 addition [1] - 33:24 address [2] - 4:20,  Action [1] - 1:3 agreed [1] - 4:2 agreeing [1] - 77:19 apply [1] - 101:15 appoint [4] - 69:10, 93:19, 94:15, 94:1 appointed [18] - 23:14, 30:3, 32:7, 32:8, 32:12, 32:13 adamantly [1] - 87:23 add [2] - 34:23, 46:18 addition [1] - 33:24 address [2] - 4:20,  Action [1] - 1:3 agreed [1] - 4:2 agreeing [1] - 77:19 apply [1] - 101:15 appoint [4] - 69:10, 93:19, 94:15, 94:1 appoint [1] - 27:11 alerting [1] - 60:2 allegation [3] - 82:6, 83:4, 83:5 53:7, 66:23, 96:10 appointment [10] - 76:24, 85:2 allegations [2] - 76:24, 85:2				86:17, 99:15	
2:10 717.843.8968 [1] - 2:5 764-7801 [1] - 1:24 7th [1] - 2:9  8  2 [2] - 18:11, 101:22 20/20 [1] - 39:6 2007 [3] - 6:16, 7:2, 7:4 2016 [3] - 6:21, 6:23, 7:4 2020 [1] - 4:21 2021 [1] - 7:18 2020 [1] - 4:21 2021 [1] - 7:18 2020 [1] - 7:18 2020 [1] - 4:21 2021 [1] - 7:18 2020 [1] - 7:18 2020 [1] - 4:21 2021 [1] - 7:18 2020 [1] - 7:18 2020 [1] - 4:21 2021 [1] - 7:18 2020 [1] - 7:19 2021 [1] - 7:18 2020 [1] - 7:19 2021 [1] - 7:18 2020 [1] - 8:21 2020 [1] - 4:21 2020 [1] - 7:29 2020 [1] - 4:21 2020 [1] - 7:29 2020 [1] - 4:21 2020 [1] - 7:29 2020 [1] - 4:21 2020 [1] - 7:29 2020 [1] - 4:21 2020 [1] - 7:29 2020 [1] - 4:21 2020 [1] - 7:29 2020 [1] - 4:21 2020 [1] - 7:29 2020 [1] - 4:21 2020 [1] - 7:29 2020 [1] - 4:21 2020 [1] - 7:29 2020 [1] - 4:21 2020 [1] - 4:21 2020 [1] - 7:29 2020 [1] - 8:21 2020 [1] - 8:22			•	agreed [1] - 4:2	
717.843.8968 [1] - 2:5 764-7801 [1] - 1:24 7th [1] - 2:9  8 2 [2] - 18:11, 101:22 20/20 [1] - 39:6 2007 [3] - 6:16, 7:2, 7:4 2016 [3] - 6:21, 6:23, 7:4 2020 [1] - 4:21 2021 [1] - 7:18 2020 [				agreeing [1] - 77:19	•
2				agreement [2] - 10:24,	
7th [1] - 2:9  91:10  Adam [7] - 30:23, 31:21, 47:17, 48:13, 49:18, 53:15, 84:13  2007 [3] - 6:16, 7:2, 7:4  2016 [3] - 6:21, 6:23, 7:4  2020 [1] - 4:21  2020 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18  2031 [1] - 2:9  91:10  Adam [7] - 30:23, 31:21, 47:17, 48:13, 49:18, 53:15, 84:13 adamantly [1] - 87:23 add [2] - 34:23, 46:18 adding [1] - 16:13 addition [1] - 33:24 address [2] - 4:20, 2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18	2			31:9	
8       Adam [7] - 30:23,       45:24, 90:2       23:14, 30:3, 32:7,         2007 [3] - 6:16, 7:2,       8 [4] - 18:12, 26:8,       31:21, 47:17, 48:13,       49:18, 53:15, 84:13		<b>7th</b> [1] - 2:9	,	ahead [3] - 36:8,	•
20/20 [1] - 39:6       8       31:21, 47:17, 48:13, 49:18, 53:15, 84:13 adamantly [1] - 87:23 add [2] - 34:23, 46:18 adding [1] - 16:13 addition [1] - 33:24 address [2] - 4:20,       AIDS [2] - 11:13, 12:10 air [1] - 27:11 alerting [1] - 60:2 allegation [3] - 82:6, 83:4, 83:5 allegations [2] - 76:24, 85:2 a	<b>2</b> [2] - 18:11, 101:22			45:24, 90:2	• • • • •
2007 [3] - 6:16, 7:2, 7:4 2016 [3] - 6:21, 6:23, 7:4 2020 [1] - 4:21 2021 [1] - 7:18 2020 [1]		8		<b>AIDS</b> [2] - 11:13, 12:10	
7:4  2016 [3] - 6:21, 6:23, 7:4  2020 [1] - 4:21  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18	<b>2007</b> [3] - 6:16, 7:2,			air [1] - 27:11	
2016 [3] - 6:21, 6:23, 7:4  88 [1] - 3:9  2020 [1] - 4:21  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [1] - 7:18					
7:4  2020 [1] - 4:21  2021 [1] - 7:18  2021 [2] - 7:18  2021 [3] - 7:42  2021 [2] - 7:48  2021 [3] - 7:48  2		•	=		
2020 [1] - 4:21 addition [1] - 33:24 allegations [2] - 76:24, 85:2 22:19, 30:12, 30:2  2021 [1] - 7:18 address [2] - 4:20, allegations [2] - 22:19, 30:12, 30:2		<b>88</b> [1] <b>-</b> 3:9		_	
2021 [1] - 7:18 address [2] - 4:20, 76:24, 85:2 22:19, 30:12, 30:2			_	•	
2022 to 74.42				•	
50.7					
			J0.1	1	01.11, 00.2, 00.0,
Var Danastana kaupanantana (kaupanantana (ka					

41:25, 42:16, 44:5, 98:16 appreciate [1] - 34:3 appropriate [2] -66:17, 84:25 April [53] - 18:11, 18:13, 26:8, 26:11, 26:19, 26:23, 30:8, 32:8, 33:13, 34:22, 35:24, 40:20, 41:12, 42:3, 42:5, 42:10, 42:12, 42:15, 42:18, 42:20, 42:22, 42:23, 43:9, 44:13, 45:23, 47:21, 48:3, 52:15, 52:22, 55:8, 56:21, 57:17, 62:23, 66:21, 74:19, 75:4, 75:5, 75:11, 79:5, 79:10, 82:21, 86:4, 87:17, 89:1, 92:3, 92:16, 93:5, 93:9, 96:10, 97:16, 98:15 arch [1] - 35:5 Area [1] - 7:3 area [1] - 77:24 Arensberg [7] - 21:25, 43:11, 44:9, 45:9, 46:13, 53:24, 69:11 Argot [3] - 28:5, 50:19, 58:6 article [4] - 59:23, 60:3, 60:8, 60:11 aside [2] - 15:9, 63:6 Askey [1] - 79:9 asshole [1] - 48:16 assist [1] - 70:16 **associate's** [1] - 6:13 associated [1] - 22:20 associates [1] - 87:23 Association [2] - 8:6, 81:15 assume [1] - 77:4 assuming [2] - 54:14, 77:2 assumption [3] -49:17, 54:14, 59:3 assumptions [1] -61:12 attached [6] - 42:21. 43:8. 43:19. 44:19 attachment [2] - 44:16 attachments [2] -43:25, 64:17 attend [7] - 6:6, 20:25, 34:8, 35:1, 35:13, 40:19, 45:23 attendance [1] - 86:8 attended [3] - 10:10, 17:7, 19:19

attending [1] - 21:12 attest [1] - 15:21 attorney [11] - 6:4, 18:9, 19:15, 20:9, 22:6, 31:18, 33:21, 73:12, 87:5, 88:22, 97:24 Attorney [33] - 15:11, 18:25, 19:11, 21:11, 22:2, 22:13, 22:19, 25:21, 29:16, 30:11, 32:15, 35:13, 36:25, 37:15, 38:18, 38:20, 39:13, 39:14, 39:16, 39:24, 40:5, 40:14, 44:21, 45:14, 45:22, 52:24, 53:4, 53:5, 66:22, 71:4, 73:15 attorneys [5] - 23:8, 24:23, 70:1, 70:8, 70:10 August [1] - 86:4 authorize [2] - 33:7, 34:25 authorized [1] - 32:14 authorizing [1] - 32:18 aware [13] - 22:10, 22:25, 35:12, 41:11, 55:7, 55:21, 56:12, 66:7, 66:14, 66:25, 75:9, 80:9, 85:21

#### В

back-up [2] - 21:1, 82:5 background [3] -6:12, 76:4, 76:10 bad [2] - 72:24, 85:1 based [11] - 24:14, 49:19, 67:24, 70:22, 73:22, 81:10, 85:7, 85:16, 97:12, 100:5, 100:11 baseline [1] - 11:16 basis [6] - 77:19, 86:18, 95:12, 98:21, 99:16, 99:20 Bates [1] - 3:9 beautiful [1] - 78:13 **BEAVER** [1] - 1:3 Beaver [2] - 77:10, 79:11 became [4] - 53:25, 60:16, 60:17, 96:14 become [1] - 56:12 beforehand [2] - 58:5, begin [1] - 34:5

beginning [4] - 27:10,

64:24, 65:2, 65:9 behalf [2] - 70:10, 90.19 behavior [1] - 88:4 behaviors [2] - 49:19, 79.23 behind [5] - 40:10, 45:3, 71:3, 72:2, 83:5 **belief** [3] - 77:9, 85:15, 85:21 Beshore [1] - 79:4 best [14] - 5:9, 16:17, 20:23, 25:25, 28:17, 38:25, 61:21, 65:11, 68:1, 89:19, 89:22, 89:25, 101:11 better [1] - 64:20 between [15] - 4:3, 7:4, 26:11, 36:16, 50:5, 52:23, 66:20, 75:2, 82:5, 82:8, 82:14, 97:3, 100:2, 100:6, 100:12 **big** [2] - 9:2, 27:14 BioTel [1] - 6:20 bit [2] - 65:4, 80:2 blind [1] - 53:1 blocked [1] - 99:9 board [88] - 7:17, 9:2, 9:10, 10:2, 10:5, 10:12. 13:16. 14:3. 14:6. 15:19. 15:24. 16:5, 16:12, 17:3, 17:12, 22:15, 23:24, 24:1, 24:7, 24:15, 24:18, 27:3, 27:7, 27:14, 27:17, 28:2, 28:25, 29:7, 30:22, 35:24, 36:4, 37:19, 37:20, 38:13, 43:15, 49:2, 50:5, 52:10, 52:25, 53:4, 53:8, 54:1, 55:10, 55:13, 56:1, 57:16, 57:18, 58:3, 59:5, 59:16, 61:16, 63:20, 64:12, 64:18, 66:13, 71:5, 71:8, 72:17, 77:16, 79:10, 82:7, 83:8, 84:1, 86:12, 90:5, 91:18, 91:23, 91:24, 92:4, 92:6, 92:7, 92:11, 92:12, 92:24, 93:13, 93:18, 94:1,

94:14, 95:10, 95:13,

95:24, 96:3, 96:15,

97:8, 97:12, 97:15,

**BOARD** [1] - 1:8

51:12

bus [2] - 7:11, 68:15

97:25

boards [2] - 7:21, 22:9 **BONN** [12] - 2:8, 42:25, 43:4, 47:7, 54:7, 54:18, 57:4, 89:12, 89:20, 90:16, 90:18, 98:10 **Bonn** [2] - 3:4, 90:19 **boo** [1] - 73:4 boos [1] - 73:4 Borough [1] - 7:22 bounds [3] - 38:19, 39:10, 41:17 Boy [1] - 19:18 brakes [1] - 41:16 break [4] - 6:3, 47:7, 54:21, 88:11 **BRENDA** [1] - 1:10 Brenda [3] - 29:16, 29:19, 82:25 Brent [29] - 16:8, 17:6, 17:19, 18:25, 19:7, 25:9, 25:20, 26:5, 26:10, 30:7, 33:12, 42:2, 50:9, 52:1, 52:4, 56:20, 63:14, 66:4, 66:11, 66:16, 67:24, 69:15, 75:1, 76:15, 83:1, 95:16, 95:20, 99:4, 99:7 **BRENT**[1] - 1:10 Brian [5] - 14:20. 30:23, 31:9, 47:18, 49:18 bridge [1] - 47:6 **brief** [1] - 31:6 **briefly** [2] - 5:1, 98:12 bring [4] - 11:22, 11:24, 86:1, 90:22 bringing [1] - 70:21 **BRINTON** [1] - 1:10 Brinton [7] - 17:5, 17:7, 30:1, 50:8, 63:14, 83:1, 99:7 Brooke [8] - 32:15, 32:23, 33:14, 35:16, 74:5, 97:17, 98:7, 98:8 brought [8] - 11:21, 15:14, 77:12, 80:16, 81:7, 82:10, 85:23, 95:13 Budwig [1] - 79:7 building [2] - 30:14, 30:17 **bull** [1] - 74:15 bullied [2] - 17:2,

Board [3] - 8:6, 81:15,

90.20

business [11] - 25:17, 25:18, 42:11, 42:22, 43:8, 44:1, 44:13, 44:19, 44:23, 61:11, 93:20 businesswoman [1] -25:17 **butt** [3] - 50:14, 51:6 BY [12] - 1:15, 2:3, 2:8, 4:15, 43:5, 47:15, 55:5, 57:10, 88:18, 89:21, 90:18, 98:14 Byrnes [10] - 10:14, 10:17, 10:23, 11:4, 11:22, 14:13, 14:16, 14:22, 68:16, 74:7 Byrnes' [1] - 12:3

### C

cabinet [2] - 16:13, 30.14 cafeteria [1] - 7:11 calendar [2] - 18:18, 18:20 campaign [1] - 80:19 cannot [2] - 61:25, 83:9 cardiac [1] - 7:6 cardiothoracic [1] -7:9 cards [2] - 78:1, 80:6 Carr [1] - 1:18 CARR [1] - 2:3 case [6] - 6:7, 73:6, 80:9, 84:18, 85:24, 87:11 caused [1] - 12:18 causing [2] - 14:5, 15.8 Center [2] - 7:14, 23:2 center [2] - 6:22, 74:7 certain [1] - 69:23 certainly [2] - 34:17, 61:20 certification [2] - 4:6, 101:15 certify [3] - 101:7, 101:10, 101:14 chance [4] - 14:10, 48:10, 55:14, 57:11 change [16] - 9:2, 9:22, 33:25, 45:7, 90:23, 92:13, 93:4, 93:8, 94:6, 94:10, 95:7, 95:17, 95:21, 95:25, 96:4, 97:9 changing [2] - 9:20, 44:2 Character [2] - 71:22,

73:23 95:4, 96:15 constantly [2] - 23:21, council [1] - 7:23 days [6] - 8:8, 30:17, charged [1] - 73:22 COMMON [1] - 1:1 51:12 counsel [2] - 4:3, 40:21, 42:15, 55:11, child [2] - 71:22, 72:6 Commonwealth [2] constituents [1] -101:14 97:3 children [3] - 19:19, 81:20 Counsel [2] - 2:6, 2:11 dealt [1] - 20:5 101:3 101:7 51:7, 81:16 commotion [1] - 74:12 consult [1] - 6:4 decided [6] - 13:19, count [1] - 94:3 contact [8] - 17:15, chilling [1] - 48:25 communicable [1] -County [3] - 54:10, 25:10, 33:8, 33:9, chilly [1] - 50:25 11.14 17:20, 32:15, 32:25, 101:1, 101:7 63:24, 74:9 chose [1] - 15:25 communicating [1] -33:3, 34:11, 39:15, **COUNTY** [1] - 1:1 deciding [1] - 21:24 Chris [2] - 49:18, 39:14 75:10 decision [5] - 9:19, couple [5] - 7:13, contacted [4] - 14:24, 53:14 communication [2] -11:7, 27:5, 55:11, 83:6, 92:25, 95:5, Christopher [4] -36:1, 38:19 32:23, 53:5, 53:17 95:11 81.10 communications [2] contacting [2] - 17:24, COURT [1] - 1:1 decisions [1] - 25:19 30:24, 31:13, 47:18, 54:2, 54:4 39:24 decorum [3] - 12:18, 75:2 court [2] - 5:7, 5:21 church [2] - 75:17, community [4] content [1] - 13:9 Court [1] - 1:21 12:21, 74:16 Defendants [2] - 1:12, 77:22 12:20, 17:25, 19:21, context [1] - 40:9 courtesy [14] - 32:19, Church [1] - 77:23 76:11 contract [4] - 14:25, 2:11 32:25, 33:3, 33:25, Community [1] - 7:3 15:2, 23:21, 97:2 defining [1] - 10:5 34:14, 35:3, 37:9, Civil [1] - 1:3 compare [1] - 24:23 contradiction [1] definitely [2] - 37:10, claiming [1] - 74:5 39:1, 39:2, 39:19, 66:20 71:15 competent [1] - 21:9 43:14, 45:17, 46:16 **cleanliness** [1] - 12:10 contradicts [1] - 80:25 degree [3] - 6:13, clear [4] - 33:5, 60:16, complain [1] - 53:9 cover [1] - 73:3 control [3] - 14:15, 6:15, 7:1 66:19, 71:19 complaint [5] - 53:11, **COVID** [1] - 8:20 76:17, 76:19, 76:25, 29:14, 101:16 Cox [11] - 11:2, 12:14, deliberate [1] - 63:3 close [3] - 24:20, 74:2, 99:5 82:6 controversy [2] - 50:3, 13:2, 13:8, 15:6, deliberated [2] - 63:3, computer [1] - 101:12 101:9 29:16, 50:8, 63:13, 95:10 closed [1] - 83:6 conversating [1] -79:15, 82:25, 99:5 deliberation [5] -Club [4] - 54:11, concern [4] - 58:13, 99:16, 99:19, 99:22, 56:13, 60:2, 78:8 59:6, 62:25, 69:24 24:20 COX [1] - 1:10 100:6, 100:13 concerning [2] - 26:6, conversation [18] -Creek [2] - 10:20, 13:2 clue [1] - 76:7 101:9 13:9, 18:4, 29:23, criteria [1] - 24:14 Democratic [9] coaching [1] - 28:14 concerns [4] - 11:8, 30:19, 31:6, 31:11, critiquing [1] - 29:4 54:11, 56:13, 60:2, coddling [1] - 27:24 28:3, 56:25, 70:8 31:13, 31:20, 35:22, 78:8, 79:15, 80:7, Code [1] - 72:5 Crocenzi [3] - 51:8, 36:16, 36:22, 46:18, 80:24, 85:13, 89:14 **COHEN** [1] - 2:8 conclude [2] - 40:5, 79:14, 82:23 demonstrated [1] -50:17. 53:14. 64:16. 40.14 cohesive [1] - 29:12 cross [2] - 47:5, 51:13 66:25. 79:19. 91:1 concluded [1] -Cumberland [1] -68:14 coincide [1] - 87:16 conversations [9] department [2] - 28:6, coincidental [1] - 61:4 100:18 19:19 10:22, 13:17, 16:22, 28:7 conclusion [2] curriculum [2] -College [1] - 7:3 26:10, 27:22, 29:6, **Department** [3] - 11:9, 20:17, 52:5 10:14, 10:25 comb [1] - 41:24 29:19, 65:16, 66:12 confer [1] - 88:13 11:17, 12:10 comfortable [3] -COOPER [1] - 1:3 **DEPONENT** [2] - 1:14, confidence [1] - 71:6 D 23:11, 39:9, 98:7 Cooper [1] - 77:10 confident [3] - 25:21, coming [4] - 30:16, copied [1] - 53:2 dad [2] - 60:25, 75:16 deponent/witness [1] 37:15, 37:18 68:19, 74:22, 90:12 copy [3] - 54:19, damage [2] - 14:15, - 101:8 confirm [3] - 18:23, comment [11] - 14:1, 89:24, 101:12 deposed [1] - 62:21 21:20, 22:1 15:5 16:11, 62:5, 62:13, corner [1] - 50:22 damn [1] - 50:25 deposition [10] - 4:4, confirmed [1] - 63:20 86:7, 93:18, 93:22, correct [42] - 9:11, Dan [7] - 78:7, 78:18, 4:18, 4:23, 5:2, 14:8, confirms [1] - 35:22 94:9, 94:10, 94:13, 21:13, 23:17, 32:16, 80:8, 86:25, 87:10, 14:11, 62:22, 68:25, congratulated [3] -95:9 35:15, 36:17, 41:13, 87:12, 87:17 89:3, 100:17 51:2, 51:3, 51:9 comments [9] - 15:4, 42:12, 45:25, 46:22, darned [2] - 50:3 depositions [2] - 6:6, 57:16, 57:18, 58:4, congratulations [1] -47:22, 48:7, 48:9, date [2] - 17:17, 26:3 52.4 50:20 61:24, 62:16, 63:6, 49:4, 52:1, 52:25, derail [1] - 81:3 **DATE** [1] - 1:16 79:10, 87:24 connection [2] - 61:7, 54:3, 57:14, 57:20, derogatory [2] dated [7] - 33:13, Commission [1] -74:3 57:24, 57:25, 58:7, 42:3, 42:5, 42:20, 87:21, 87:24 conscience [3] -101:22 58:18, 59:1, 59:2, 38:12, 38:16, 65:9 42:23, 89:1, 101:17 described [1] - 89:4 commissioned [1] -59:7, 63:19, 69:19, conservative [1] -**DAVID** [1] - 1:10 describing [1] - 98:21 101.6 73:10, 80:11, 83:17, David [2] - 30:1, 83:1 Committee [1] - 85:14 84.1 desire [1] - 9:13 83:18, 84:6, 84:7, **DAVIS** [1] - 1:11 despises [1] - 87:23 committee [6] - 15:23, consider [3] - 24:23, 85:20, 91:6, 92:20, 68:7, 88:3 Davis [9] - 26:17, despite [1] - 40:17 16:1, 16:3, 17:6, 96:18, 98:18, 99:17, destained [1] - 61:13 26:23, 27:16, 27:23, 52:9, 52:17 considered [3] -99:22, 101:12 28:21, 50:8, 63:14, committees [1] -47:20, 74:22, 76:3 detail [2] - 19:17, 24:9 correspondence [1] -83:1, 99:6 detailed [1] - 44:15 22:24 consistent [1] - 93:13 55:10 Davis' [1] - 6:8 common [3] - 95:1, constant [1] - 27:17 determining [1] -

76:23 developed [1] - 24:15 developing [1] - 16:17 difference [3] - 82:4, 82:8, 100:12 different [11] - 14:21, 18:6, 18:7, 21:8, 25:4, 36:12, 36:13, 48:17, 70:15, 80:14, 81:22 difficult [3] - 16:21, 61:18, 97:20 digest [1] - 62:17 direct [4] - 46:5, 46:11, 85:22, 101:16 directly [1] - 36:25 director [5] - 8:6, 25:7, 27:3, 29:21, 38:9 Directors [1] - 90:20 **DIRECTORS** [1] - 1:8 directors [1] - 95:14 dirty [1] - 81:13 disagree [1] - 85:17 disagreeing [1] -84:16 disagreement [1] -86:11 discovery [7] - 4:5, 54:6, 54:9, 54:19, 72:23, 86:24, 87:9 discuss [3] - 22:13, 34:10, 45:17 discussed [6] - 22:12, 30:9, 31:14, 36:6, 91:6, 98:17 discussing [1] - 53:3 discussion [17] -39:12, 39:18, 52:16, 62:19, 91:11, 91:15, 94:15, 94:19, 94:21, 95:3, 99:1, 99:2, 99:14, 99:23, 100:6, 100:13 **Discussion** [1] - 55:3 discussions [2] -29:16, 30:1 diseases [1] - 11:15 dislike [2] - 49:21, 62:2 Dispatch [2] - 59:24, 60:6 displays [1] - 11:21 disregard [1] - 49:21 disrespect [1] - 41:10 disrespectful [1] -38:5 disrupt [3] - 61:11, 82:1, 86:14 dissatisfied [2] -97:17, 97:22

distinction [3] - 82:14, 100:1, 100:6 distributed [3] -89:14, 93:12, 96:17 distributes [1] - 93:14 District [3] - 7:12, 77:15, 90:21 district [17] - 11:18, 14:18, 16:14, 20:8, 20:12, 25:25, 27:9, 34:5, 37:12, 45:1, 58:8, 71:10, 71:16, 72:7, 73:12, 76:16, 81:18 **DISTRICT** [1] - 1:9 disturbed [1] - 80:2 division [2] - 14:6, 15:8 doctor [1] - 21:4 document [3] - 57:12, 77:3, 89:17 documentation [1] -79:6 dog [5] - 78:9, 78:10, 78:13, 78:20 dogs [2] - 78:11, 78:14 dollar [3] - 50:2, 70:24 done [7] - 8:23, 9:16, 9:18, 21:6, 23:20, 83:12, 88:2 doors [1] - 83:6 down [7] - 5:25, 24:14, 35:18, 50:13, 55:2, 91:2, 91:4 **Dr** [29] - 10:2, 12:17, 12:22, 12:24, 13:25, 14:4, 14:14, 14:21, 14:24, 15:4, 15:5, 26:7, 28:5, 30:7, 42:6, 44:25, 45:4, 50:14, 50:19, 51:6, 58:6, 64:16, 64:20, 64:21, 65:5, 68:17, 74:1, 74:6, 74:9 drank [1] - 47:8 draw [1] - 100:5 drinking [1] - 28:11 **Drive** [1] - 1:23 drove [1] - 7:10 drumming [1] - 61:11 due [3] - 10:17, 35:8, 98:4 duly [4] - 4:12, 77:16, 101:6, 101:8 duly-elected [1] -77:16 during [3] - 8:20, 26:22, 98:15

dusty [1] - 81:13

duties [1] - 97:21 duty [1] - 5:8

Ε E-mail [1] - 3:8 e-mail [37] - 3:8, 27:20. 27:21. 33:9. 33:10, 33:13, 33:22, 34:13, 34:20, 35:16, 36:17, 36:22, 37:22, 40:5, 40:13, 41:12, 41:21, 42:5, 43:7, 44:18, 52:23, 54:11, 55:18, 56:17, 59:14, 59:25, 64:21, 67:2, 67:3, 74:25, 75:2, 83:3, 88:25, 89:2, 89:7, 89:13, 89:24 e-mails [12] - 36:19, 42:2, 42:8, 55:9, 56:1, 56:10, 56:11, 83:24, 84:12, 85:8, 93:22, 93:25 easier [1] - 81:12 East [2] - 1:19, 2:4 eat [1] - 50:16 eating [1] - 51:7 Economic [1] - 23:5 educated [1] - 33:8 education [6] - 19:24, 20:5, 22:3, 27:23, 68:17, 70:12 Education [5] - 10:14, 10:17, 11:10, 11:17, 12:10 educational [2] - 6:12, 21:22 educator [1] - 6:21 effect [4] - 48:25, 49:7, 49:13, 53:6 effort [1] - 70:4 efforts [1] - 70:9 eight [1] - 42:15 **Eisenhower** [4] - 75:3, 75:10, 75:13, 76:2 either [11] - 18:20, 19:23, 21:22, 22:3, 26:9, 45:23, 47:17, 52:9, 59:14, 70:9, 84:6 elected [6] - 7:16,

8:11, 9:4, 50:7,

election [2] - 8:9,

electronic [4] - 54:2,

54:4, 55:9, 63:6

**ELLIOTT** [22] - 1:3,

1:4, 2:3, 4:15, 43:2,

93:21

**executive** [12] - 9:1,

12:23, 16:20, 36:5,

49:1, 50:10, 50:18,

77:16, 81:5

50:11

54:21, 55:1, 55:5, 57:8, 57:10, 88:11, 88:18, 89:10, 89:16, 89:21, 90:14, 98:12, 98:14, 100:15 Elliott [4] - 3:3, 4:16, 77:10 emotional [2] - 29:7, 72:10 emotionally [1] -73:21 employed [4] - 6:17, 6:19, 6:21, 37:11 employee [1] - 101:14 employment [1] - 7:5 encourage [1] - 64:15 encouraging [1] -27:25 end [1] - 61:5 enforce [1] - 38:8 enforcing [1] - 81:25 engage [4] - 91:19, 91:24, 92:8, 92:12 engagement [1] -44:11 entail [1] - 39:2 entire [1] - 62:11 entitled [1] - 67:13 **ESQUIRE** [2] - 2:3, 2:8 essentially [4] - 14:15, 14:16, 21:11, 25:9 Etters [1] - 4:22 evaluate [1] - 72:7 evening [4] - 37:17, 40:15, 45:9, 67:22 evidence [5] - 81:24, 84:17, 85:4, 85:22, 86:2 exact [12] - 9:15, 13:12, 17:1, 17:17, 23:18, 26:3, 30:19, 31:19, 32:4, 46:5, 46:8, 59:9 exactly [3] - 31:16, 41:1, 69:14 **EXAMINATION** [4] -3:2, 4:14, 90:17, 98:13 examples [1] - 81:11 except [2] - 4:7, 81:3 excerpt [1] - 76:15 exchange [7] - 3:8, 33:13, 36:17, 36:23, 41:12, 52:23, 75:2 excuse [2] - 78:22,

43:5, 47:10, 47:15,

59:15, 60:19, 71:13, 74:13 exhausted [1] - 94:24 Exhibit [1] - 88:16 **EXHIBIT** [1] - 3:7 exhibit [1] - 54:23 **EXHIBITS** [1] - 3:6 expensive [1] - 81:7 experience [8] - 7:20, 19:22, 21:21, 22:2, 27:6, 69:25, 70:11, 97:12 experienced [1] - 17:3 expertise [1] - 20:14 Expiration [1] -101:22 explained [1] - 20:10 express [1] - 28:22 expressed [8] - 28:25, 56:25, 58:13, 58:22, 59:5, 59:16, 59:17, 81:21 expressing [2] -58:25, 86:11 F

face [1] - 69:7

Facebook [3] - 79:12, 87:1, 87:11 facility [1] - 80:4 facing [1] - 34:5 fact [12] - 26:25, 27:1, 46:13, 52:13, 53:4, 53:24, 55:6, 60:24, 64:7, 69:20, 74:21, 98:4 factfinding [4] - 18:3, 19:15, 19:17, 28:15 factor [1] - 81:16 facts [2] - 28:2, 82:24 fair [8] - 25:8, 49:1, 58:12, 58:21, 81:9, 81:10, 85:15, 97:14 fairly [6] - 46:5, 48:13, 50:7, 79:16, 82:19, 97:23 familiar [1] - 42:9 families [1] - 73:13 fashion [2] - 6:10, 62.7 father [1] - 75:15 favor [1] - 66:8 favorable [1] - 83:25 February [4] - 1:16, 62:10, 62:15, 86:4 fees [3] - 69:12, 69:13, 73:12 felt [17] - 9:25, 14:5, 16:12, 17:1, 17:8,

18:1, 20:15, 21:7, 21:9, 23:11, 29:1, 50:4, 62:18, 64:19, 70:22, 73:19, 78:25 female [1] - 12:8 fifth [2] - 11:11, 11:20 figure [1] - 46:24 figuring [1] - 46:25 filed [1] - 76:16 files [2] - 40:20, 66:24 filing [1] - 4:6 finally [1] - 10:24 finance [1] - 28:7 finded [2] - 26:25, 27:1 fine [3] - 6:4, 41:23, 77:7 firehose [1] - 28:11 firm [11] - 19:25, 20:1, 20:5, 20:15, 20:24, 34:7, 35:23, 36:6, 37:12, 43:16, 70:23 firms [3] - 21:1, 24:13, 24:24 first [17] - 8:5, 8:8, 17:15, 24:18, 33:19, 33:24, 35:17, 42:3, 42:7, 42:19, 43:2, 47:16, 47:17, 48:6, 83:12, 90:22, 96:15 firsts [1] - 99:1 Fishing [2] - 10:20, 13:2 five [5] - 11:11, 20:7, 47:3, 47:4, 96:7 **five-four** [1] - 47:3 **flashlight** [1] - 54:13 flood [1] - 56:17 floor [1] - 7:9 Floor [1] - 2:9 flow [1] - 29:12 flowing [1] - 47:5 folks [1] - 68:10 **follow** [4] - 51:21, 72:7, 75:5, 90:15 follow-up [3] - 51:21, 75:5, 90:15 following [2] - 42:6, 72:9 follows [1] - 4:12 food [1] - 51:7 force [1] - 83:9 foresee [3] - 34:8, 40:18, 43:13 forget [2] - 71:19, 82:21 forgot [1] - 11:24

forming [2] - 15:22, 76.18 forth [2] - 6:2, 30:15 forthcoming [1] -73:20 forward [14] - 10:12, 12:24, 23:16, 25:10, 26:1, 39:7, 52:11, 57:22, 62:17, 66:2, 68:1, 68:12, 68:22, 68:23 forwarded [2] - 55:13, 58:4 forwarding [1] - 42:11 **foundation** [1] - 98:2 four [12] - 7:23, 8:12, 20:7, 30:21, 30:25, 47:3, 47:4, 49:9, 49:15, 50:5, 53:8, 94:23 four-five [1] - 47:4 four-year [1] - 8:12 Fourhman [4] - 60:17, 61:5, 61:6, 74:3 fourhman's [1] - 60:21 fourth [1] - 44:12 Fox [5] - 60:20, 60:21, 61:6, 61:8, 74:3 frame [1] - 8:9 Fred [1] - 82:19 Friday [2] - 47:24, 48:2 friend [2] - 78:9, 78:17 friends [5] - 60:18, 87:1, 87:11, 87:15, 87:16 frustrated [1] - 29:13 frustration [1] - 28:25 fucking [1] - 48:15 fundamental [1] - 49:6 fundamentals [2] -81:14, 85:9

## G

FURMAN [1] - 2:8

ganged [1] - 17:9 ganging [1] - 25:2 Garrison [1] - 1:23 gavel [1] - 29:3 gay [4] - 11:3, 12:25, 13:2, 27:21 Geisinger [1] - 7:15 **generally** [1] - 65:6 **gentleman** [1] - 78:12 German [1] - 78:10 gestures [1] - 6:2 girl [1] - 78:4 given [5] - 4:23, 17:19, 52:24, 67:14, 67:20

go-to [1] - 31:11 goal [2] - 34:2, 40:24 goals [1] - 22:14 God [1] - 77:23 gosh [1] - 57:6 government [1] - 7:21 grade [4] - 11:10, 11:11, 11:12 graders [2] - 11:11, 11:20 graduated [1] - 7:2 Grandview [1] - 7:14 grant [1] - 50:2 grasp [1] - 18:4 great [2] - 24:8, 80:19 **GREENHALL** [1] - 2:8 ground [1] - 5:2 group [6] - 79:3, 79:9, 79:13, 83:18, 83:22, 83:25 **Group** [1] - 14:13 groups [1] - 85:17 Growth [1] - 23:5 **GSP** [2] - 78:16, 78:17 guess [3] - 10:23, 35:19, 37:25 Guistwhite [14] - 14:8, 17:4, 23:19, 24:6, 24:16, 28:10, 30:23, 38:10, 47:18, 49:20, 51:2, 51:14, 65:8, 98:5 Guistwhite's [1] -68:25 Gullifty's [1] - 75:17

### Н

Hall [61] - 17:16, 18:11, 18:13, 19:11, 20:17, 21:11, 21:20, 21:25, 22:2, 22:13, 22:19, 25:21, 26:11, 26:24, 28:23, 29:17, 30:2, 30:11, 31:1, 32:6, 32:15, 33:14, 35:9, 36:21, 37:16, 38:18, 39:13, 39:24, 40:14, 41:5, 41:16, 42:4. 42:10. 42:14. 43:6. 44:22. 45:14. 45:18, 47:19, 48:7, 48:8, 52:24, 53:4, 53:9, 65:14, 65:19, 66:3, 66:8, 66:17, 66:22, 67:17, 69:11, 69:25, 70:10, 71:7, 73:15, 74:21, 76:2, 93:19, 94:17, 98:21 hall [20] - 23:11, 26:1,

26:6, 29:24, 33:8, 71:18, 73:1 33:9, 34:21, 35:7, **hmm** [3] - 9:12, 19:6, 36:11, 37:8, 37:22, 24:12 38:1, 40:9, 44:10, hmm-mm [1] - 19:6 46:17, 67:1, 69:13, Holy [1] - 7:14 70:21, 76:5, 83:2 honestly [5] - 32:4, hall's [5] - 34:20, 35:9, 45:10, 46:8, 59:8, 43:15. 67:2. 76:10 60:10 Hall's [3] - 19:1, 33:22, hooky [1] - 74:15 40:5 hope [1] - 46:6 hand [2] - 51:9, 80:19 horseshoe [1] - 99:8 handed [1] - 60:14 Hospital [1] - 7:8 handing [2] - 77:25, hourly [3] - 69:18, 80:5 69:21. 70:7 handle [1] - 80:21 hours [3] - 93:15, handling [1] - 45:19 94:23, 97:4 hard [1] - 98:1 **HR** [1] - 28:6 Harmon [4] - 2:15, hung [2] - 31:25, 77:11, 79:8, 83:20 48:19 **HARMON** [1] - 1:4 Hunter [1] - 1:18 Harrisburg [3] - 2:9, **HUNTER** [1] - 2:3 7:3, 7:8 husband [2] - 60:21, hate [2] - 61:14, 61:16 61:7 Haven [1] - 4:21 hygiene [1] - 11:12 head [3] - 5:25, 20:4, 91:17 Health [5] - 7:8, 10:14, 10:17, 11:4, 11:22 health [1] - 17:8 hear [3] - 5:10, 5:11, 59:9 heard [7] - 5:16, 10:3, 19:1, 23:19, 60:7,

75:18, 78:1

hello [1] - 50:14

help [1] - 70:12

helped [1] - 98:5

hereto [1] - 101:15

hesitate [1] - 34:11

high [7] - 9:3, 77:21,

78:1, 79:1, 84:21,

79:12, 79:17, 80:13,

81:22, 85:12, 87:14

himself [1] - 20:17

hindsight [2] - 39:6,

hire [3] - 23:25, 31:18,

hiring [3] - 31:1,

his/her [1] - 101:9

history [3] - 7:5,

59:18, 92:8

87:25, 88:1

HIGH [1] - 1:4

56:14

45.8

High [7] - 77:11,

82:25

**HEIDI** [2] - 1:9, 4:10

Heidi [6] - 1:14, 4:21,

25:15, 35:9, 42:21,

hereby [2] - 4:2, 101:7

ı

icy [3] - 16:19, 50:25, 64.14 idea [10] - 9:7, 24:2, 37:20, 38:17, 60:23, 61:10, 65:13, 76:1, 76:13 ideas [1] - 18:7 ideations [1] - 62:1 identification [1] -88:17 identify [1] - 71:25 ideology [1] - 80:23 illegitimate [2] -77:13, 80:16 impetus [2] - 15:12, implicitly [1] - 86:23 implying [1] - 67:1 **important** [3] - 24:23, 49:14, 64:19 **imposing** [1] - 77:13 **impossible** [1] - 97:23 impression [1] - 65:18 improper [5] - 82:11, 84:19, 84:20, 85:24, 86.1 IN [1] - 1:1 in-between [1] - 50:5 in-person [1] - 26:10 inappropriate [3] -41:21, 79:25, 88:3 incident [1] - 80:13

inclined [1] - 99:12

form [1] - 4:7

format [1] - 64:21

formed [1] - 80:24

includes [1] - 43:25 inconsistencies [2] -72:14, 72:24 incorrect [2] - 41:21, 82:9 Independence [1] -23.2 **INDEX** [2] - 3:1, 3:6 indicate [3] - 26:5, 57:17, 58:2 indicated [6] - 18:10, 45:21, 58:19, 68:25, 71:2, 98:15 indicates [2] - 33:23, 36:15 indicating [1] - 90:7 indication [1] - 39:25 inform [1] - 33:25 information [23] -18:3, 28:5, 28:9, 43:10, 43:11, 43:12, 43:14, 43:17, 44:8, 44:9, 44:10, 53:15, 62:24, 64:9, 69:14, 69:23, 70:22, 76:4, 76:12, 77:9, 91:12, 91:14, 91:21 informed [3] - 35:23, 35:25, 45:6 informing [1] - 51:21 inherent [1] - 66:20 input [5] - 16:2, 17:10, 76:23, 77:3, 92:24 inside [1] - 61:3instance [4] - 15:11, 15:17, 15:21, 68:24 instances [1] - 9:25 Institute [1] - 11:4 institute [1] - 11:9 instructed [1] - 38:12 insulting [2] - 87:22, 88.3 interaction [1] - 88:5 interested [1] - 78:10 **interesting** [1] - 75:25 Interrogatory [2] -18:8, 26:4 interview [2] - 23:7, 67:16 interviewed [1] -24:13 involved [1] - 19:18 irrespective [1] - 70:7 island [1] - 17:9 issue [4] - 55:20, 70:17, 72:1, 72:2 issued [1] - 14:24 issues [2] - 17:8, 34:4 item [15] - 42:15, 43:12, 43:19, 46:7,

49:6, 49:13, 51:22, 52:21, 53:23, 53:25, 60:9, 91:12, 91:14, 91:21, 93:20 items [11] - 34:10, 42:11, 42:22, 43:8, 44:1. 44:13. 44:19. 44:20, 88:9, 96:16, 96:20 itself [1] - 80:16

J Jamie [1] - 14:24 Jan [1] - 77:11 JAN [1] - 1:4 Jane [2] - 77:12, 84:10 **JANE** [1] - 1:5 January [10] - 8:16, 9:1, 9:5, 9:6, 62:10, 86:3, 90:23, 91:9, 91:13, 97:16 **JARRETT** [1] - 1:5 Jarrett [1] - 77:11 ibonn@ cohenseglias.com [1] - 2:10 **JEFF** [1] - 1:4 **Jeff** [3] - 2:15, 77:11, 88:13 Jesse [1] - 77:12 **JESSE** [1] - 1:5 jnelliottesq@ comcast.net [1] - 2:5 job [4] - 13:25, 21:6, 51:10, 77:1 Joei [1] - 79:9 **JOHN** [2] - 1:3, 2:3 **John** [3] - 4:16, 77:10, 89:12 Josh [1] - 90:19 JOSHUA [1] - 2:8 journey [1] - 47:5 Judy [1] - 82:22 July [2] - 86:4, 86:6 June [2] - 86:4, 101:22 justify [1] - 72:1

54:17

Kambic [10] - 30:24, 31:6, 31:13, 31:15, 47:18, 49:20, 51:3, 51:13, 75:3, 75:10 Kapranos [1] - 82:22 **KATHERINE** [1] - 1:4 Katherine [1] - 77:11 keep [3] - 71:21, 73:20, 81:13

juvenile [1] - 50:22

K

keeping [1] - 81:16 Kelly [14] - 13:20, 16:16, 24:25, 45:11, 66:4, 66:10, 66:11, 66:16, 68:20, 69:7, 82:25, 95:16, 95:20 **KELLY** [1] - 1:10 kept [1] - 15:8 Kevin [46] - 17:15, 18:11, 18:12, 20:10, 20:17, 21:20, 21:25, 26:11, 26:24, 28:23, 30:2, 31:1, 32:6, 33:14, 33:22, 35:9, 36:21, 37:16, 41:5, 41:16, 42:4, 42:10, 42:14, 43:6, 45:18, 47:19, 48:7, 48:8, 53:9, 65:14, 65:19, 66:3, 66:8, 66:17, 67:17, 69:10, 69:25, 70:10, 71:6, 74:21, 76:2, 93:19, 94:16, 96:7, 96:9, 98:21 Kilby [3] - 1:20, 101:6, 101:20 kind [35] - 13:7, 13:11, 13:13, 16:11, 16:13, 16:14, 17:8, 21:21, 23:19, 24:7, 25:2, 27:24, 28:3, 28:10, 28:15, 29:4, 29:20, 32:19, 32:24, 33:4, 39:1, 39:19, 49:12, 49:25, 53:11, 56:5, 61:22, 65:2, 67:14, 68:12, 72:18, 73:2, 74:10, 78:13, 88:7 knowing [1] - 97:24 knowledge [15] - 25:4, 47:17, 49:9, 49:15, 52:8, 54:1, 54:9, 60:20, 63:23, 89:22, 89:25, 92:18, 100:5, 100:12, 101:9 knowledgeable [1] -

## L

known [1] - 19:4

laborious [1] - 25:2 lack [1] - 74:16 lacked [2] - 12:18, 12:20 laid [1] - 69:2 Lancaster [2] - 101:1, 101:7 large [2] - 78:8, 85:13 law [11] - 8:4, 19:24,

20:5, 21:1, 21:22, 22:3, 59:11, 64:2, 70:13, 72:9, 93:16 Law [3] - 1:3, 23:2, 54:15 lawsuit [11] - 71:10, 71:17, 71:20, 72:3, 73:24, 77:13, 80:16, 84:24, 85:5, 85:7, 85:11 lawyer [2] - 33:2, 70.18 lawyer's [1] - 77:1 lead [2] - 18:1, 29:1 Leader [13] - 8:16, 8:23, 9:4, 9:17, 20:8, 20:11, 23:14, 23:25, 44:4, 45:8, 69:16, 70:2, 70:20 leading [2] - 26:19, 55:11 Leah [1] - 77:10 **LEAH** [1] - 1:3 leaned [2] - 26:14, 26:15

learn [2] - 96:15, 96:20 learning [2] - 39:7, 72:11 least [4] - 59:24, 66:7, 67:23, 89:23 leaving [1] - 74:13 led [2] - 20:20, 67:25 left [5] - 12:16, 31:7, 39:20, 53:16, 78:4 legal [5] - 9:8, 72:19, 77:3, 82:13, 100:1 legitimate [1] - 95:12 less [3] - 69:15, 69:18, 69:21 letter [2] - 35:7, 44:11 **Lewisberry** [1] - 7:22 liability [1] - 73:8 liable [1] - 72:8 life [1] - 39:7 light [1] - 15:15 line [2] - 75:19, 87:13 line-up [1] - 75:19 lines [2] - 29:20, 59:25 Lisa [2] - 79:6, 84:10 list [5] - 34:4, 43:25, 56:23, 87:1, 87:11 listed [2] - 44:20, 57:1 listen [3] - 59:9, 94:9, 94:13 listening [3] - 10:1, 13:23, 15:13

listing [1] - 57:15

39:6

live [3] - 12:5, 12:7,

**LLP** [2] - 1:18, 2:3 loaded [2] - 96:24, 97:5 look [9] - 33:15, 44:11, 56:22, 57:11, 64:16, 75:6, 88:7, 88:20, 92.5 looked [9] - 13:5, 18:20, 19:25, 21:7, 57:3, 74:11, 75:1, 78:20, 89:16 looking [8] - 18:18, 40:13, 41:22, 56:5, 61:19, 81:17, 90:12, 92:17 looks [4] - 42:4, 42:19, 65:5, 88:25 loop [2] - 72:18, 72:19 lost [8] - 80:1, 80:20, 82:19, 82:20, 82:22, 82:23, 82:24, 83:11 lover [1] - 78:10

# М

mail [38] - 3:8, 3:8, 27:20, 27:21, 33:9, 33:10, 33:13, 33:22, 34:13, 34:20, 35:16, 36:17, 36:22, 37:22, 40:5, 40:13, 41:12, 41:21, 42:5, 43:7, 44:18, 52:23, 54:11, 55:18, 56:17, 59:14, 59:25, 64:21, 67:2, 67:3, 74:25, 75:2, 83:3, 88:25, 89:2, 89:7, 89:13, 89:24 mails [12] - 36:19, 42:2. 42:8. 55:9. 56:1, 56:10, 56:11, 83:24, 84:12, 85:8, 93:22, 93:25 main [2] - 21:11, 88:24 majority [9] - 10:1, 14:3, 15:13, 15:19, 58:13, 64:14, 71:4, 71:7, 77:15 manage [1] - 28:12 mandatory [2] - 8:7, 99:13 **MANDY** [1] - 1:11 Mandy [4] - 26:17, 26:23, 28:21, 83:1 manipulate [2] - 38:8, 83.9 mannequins [1] -11:23 manner [1] - 58:14 March [7] - 18:11,

26:6, 26:11, 26:22, 62:10, 86:4, 101:17 mark [1] - 54:23 marked [7] - 33:12, 42:2. 56:20. 75:1. 76:15, 88:16, 88:19 Market [2] - 1:19, 2:4 Markey [1] - 1:18 MARKEY [1] - 2:3 Marvin [2] - 79:4, 84:11 mat [2] - 61:21, 64:14 material [2] - 10:19, 11:21 matter [2] - 4:17, 76:16 matters [3] - 34:6, 97:25, 101:9 mean [18] - 18:16, 19:25, 22:22, 26:12, 27:1, 33:7, 40:22, 41:3, 41:8, 41:9, 42:18, 44:7, 47:1, 60:15, 68:8, 68:9, 91:4, 91:7 meaning [1] - 63:13 means [6] - 5:5, 25:12, 77:13, 80:17, 86:12, 101:15 meet [2] - 10:24, 63:12 meeting [98] - 9:10, 10:21. 11:2. 11:5. 12:11. 12:12. 12:17. 12:25, 14:4, 14:13, 24:10, 26:7, 27:14, 29:7, 29:14, 30:9, 32:10, 32:11, 34:9, 34:11, 35:1, 35:14, 35:24, 36:2, 36:5, 37:2, 37:5, 39:25, 40:7, 40:19, 42:12, 42:23, 43:9, 44:13, 45:24, 46:21, 47:21, 50:24, 52:16, 53:25, 54:13, 55:8, 55:11, 55:15, 55:22, 56:1, 56:21, 56:25, 57:17, 57:19, 57:24, 58:3, 58:10, 59:9, 59:13, 59:25, 60:4, 61:22, 62:20, 62:24, 63:4, 63:12, 63:17, 63:18, 68:14, 72:21, 74:17, 74:20, 75:11, 79:18, 83:7, 86:6, 86:8, 90:4, 90:7, 90:24, 91:11, 92:3, 92:16, 92:19, 93:6, 93:10, 93:23, 94:2, 94:12, 96:11, 96:16, 96:20,

96:21, 97:11, 98:16, 98:17, 98:24, 99:17, 99:21, 100:7 meetings [14] - 10:12, 16:7, 20:25, 21:12, 29:1, 29:8, 30:14, 49:1, 61:12, 72:16, 73:6, 82:16, 87:18, 95:2 member [5] - 28:2, 78:7, 85:13, 86:12, 90.5 members [35] - 15:24, 16:5, 16:12, 17:4, 30:22, 38:13, 43:15, 49:2, 49:9, 49:15, 50:6, 52:21, 52:25, 53:4, 53:8, 54:2, 59:5, 59:17, 61:16, 63:20, 64:12, 65:14, 66:13, 67:16, 72:18, 74:20, 77:16, 84:1, 85:17, 95:24, 96:3, 97:8, 98:1 mentally [1] - 94:24 mention [1] - 76:9 mentioned [3] - 76:8, 83:15, 92:15 mentor [1] - 28:18 message [2] - 31:7, 53:16 met [3] - 18:12, 30:7, 39:17 micromanage [1] -39:21 middle [1] - 50:22 might [12] - 11:13, 24:16, 58:20, 59:6, 70:3, 70:9, 70:12, 71:11, 74:21, 77:23, 88:12, 99:4 Mill [1] - 77:22 million [1] - 50:1 mind [3] - 51:13, 56:9, 63:17 minority [1] - 53:8 minute [5] - 41:16, 47:10, 54:24, 75:6, 88:12 minutes [4] - 50:16, 56:21, 57:2, 92:16 mole [1] - 90:11

mom [1] - 75:16

moment [4] - 33:15,

42:7, 56:22, 88:20

Monday [2] - 96:25,

month [1] - 67:23

most [3] - 34:4, 61:18,

97.1

83:15

motion [1] - 94:18 motivated [2] - 81:12, 86:21 motivation [3] - 85:19, 86:13, 86:14 motive [2] - 81:24, 84.18 **MOU** [2] - 14:22, 14:23 move [3] - 62:17, 68:12, 68:22 moving [1] - 60:13 Moyer [6] - 38:11, 38:14, 51:4, 65:1, 65:8, 82:20 MR [30] - 4:15, 42:25, 43:2, 43:4, 43:5, 47:7, 47:10, 47:15, 54:7, 54:18, 54:21, 55:1, 55:5, 57:4, 57:8, 57:10, 88:11, 88:18, 89:10, 89:12, 89:16, 89:20, 89:21, 90:14, 90:16, 90:18, 98:10, 98:12, 98:14, 100:15 multiple [1] - 24:13 municipal [1] - 7:20 must [2] - 56:6, 91:5

#### Ν

name [8] - 4:16, 4:19, 12:8, 79:5, 79:6, 82:22, 83:22, 83:23 named [3] - 84:5, 85:23, 88:2 names [7] - 20:14, 83:24, 84:10, 84:11, 85:8, 86:7, 87:16 narrowed [1] - 24:14 navigate [1] - 28:4 necessarily [1] - 99:24  $\textbf{necessary} \ [2] \textbf{ - } 20\text{:}21,$ 36:3 need [20] - 5:9, 5:24, 6:3, 13:21, 28:17, 34:8, 34:16, 35:1, 35:13, 37:1, 37:4, 37:24, 39:25, 40:18, 45:23, 46:4, 46:7, 46:14, 47:10, 70:12 needed [2] - 45:7, 98:3 negative [1] - 82:17 never [26] - 4:25, 12:25, 14:4, 14:22, 14:23, 23:15, 25:5, 37:18, 37:19, 37:20, 41:15, 51:2, 51:3, 51:15, 52:15, 63:13,

83:8, 91:23, 98:5 new [14] - 9:2, 9:3, 13:22, 21:25, 26:15, 27:4, 27:25, 28:1, 30:3. 38:12. 57:9. 64:14, 76:16, 79:3 New [1] - 19:19 newer [1] - 64:12 news [2] - 60:23, 68:18 **newspapers** [1] - 61:8 next [5] - 46:25, 50:14, 51:24, 72:21, 76:14 nice [2] - 31:24, 48:18 nine [1] - 47:3 nine-oh [1] - 47:3 ninth [1] - 90:3 nobody [3] - 50:11, 50:21, 61:20 none [1] - 82:15 **normally** [1] - 90:8 North [1] - 2:9 Northern [7] - 54:10, 56:13, 78:8, 80:7, 80:24, 85:13, 89:14 Notary [3] - 1:21, 101:6, 101:21 note [2] - 35:17, 35:21 notes [4] - 27:3, 27:7, 27:18, 64:18 nothing [6] - 8:21, 48:17, 67:2, 82:10, 83:3, 98:11 notice [2] - 44:4, 96:19 notified [1] - 95:7 number [7] - 17:20, 17:23, 19:1, 19:11, 58:1, 58:25, 93:25 numerous [5] - 26:16, 56:1, 56:11, 62:16, 94:4 nurse [6] - 6:14, 6:20, 7:6, 7:10, 21:2, 25:6 nursing [1] - 6:14

63:25, 64:7, 65:7,

65:20, 75:8, 83:5,

### 0

oath [1] - 5:5 Oberlin [1] - 87:14 objections [1] - 4:7 objective [5] - 71:9, 73:3, 73:16, 73:18, 74:4 obligated [2] - 92:7, 92:12 observations [1] -73:23 observed [1] - 49:19 obviously [9] - 5:4, 44:7, 64:6, 67:6, 71:14, 79:1, 80:12, 80:13, 80:23 occasionally [1] -21:18 occur [1] - 46:19 October [1] - 86:5 **OF** [4] - 1:1, 1:8, 1:9 official [2] - 17:12, 26:20 often [1] - 30:6 once [1] - 40:8 one [35] - 8:16, 9:4, 10:11, 12:2, 20:9, 21:3, 21:12, 21:16, 21:23, 23:12, 23:15, 29:23, 33:13, 40:2, 42:4, 44:12, 44:19, 50:12, 57:9, 60:15, 60:18, 63:7, 70:5, 71:2, 71:3, 72:16, 73:3, 73:5, 74:9, 75:4, 79:11, 84:12, 86:3, 92:10, 93:7 ones [1] - 58:1 ongoing [1] - 51:24 online [3] - 8:19, 8:20 **oOo**[1] - 1:13 op [1] - 7:9 open [1] - 62:19 operate [1] - 6:9 opinion [23] - 9:16, 21:9, 28:22, 36:14, 56:3, 56:18, 58:16, 58:22, 58:23, 59:1, 59:2, 61:24, 64:13, 67:12, 68:10, 69:3, 69:4, 73:22, 77:25, 79:22, 83:10, 85:25, 86:18 opportunity [3] -92:23, 93:17, 95:9 opt [1] - 72:6 options [1] - 18:7 oral [1] - 94:9 orange [1] - 54:13 orchestrated [1] -56:15 order [1] - 45:7 ordinarily [1] - 93:13

organ [1] - 12:6

12:3, 22:23

22:21

6:5

organization [2] -

organizations [1] -

organs [1] - 12:6

otherwise [2] - 5:15,

outcome [1] - 71:17 outcry [1] - 68:19 outreaching [1] - 85:7 outside [2] - 63:12, 63:18 overreaching [1] -35:5 overstepped [2] -38:19, 39:10 overstepping [1] -41:17 overview [2] - 8:14, 8:15 own [4] - 17:9, 25:16, 61:22, 85:18 owned [1] - 75:16

#### P

**p.m** [4] - 43:7, 88:14, 88:15, 100:17 **PA**[4] - 1:23, 2:4, 2:9, 23.4 Pack [1] - 23:5 packet [1] - 96:17 page [5] - 33:23, 35:17, 42:19, 43:2, 57:14 **PAGE** [2] - 3:2, 3:7 Page [1] - 57:21 paid [1] - 73:12 **PALLAS** [1] - 2:8 paper [2] - 35:20, 60:8 paperwork [2] - 34:6, 54:16 parent [15] - 10:12, 10:16, 10:19, 10:22, 10:25, 11:1, 11:6, 11:20, 11:25, 12:12, 71:21, 72:6, 72:11, 72:12 parental [1] - 71:12 parents [3] - 13:1, 75:15, 75:16 part [11] - 21:3, 25:5, 25:14, 27:2, 29:7, 44:15, 68:2, 72:12, 81:4, 85:10, 86:24 participate [3] - 76:18, 76:23. 94:20 participated [1] - 94:6 participation [1] -16:3 particular [1] - 9:21 parties [2] - 4:3, 101:14 parts [1] - 60:13 Party [3] - 80:7, 80:24, 89:15 party [3] - 36:19,

40:13, 80:25 passed [1] - 18:10 past [3] - 49:19, 97:12, 98:4 path [1] - 68:1 pause [1] - 62:6 **PC** [1] - 2:8 penalties [1] - 5:6 Pennsylvania [7] -1:19, 4:22, 8:6, 72:5, 81:15, 101:3, 101:7 PENNSYLVANIA [1] people [28] - 9:4, 11:3, 13:10, 16:14, 16:20, 16:21, 20:7, 33:2, 37:20, 38:16, 41:8, 56:24, 57:15, 57:23, 58:25, 61:25, 67:6, 67:12, 68:19, 70:15, 79:17, 82:16, 83:11, 83:15, 85:16, 88:6, 90:4, 99:11 people's [1] - 78:11 per [5] - 25:13, 51:18, 70:25, 72:19, 93:15 perceived [1] - 39:8 percent [2] - 19:16, 53:2 perform [1] - 97:20 performance [1] -97:17 performed [1] - 8:23 period [1] - 7:25 perjury [1] - 5:6 person [12] - 8:18, 8:21, 8:24, 17:25, 21:5, 21:12, 26:10, 33:8, 39:21, 50:12, 50:15, 57:23 personal [1] - 62:3 personally [2] - 19:5, 45:22 Phillips [1] - 6:20 phone [8] - 17:20, 18:22, 19:1, 19:10, 26:9, 26:10, 48:5, 63:21 pick [1] - 75:18 pinched [1] - 50:4 Pinnacle [1] - 7:8 pinning [1] - 25:13 PLACE [1] - 1:18 place [12] - 10:19, 30:13, 30:18, 30:19, 31:5, 31:17, 40:21, 80:22, 91:7, 91:8, 99:17, 99:20 placed [6] - 5:4, 31:4,

40:23, 47:21, 52:22,

96:22 plaintiff [3] - 80:9, 83:20, 84:6 plaintiff's [1] - 84:18 plaintiffs [6] - 77:9, 79:11, 81:25, 83:16, 85:23. 88:2 **Plaintiffs** [5] - 1:6, 1:15, 2:6, 4:11, 4:17 played [1] - 62:20 pleas [1] - 68:6 PLEAS [1] - 1:1 pleasure [2] - 10:4, 14:3 point [9] - 11:6, 13:14, 18:19, 21:12, 46:9, 47:4, 59:4, 82:15, 98:18 policy [9] - 10:15, 22:14, 44:3, 45:6, 92:4, 92:7, 92:11, 92:21, 92:25 political [10] - 22:21, 22:22, 22:24, 77:14, 80:5, 80:14, 81:23, 82:2, 86:14, 87:14 politically [4] - 81:12, 84:17, 85:18, 86:21 politics [1] - 85:19 poll [7] - 37:19, 64:2, 64:3, 65:12, 83:8, 99:2 polled [1] - 86:3 polling [5] - 77:24, 78:1, 80:4, 80:6, 80:22 poor[1] - 81:17 popular [1] - 65:11 portion [1] - 88:24 posed [1] - 5:23 positive [2] - 65:18, 82:17 possible [4] - 34:3, 40:25, 85:19, 86:13 post [1] - 7:9 post-op [1] - 7:9 postpone [2] - 67:15, 67:22 potential [11] - 19:8, 23:8, 24:24, 26:24, 41:25, 63:8, 67:18, 68:7, 90:23, 93:4, 93:8 potentially [3] - 30:3, 31:1, 44:10 practice [2] - 22:6, 70:15 preceptor [1] - 98:6 predicaments [1] -73:20

preparation [2] - 37:5, 45.24 prepare [4] - 34:16, 43:18, 44:6, 44:22 prepared [4] - 27:13, 28:13, 29:1, 65:6 preparing [2] - 34:5, 42.14 presence [1] - 36:2 present [5] - 8:25, 12:25, 13:6, 14:7, 94:12 PRESENT [1] - 2:14 presented [4] - 28:9, 69:1, 70:23, 87:8 presenting [1] - 44:8 president [16] - 26:13, 26:14, 28:19, 29:2, 29:5, 38:7, 60:17, 62:12, 64:3, 83:9, 88:7, 96:14, 97:7, 97:15, 97:21, 98:4 President [1] - 35:25 pressing [1] - 34:4 presume [1] - 5:16 pretty [9] - 9:2, 10:5, 11:25, 12:12, 29:8, 46:9, 50:4, 94:24 previous [4] - 6:6, 44:4, 52:4, 70:11 previously [1] - 75:1 primary [3] - 77:21, 77:22, 87:15 principal [1] - 13:1 principle [2] - 15:17, 15:20 **printed** [1] - 60:14 printout [1] - 101:12 privy [4] - 40:11, 56:8, 65:15, 90:5 problem [1] - 63:8 proceed [1] - 67:21 proceeding [1] - 62:6 process [25] - 16:10, 17:13, 23:24, 24:5, 24:11, 25:1, 25:5, 25:6, 25:11, 25:14, 51:23, 52:2, 52:6, 52:11, 54:6, 67:19, 67:25, 68:3, 68:6, 68:20, 91:19, 91:24, 91:25, 92:8, 92:12 **produce** [1] - 70:24 productive [3] - 16:22, 16:23. 81:4 professional [9] -32:19, 32:25, 33:3, 35:3, 37:9, 39:1,

program [3] - 71:22, 72:10, 72:11 progressive [1] -80:25 prompted [1] - 9:13 pronounce [1] - 78:19 proper [1] - 62:7 properly [1] - 72:7 proposal [1] - 9:7 proprietary [1] - 10:18 protocol [1] - 27:8 prove [1] - 72:12 provide [4] - 34:4, 43:14, 92:24, 93:18 provided [3] - 18:9, 92:23, 93:2 **provisions** [1] - 44:5 **PSBA** [2] - 8:15, 8:18 Public [3] - 1:21, 101:6, 101:21 public [47] - 10:12, 10:21, 12:17, 14:1, 24:9, 24:10, 29:8, 52:16, 54:1, 54:3, 55:7, 55:24, 56:2, 56:7, 56:8, 61:22, 62:5, 63:3, 63:18, 64:23, 65:4, 67:21, 68:14, 68:15, 73:6, 73:25, 74:21, 86:7, 89:5, 90:10, 91:11, 92:23, 93:2, 93:13, 93:17, 93:18, 93:21, 94:9, 94:10, 94:13, 94:14, 95:7, 95:9, 96:21, 99:17, 99:20 publicly [2] - 90:22, 91:18 pull [1] - 62:15 **pump** [1] - 41:16 purpose [6] - 24:4, 82:12, 84:20, 84:23, 85:5, 85:24 purposes [1] - 100:10 pushback [1] - 67:21 put [14] - 25:19, 27:11, 31:18, 35:10, 41:3, 41:24, 45:4, 45:5, 49:8, 66:15, 68:22, 69:13, 91:22 putting [7] - 32:3, 34:21, 49:6, 49:13, 63:6, 64:25, 66:3

#### Q

qualification [1] -43:15 qualifications [6] -19:14, 20:3, 20:21,

39:2, 39:19, 46:16

proficient [1] - 29:22

22:12, 25:18, 43:24
qualified [3] - 18:1,
20:18, 101:6
quality [1] - 70:25
quantity [1] - 70:25
questions [15] - 5:3,
5:10, 5:22, 11:7,
11:8, 12:2, 12:15,
12:16, 26:18, 27:8,
27:19, 64:10, 89:11,
90:15, 101:10
quote [2] - 61:4
quotes [2] - 27:11,
65:1

#### R

race [2] - 82:25, 83:11 raised [2] - 9:8, 11:6 ran [4] - 79:14, 79:25, 80:19, 81:1 rate [3] - 69:18, 69:21, ray [1] - 21:5 razors [1] - 11:24 Re [1] - 3:8 **RE**[1] - 98:13 re [1] - 8:11 re-elected [1] - 8:11 **RE-EXAMINATION** [1] - 98:13 reach [6] - 28:4, 32:20, 39:16, 39:18, 45:16, 64:17 reached [1] - 10:22 reaching [1] - 33:24 reaction [2] - 48:13, 65:13 read [18] - 14:10, 27:6, 27:7, 27:17, 27:18, 43:22, 55:4, 55:14, 56:10, 58:9, 59:8, 62:17, 64:18, 74:9, 74:14, 75:21, 75:23, reading [4] - 4:5, 40:5, 40:23, 61:23 ready [1] - 57:4 realized [1] - 15:7 really [19] - 9:25, 13:15, 13:17, 13:19, 14:25, 15:2, 16:16, 16:18, 16:19, 19:3, 19:16, 38:21, 45:10, 47:1, 68:11, 70:19, 71:15, 85:5, 88:5 Ream [1] - 1:18 **REAM** [1] - 2:3 reason [3] - 9:22, 72:1, 82:10

reasons [2] - 10:18, 23:16 recalling [1] - 53:20 receive [2] - 51:15, 62.24 received [3] - 51:1, 51:16, 54:2 receiving [1] - 60:15 recent [1] - 6:24 reception [1] - 50:25 recess [2] - 47:13, 88:14 recognize [7] - 33:20, 42:8. 78:12. 82:4. 82:8, 83:23, 88:21 recollect [2] - 50:7, 51:5 recollection [3] - 5:9, 44:21, 73:5 recommend [1] - 19:8 recommended [1] -46:16 record [4] - 4:20, 55:3, 55:4, 101:12 recorded [1] - 101:11 records [1] - 18:22 Red [1] - 77:22 reduced [1] - 101:11 refer [1] - 54:23 referenced [1] - 60:1 referring [4] - 43:21, 68:16, 71:21, 89:7 refuse [1] - 68:6 refused [1] - 14:17 regard [7] - 19:23, 22:14, 30:11, 49:24, 60:1, 74:7, 89:3 regarding [4] - 95:17, 95:21, 95:25, 96:4 regardless [2] - 38:16, 77:15 region [1] - 79:13 registered [2] - 6:14, 21:2 regrets [1] - 38:15 regular [1] - 63:12 regulations [1] - 12:9 related [2] - 8:3, 85:2 relation [1] - 87:10 relationship [4] -13:15, 14:21, 24:20, 74:2 relative [1] - 101:14 relevant [2] - 87:19, 87:22 religious [1] - 71:25 remember [21] - 7:24, 9:15, 11:5, 18:3, 20:2, 20:6, 24:8, 31:16, 32:4, 43:23,

91:15, 92:14 renew [1] - 23:21 repeat [1] - 5:12 repetition [2] - 70:3, 70.9 rephrase [1] - 5:13 replace [2] - 95:11, 98:8 report [1] - 16:6 Reporter [3] - 1:21, 101:6, 101:20 **REPORTER** [1] - 1:20 reporter [1] - 5:21 represent [5] - 4:17, 10:7, 20:23, 71:7, 71:16 represented [1] - 22:8 representing [1] -71:4 reproduction [1] -101:15 reproductive [1] -12:6 Republican [1] - 79:16 request [1] - 9:7 requested [1] - 55:4 required [1] - 3:8 reserved [1] - 4:8 residents [1] - 58:8 resources [1] - 20:11 respect [1] - 35:8 respective [1] - 4:3 response [6] - 33:15, 35:16. 36:3. 55:20. 76:19. 76:24 responses [3] - 5:23, 18:8, 26:5 responsible [1] -15:22 Restaurant [1] - 75:17 review [3] - 8:10, 42:7, 94:5 revisit [1] - 67:18 **RFP** [36] - 9:14, 9:18, 9:19, 13:21, 15:23, 15:25, 16:3, 17:13, 23:15, 23:16, 23:20, 24:4, 24:5, 24:11, 25:1, 25:5, 25:6, 25:11, 25:14, 32:3, 51:23, 52:10, 52:16, 66:3. 67:18. 67:25. 68:3. 68:6. 68:20. 69:1. 91:19. 91:21. 91:24, 91:25, 92:8, 92:12 Rhonda [2] - 60:17,

45:11, 46:4, 48:1,

74:11, 87:2, 88:9,

53:3, 53:13, 53:17,

74:2 right-to-know [1] - 8:3 rightfully [1] - 56:3 rights [1] - 71:12 Road [1] - 4:21 role [9] - 10:2, 20:22, 26:16, 27:5, 27:25, 28:19, 29:22, 98:3, 98:6 roles [1] - 21:8 rolled [1] - 61:20 room [3] - 13:10, 56:16, 83:21 rougher [1] - 61:19 rules [1] - 5:2 run [1] - 61:21 runs [1] - 25:16

#### S

Saint [1] - 19:20 **Sam** [2] - 79:6, 84:10 **sat** [5] - 50:13, 51:5, 99:4, 99:6, 99:7 sausage [1] - 45:3 saw [5] - 41:11, 41:12, 62:20, 79:5, 79:6 Say's [1] - 97:17 Sayre [1] - 77:12 **SAYRE** [1] - 1:5 scale [1] - 16:16 scenes [1] - 45:3 schedule [2] - 69:1, 69:2 SCHOOL [2] - 1:8, 1:9 school [28] - 7:7, 7:10, 7:17, 8:5, 16:14, 19:23, 20:8, 20:12, 22:3, 22:8, 22:15, 24:18, 25:7, 25:25, 27:2, 27:9, 29:21, 34:5, 37:12, 38:9, 45:1, 50:22, 58:8, 71:10, 71:16, 72:7, 73:11, 81:18 School [5] - 7:12, 8:6, 77:14, 81:15, 90:21 scope [2] - 22:5, 22:6 scores [1] - 81:18 Scouts [1] - 19:19 screamed [1] - 31:23 script [1] - 41:5 scrub [1] - 21:5 se [4] - 25:13, 51:18, 71:1, 72:19 sealing [1] - 4:5 second [3] - 33:23, 42:25, 50:24 seconds [1] - 99:2 **secretary** [1] - 45:2

see [26] - 10:13, 10:16, 10:19, 36:9, 39:4, 39:23, 40:4, 40:12, 40:16, 42:21, 43:8, 43:10, 44:14, 44:15, 44:16, 55:14, 60:3, 61:3. 66:20. 69:22. 78:2. 86:6. 87:16. 88:6, 88:7, 90:10 seeing [2] - 62:4, 78:3 seem [3] - 74:4, 79:20, 86:10 sees [1] - 55:24 **SEGLIAS** [1] - 2:8 select [3] - 25:11, 25:22, 51:24 selected [1] - 58:15 selecting [1] - 49:7 send [4] - 9:13, 43:23, 56:17, 93:22 sent [7] - 43:6, 43:17, 43:20, 54:11, 55:19, 84:12, 86:25 sentence [2] - 33:24, 72:13 sentences [2] - 34:23, 41:6 **sentiment** [1] - 67:15 separate [2] - 63:11, 84.13 **September** [1] - 86:5 serve [1] - 20:21 served [2] - 8:10, 50:13 serves [2] - 10:4, 14:2 **services** [1] - 9:8 serving [4] - 7:20, 15:18, 21:22, 98:4 session [10] - 9:1, 12:23, 16:20, 36:5, 50:10, 50:18, 59:15, 60:19, 71:13, 74:13 sessions [1] - 49:1 set [1] - 24:14 setting [1] - 87:15 settled [2] - 73:7, 73:11 seven [2] - 47:4, 97:3 seven-three [1] - 47:4 several [1] - 89:17 shared [5] - 52:25, 57:16, 57:18, 58:2, 88.22 sheet [3] - 44:9, 44:10, 69:14 sheets [1] - 69:23 Sheri [2] - 38:11, 82:19

**shit** [4] **-** 61:1, 67:10,

67:11

shook [2] - 51:8, 80:18 SHORE [1] - 1:9 Shore [8] - 7:12, 77:14, 79:4, 79:8, 84:2, 84:11, 90:20 **short** [2] - 46:5, 46:9 show [7] - 10:25, 33:11, 42:1, 56:19, 74:25, 76:14, 88:19 showing [2] - 68:18, 86:25 shows [1] - 86:2 sic [1] - 46:7 sic) [1] - 20:4 signed [1] - 35:7 signing [1] - 4:5 similar [2] - 66:12, 85:9 **simply** [1] - 34:14 sit [4] - 50:16, 62:21, 99:5, 99:8 sitting [2] - 78:4, 88:8 situation [2] - 46:23, 84:13 six [4] - 11:10, 11:12, 20:7, 40:21 **small** [3] - 13:18, 19:20, 51:6 smaller [1] - 13:10 smart [1] - 38:4 smelled [1] - 61:1 smells [1] - 67:10 smooth [2] - 34:2, 40:24 sneaky [1] - 61:2 social [1] - 72:10 social-emotional [1] -72:10 solely [1] - 82:1 solicitor [59] - 9:20, 9:22, 10:4, 13:22, 18:2, 19:8, 19:23, 20:18, 21:22, 22:1, 22:3, 22:7, 23:8, 24:24, 25:11, 25:22, 26:24, 30:2, 30:4, 30:12, 31:2, 32:7, 34:1, 42:17, 45:19, 46:21, 47:20, 49:7, 49:14, 51:25, 58:14, 59:18, 66:9, 66:17, 70:1, 70:11, 74:23, 76:3, 90:23, 92:9, 92:13, 93:5, 93:9, 93:19, 94:7, 94:11, 94:16, 94:17, 95:8, 95:11, 95:18, 95:22, 96:1, 96:5, 96:10, 97:9, 97:18, 97:22,

98:16 solicitor's [1] - 13:25 solicitors [2] - 67:18, 68:7 solid [1] - 98:2 sometime [1] - 9:1 sometimes [5] - 29:2, 95:3, 96:22, 97:1, 99:9 sorry [6] - 6:25, 40:3, 43:4, 47:8, 80:20, 100:9 sort [5] - 15:12, 48:25, 66:2, 86:22, 89:4 sound [1] - 18:17 sounded [1] - 48:12 sounds [1] - 18:16 speaking [3] - 22:18, 28:23, 31:1 speaks [1] - 48:17 specific [1] - 72:13 specifically [13] -10:8, 26:13, 29:18, 36:20, 46:2, 59:21, 60:13, 77:8, 84:19, 85:23, 88:9, 92:14, 98:23 speed [1] - 70:12 spell [1] - 76:6 Spirit [1] - 7:15 spoken [2] - 26:5, 48:24 **spotlight** [1] - 81:6 SS [1] - 101:2 stand [1] - 82:18 standpoint [1] - 21:8 start [2] - 40:20, 66:23 started [2] - 8:5, 55:18 starts [2] - 57:21, 75:4

**state** [2] - 4:19, 85:25

statement [11] - 12:24,

16:23, 16:24, 32:24,

48:23, 54:12, 59:12,

68:16, 73:25, 74:6,

**statements** [1] - 74:8

stenotype [1] - 101:11

step [1] - 46:25

Stephen [1] - 77:9

**STEPHEN** [1] - 1:3

stipulated [1] - 4:2

STIPULATION [1] -

9:4, 9:17, 20:8,

70:2, 70:20

82:19

**Stock** [13] - 8:15, 8:22,

20:11, 23:14, 23:25,

44:3, 45:8, 69:16,

Stoltenburg [2] - 51:4,

77:17

4:1

14:22, 15:5, 26:7, 30:8, 42:6, 44:25, 45:4, 64:16, 64:20, 64:21, 65:5, 68:17, 74:2, 74:6, 74:9 Stoltz's [1] - 15:4 stonewalling [1] -16:13 stood [2] - 50:21, 51:5 stop [3] - 17:12, 52:5, 91:25 stopped [2] - 52:1, 52:17 Street [3] - 1:19, 2:4, 2:9 strike [1] - 95:5 strong [1] - 48:13 Strong [2] - 71:22, 73:23 struck [2] - 91:2, 91:4 students [2] - 11:17, 12:5 **subject** [2] - 5:5, 54:3 **submitted** [1] - 94:1 **subscribe** [1] - 60:5 subsequently [1] -101:11 substantial [1] - 58:1 succeed [1] - 81:5 **succeeded** [1] - 83:13 success [1] - 81:4 successful [1] - 29:21 suggest [2] - 53:10, 67:17 suggesting [1] - 38:18 suit [2] - 82:10, 83:16 **summary** [1] - 56:24 **summer** [1] - 15:5 **sun** [2] - 78:25, 80:3 **Sunday** [2] - 27:3, 96:25 Sunshine [19] - 8:3, 54:15, 58:21, 59:7, 59:11, 59:20, 63:1, 63:8, 63:16, 63:22, 64:2, 82:1, 82:7, 82:11, 84:23, 85:3, 93:16, 95:13, 100:10 sunshine [2] - 78:3, 78:22 superintendent [2] -27:21, 91:3 supervision [2] -101:12, 101:16 supplemental [1] -86:24

supposed [1] - 41:17

4:10

Stoltz [22] - 10:2,

12:17, 12:22, 12:24,

13:25, 14:4, 14:14,

surgery [3] - 6:22, 7:15, 21:3 Surgery [1] - 7:14 surprised [2] - 78:2, 78:21 surprises [2] - 27:10, 64:25 suspend [3] - 17:12, 92:4, 92:25 suspended [1] - 92:15 suspension [1] -92:21 sworn [1] - 4:12 sworn/affirmed [1] -101:8

Т table [1] - 14:16 **TAKEN** [1] - 1:15 tampons [1] - 11:23 Tara [7] - 77:11, 79:12, 79:17, 80:12, 81:22, 85:12, 87:14 **TARA** [1] - 1:4 target [1] - 51:17 tasks [1] - 70:3 taught [1] - 38:10 teaching [2] - 11:13, 12:4 team [5] - 21:3, 70:20, 70:22. 81:4. 81:5 tech [1] - 21:5 tentacles [1] - 85:7 tenure [1] - 65:2 term [2] - 8:11, 8:12 terminate [2] - 44:3, 45:8 testified [3] - 4:12, 14:14, 93:24 testify [1] - 101:8 testimony [2] - 4:11, 66:5 tethered [1] - 78:15 Thad [1] - 75:18 Thaddeus [2] - 75:3, 75:13 THE [7] - 1:1, 1:9, 47:8, 47:12, 54:8, 54:25, 57:6 themselves [3] -15:25, 50:23, 71:11 Theresa's [1] - 19:20 thinking [2] - 39:11, 49:25 thinks [1] - 38:23 Third [1] - 2:9 third [1] - 40:13 **THOMAS** [3] - 1:9, 3:7,

Thomas [9] - 1:14, 4:16, 4:21, 35:25, 50:20, 82:25, 88:16, 88:19, 90:19 Thomas' [1] - 35:10 threatened [1] - 78:25 threatening [1] -87:18 three [2] - 47:4, 94:23 throw [1] - 68:15 throwing [1] - 13:8 Thursday [3] - 34:9, 50:11, 96:23 ticket [4] - 79:14, 79:15, 79:16, 80:1 Tierney [21] - 17:5, 24:6, 30:24, 31:8, 47:19, 49:20, 51:3, 51:14, 54:6, 54:10, 54:16, 55:19, 55:22, 56:12, 60:1, 67:8, 73:6, 89:1, 89:23, 90:11 TIME [1] - 1:17 tipped [1] - 54:10 tipping [4] - 16:15, 16:16, 56:12, 89:4 titles [1] - 20:13 TO [2] - 3:1, 3:6 today [1] - 5:23 Todd [2] - 13:3, 74:9 together [4] - 21:6, 45:4, 84:9, 91:22 tone [1] - 34:19 **Tony** [2] - 82:21, 82:22 took [3] - 15:9, 69:6, 77:2 tooth [1] - 41:24 top [3] - 20:4, 43:3, 91:17 topic [1] - 14:13 total [2] - 18:4, 74:12 totally [1] - 25:24 touch [1] - 85:7 toward [1] - 88:4 town [1] - 12:20 track [1] - 55:1 training [9] - 8:2, 8:7, 8:13, 11:13, 11:19, 12:11, 64:5, 100:11 transcript [2] - 5:22, 14.11 **transition** [7] - 34:2, 34:6, 34:17, 40:24, 41:2, 41:18, 45:19 transitioning [2] -40:20, 66:24 translate [1] - 80:15

treasurer [1] - 79:9

trial [2] - 4:8, 73:8

tried [1] - 91:8 Trone [9] - 30:23, 31:7, 31:21, 47:18, 48:13, 49:21, 51:14, 53:15, 84:14 true [3] - 69:20, 83:5, 101:12 truly [3] - 38:5, 38:24, 41:5 trust [4] - 25:19, 69:2, 98:2, 98:3 trusted [10] - 24:25, 25:17, 25:18, 38:24, 66:4, 66:8, 66:10, 66:18, 68:23, 69:7 truth [2] - 5:8, 101:8 try [1] - 65:5 trying [9] - 14:15, 16:17, 28:19, 29:11, 35:6, 37:25, 61:18, 73:3, 81:3 Tucker [7] - 21:25, 43:11, 44:9, 45:9, 46:12, 53:24, 69:11 Tuesday [1] - 50:11 turn [1] - 13:7 turned [1] - 54:18 two [17] - 8:11, 10:15, 11:3, 13:1, 13:16, 36:12, 36:13, 36:16, 50:13, 59:24, 74:8, 74:10, 79:2, 82:14, 88:8, 100:2 two-year [1] - 8:11 **type** [4] - 19:15, 61:13, 70:21, 81:3 types [1] - 79:23

### U

ultimately [1] - 82:9 umm [5] - 30:10, 45:10, 46:24, 49:17, 71:9 unbecoming [1] -79:23 uncommon [3] - 97:4, 98:25, 99:11 under [4] - 5:4, 68:15, 101:12, 101:16 undercurrents [1] -71:15 underhanded [1] -65:4 understood [1] - 5:16 unhappy [1] - 56:2 Unified [1] - 84:3 United [5] - 79:3, 79:7, 83:19, 84:3, 84:11 unless [3] - 37:15,

90:5, 101:15 unpleasant [1] - 83:13 unrest [2] - 12:19, 14.5 unsolicited [1] - 65:22 unusual [1] - 97:11 unvarnished [1] -48.23 up [27] - 14:14, 17:9, 21:1, 25:2, 26:19, 30:16, 31:25, 39:15, 48:19, 51:21, 55:11, 61:11, 62:11, 62:15, 68:18, 70:12, 73:3, 74:1, 74:22, 75:5, 75:19, 81:7, 82:5, 90:15, 90:22 upcoming [5] - 35:24, 42:12, 42:22, 43:9, 60.9 update [1] - 72:20 **UPMC** [1] - 6:21 upset [3] - 11:25, 12:12, 83:11 upsetting [1] - 37:11 utilize [1] - 10:25

#### V

vagina [1] - 11:24 value [2] - 69:7, 87:20 vampire [7] - 78:3, 78:6, 78:24, 79:24, 80:2, 84:21, 87:13 various [1] - 55:9 vast [1] - 58:12 venomous [2] - 49:21, 49:22 venture [1] - 81:8 verbal [1] - 5:24 verbalize [1] - 16:19 verbally [1] - 36:21 verify [1] - 58:7 version [1] - 89:13 versus [1] - 82:9 vested [1] - 19:21 vice [1] - 26:14 video [1] - 86:25 view [2] - 80:14, 81:23 views [1] - 99:9 violate [2] - 59:6, 63:22 violated [5] - 59:19, 63:1, 63:16, 82:7, 84:22 violation [6] - 54:15, 58:20. 59:10. 64:4. 85:2. 86:2 VIVIER [1] - 1:5 Vivier [1] - 77:12

volatile [2] - 29:9 volume [2] - 9:3, 62:4 vote [37] - 17:11, 26:20, 37:21, 38:8, 38:9, 38:11, 38:13, 38:14, 38:15, 40:21, 41:13, 46:20, 62:23, 63:21, 64:4, 64:8, 65:7, 65:9, 65:10, 67:16, 67:22, 91:18, 91:25, 92:1, 92:4, 94:6, 95:2, 95:4, 95:17, 95:21, 95:25, 96:4, 98:21, 99:13, 99:16, 99:20 voted [10] - 30:22, 53:8, 69:10, 77:16, 91:20, 91:23, 93:18, 94:10, 96:21, 98:20 votes [2] - 86:12, 96:7 voting [3] - 94:15, 94:16, 98:22 **VS**[1] - 1:7

#### W

wait [2] - 41:15, 42:25 waiting [1] - 97:5 waived [1] - 4:6 walk [1] - 27:13 walked [3] - 50:24, 60:19, 74:18 **walking** [3] - 50:10, 78:9, 78:12 watch [1] - 98:24 water [1] - 47:9 wealth [1] - 28:5 wear [1] - 54:13 website [1] - 85:14 Wednesday [3] - 1:16, 55:23, 55:25 week [3] - 51:1, 96:23, 97.11 weekend [2] - 31:25, 48:19 welcome [2] - 61:20, 64:13 West [8] - 7:12, 77:14, 79:4, 79:7, 84:2, 84:11, 90:20 WEST [1] - 1:9 wherewithal [1] -20:16 Whye [1] - 14:24 wife [1] - 19:20 willing [1] - 68:15 win [2] - 72:21, 81:9 WITNESS [5] - 47:8, 47:12, 54:8, 54:25, 57:6

Woloshin [1] - 1:18 **WOLOSHIN** [1] - 2:3 won [7] - 79:16, 82:19, 82:25, 83:1 wondering [5] - 55:13, 73:2, 76:8, 76:11, 90.12 word [7] - 12:19, 15:1, 27:24, 29:10, 29:11, 38:3, 49:22 wording [5] - 9:15, 25:23, 31:19, 32:4, 36:9 words [5] - 13:12, 17:1, 46:5, 46:8, 59:9 world [1] - 33:2 write [6] - 33:9, 33:10, 35:18, 41:5, 41:6, 76:20 writing [3] - 35:19, 67:7, 83:3 written [6] - 5:21, 57:16, 68:17, 74:8, 74:10, 93:25

### WSSD\_000046 [1] -

WSSD 000045 [1] -

wrongdoing [1] -

wrote [8] - 35:21,

37:22, 56:24, 74:1,

74:5, 76:22, 89:23

WSSD [2] - 43:3, 43:7

73:13

3:9

3:9

x-ray [1] - 21:5

### Υ

year [6] - 8:11, 8:12,

X

23:18, 71:11, 81:5, 83:12 years [10] - 7:11, 7:13, 7:23, 9:18, 10:3, 13:16, 23:13, 24:11, 27:5, 50:13 yelled [2] - 31:24, 53:15 yellow [1] - 54:13 Yocumtown [1] -77.23 YORK [1] - 1:1 York [13] - 1:19, 1:23, 2:4, 4:21, 54:10, 56:13, 59:24, 60:6, 78:8, 80:7, 80:24,

85:13, 89:14

yourself [1] - 22:1