```
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF
1
                   YORK COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA
2
3
                                    ) Civil Action - Law
     STEPHEN BEAVER,
     LEAH COOPER, AMY ELLIOTT,
                                   ) No. 2024-SU-001322
4
     JOHN ELLIOTT, JEFF HARMON,
     TARA HIGH, KATHERINE JAN
5
     JARRETT, JESSE SAYRE, AND
     JANE VIVIER,
6
               Plaintiffs
7
            VS
8
     BOARD OF SCHOOL DIRECTORS
9
     OF THE WEST SHORE SCHOOL
     DISTRICT, HEIDI THOMAS,
10
     KELLY BRENT, DAVID
     BRINTON, BRENDA COX, AND
11
     MANDY DAVIS,
12
                Defendants
13
                             --000--
14
15
     DEPONENT:
                     Christopher Kambic
16
                     Plaintiffs
     TAKEN BY:
17
                      Wednesday, February 19, 2025
     DATE:
18
     TIME:
                      2:56 p.m.
19
                      Ream, Carr, Markey, Woloshin &
     PLACE:
                      Hunter, LLP
20
                      119 East Market Street
                      York, Pennsylvania
21
     REPORTER:
                      Angela Kilby
22
                      Court Reporter, Notary Public
23
24
              1300 Garrison Drive, York, PA 17404
25
                         (717) 764-7801
```

```
1
   APPEARANCES:
2
3
   REAM, CARR, MARKEY, WOLOSHIN & HUNTER, LLP
    BY: JOHN N. ELLIOTT, ESQUIRE
4
    119 East Market Street
    York, PA 17401
5
    717.843.8968
    jnelliottesq@comcast.net
6
   Counsel for Plaintiffs
7
8
   COHEN SEGLIAS PALLAS GREENHALL & FURMAN, PC
   BY: JOSHUA D. BONN, ESQUIRE
    240 North Third Street, 7th Floor
    Harrisburg, PA 17101
10
    717.480.5304
    jbonn@cohenseglias.com
11
    Counsel for Defendants
12
13
14
   ALSO PRESENT:
15
   Jeff Harmon
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
2.4
25
```

-keyreporters@comcast.net-

-Key Reporters-

1		INDEX TO DEPONENT		
2	EXAMINATION		PAGE	
3	By Mr. Elliott		4, 77	
4	By Mr. Bonn		5 0	
5				
6		INDEX TO EXHIBITS		
7	EXHIBIT		PAGE	
8	(N	o exhibits were marked	.)	
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
	—Key Reporters——	keyreporters	-keyreporters@comcast.net ———	

1 STIPULATION It is hereby stipulated and agreed by 2 3 and between counsel for the respective parties that the deposition is being taken for 4 5 discovery; that reading, signing, sealing, 6 certification, and filing are waived; that all 7 objections, except as to the form of the question, are reserved to the time of trial. 8 9 10 CHRISTOPHER KAMBIC, 11 called upon by Plaintiffs to give testimony, being 12 duly sworn or affirmed by me, testified as follows: 13 14 EXAMINATION BY MR. ELLIOTT: 15 16 Q. Welcome, Mr. Kambic. My name is John 17 Elliott, I am representing the plaintiffs in 18 this matter. 19 Could you please state your name and 20 address for the record? Christopher John Kambic, 625 Davis 2.1 22 Drive, New Cumberland, Pennsylvania. 23 Q. Okay. Have you ever given a 24 deposition before? 25 Α. No.

- Q. I am going to very briefly kind of go over the ground rules. If you have any questions before we get started, please feel free.
- A. Mr. Elliott, let me just -- one thing they always tell us at board meetings to do, turn off your cell phone. I just don't want somebody -- sorry.
 - O. That is fine.
- 10 A. I don't want that ringing in the 11 middle.

12 Sorry about that.

2.1

- Q. Obviously you have just been placed under oath, which means you are subject to the penalties of perjury, just as though we were in court.
 - A. Mm-hmm.
- Q. Your duty is simply to tell the truth to the best of your recollection. If I ask you a question and you don't know the answer, that is perfectly fine to say I don't know or I don't remember, as long as that is the truth.
- My duty is to ask you questions that you can hear and understand. If at any time I ask a question that you don't understand, please

ask me to rephrase or repeat it, and I'll try to do so. Otherwise, if you answer a question, we'll presume you heard and understood the question.

A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. The stenographer is taking down a written transcript of everything we say here in the deposition, which means you need to give verbal answers because it is difficult for a transcript to accurately convey gestures or nods of the head, shakes of the head, that kind of thing.
- A. Okay.
 - Q. Do you understand that?
- A. I understand that.
- Q. If at any time you need to take a break, speak to Attorney Bonn, that is fine.

 18 Just let us know, we can take a break.
 - Do you have any questions before we get started?
 - A. No. I think my hearing aids are turned up, I can hear you.
 - Q. What is your educational background?
- A. Educational background. I have a bachelor of science degree in education from

Millersville University, plus I believe about 33 1 2 credits post graduate then. 3 Q. Okay. It would be a master's equivalency, 4 but I never put the paperwork in. 5 6 When did you get your degree? 7 Α. My degree was in 1985. Since then what is your employment 8 Q. 9 history? 10 Employment history, I worked for three 11 years at James Buchanan High School in 12 Mercersburg, Pennsylvania. And I was hired in West Shore School District, I spent 11 years at 13 14 Red Land High School and 22 years at Cedar Cliff High School. I retired in 2021. 15 16 Q. Were you a teacher? 17 I was a teacher, I was department 18 head, tech ed teacher there. Coaching two sports. What else? Anything else you need? 19 20 Q. No, just -- that's good. 2.1 What -- when were you elected to the school board? 22 23 I was elected in the fall of 2021 after I retired. 2.4

Q. Prior to that, had you had any

experience serving on any municipal or government boards or agencies? No. Nothing municipal or government, Α. Just local things, like, you know, football, local football board, stuff like that. Have you had any training relating to the Sunshine Act and/or right-to-know law? Yes. Initially it was through PSBA. Α. When first elected, we had required training to go to. Then every year Stock and Leader would have kind of a dinner and they would talk about current issues in education law, and they always would bring up, to my recollection, always would bring up Sunshine law. That was up until the time they were no longer solicitor, which was April 2024. So,

I don't remember the last one, if we went in the winter or if it was in the fall. I don't remember exactly when.

Ο. Okay. Did you have any involvement -let me back-up.

Around January there was a committee formed to look into the possibility of a request for proposal for legal services, correct?

> Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Did you have any involvement with that committee?
- A. No. I gave way to the people who had much more experience than I.
- Q. Okay. So, you came on in around 2021. So, you were not around the last time the board did an RFP?
 - A. No, no.
- Q. What is your understanding of the purpose behind doing an RFP --
- A. Well --

2.1

- Q. -- for legal services?
- A. For legal services. My understanding is you are going out there and trying to find, one, the best value for your money. No. 2, who are the most -- most experienced law firms, especially in the area of special education. I taught for many years. In my area, being a technology education teacher, which you would call shop class, I had a lot of kids who were what we would call mainstreamed, kids who had special needs. So, I have been through a lot of IEP meetings and I know there are parents who are litigious about their special -- make sure we are following that special ed program, that

IEP. So, I know having a solicitor who that is one of their big areas of expertise is very important.

So, your value of your money, how good or experienced are they in special education law, do they have separate lawyers, you know, who are specialized in that is very good, what is their experience in education law. That is very important.

So, right now I am going through an RFP for transportation. It is literally 52 pages, or something like that, and that was for transportation. I have never seen one for -- a completed one for a law firm. But I imagine there is a lot of stipulations in there to make sure you are getting exactly what you want.

My consideration of an RFP is, you know, we are basically laymen coming in, and the people who have to work with them are administrators and they know better, you know, what they are looking for. I know basically what I am looking for, but I depend on their experience, what -- what are they looking for specifically. They know the better questions.

One of the things I got to do my first

2.1

two years was sit on interviews for principals. 1 2 And the questions -- I mean, I know what I want 3 in a principal, but the questions that the administration was asking was something I never 4 -- some things I would never think of. So, I 5 6 value their experience with that. 7 Same with we were hiring security quards. I wouldn't know what questions to ask, 8 but they did. 10 Is there a tissue around here? My nose is -- I am dealing with -- I'm sorry. I 11 12 got a little three-year-old granddaughter. 13 (Discussion off the record.) 14 THE WITNESS: The question was, what 15 is my understanding of what RFP is? 16 BY MR. ELLIOTT: 17 Ο. Yes. 18 I believe -- I think I answered what Α. my understanding was. 19 20 Ο. To the extent the board was going to 2.1 look to hire a new solicitor, do you agree that is the process that should be followed to find 22 23 one? We should follow an RFP and have the 24 Α.

administration interview them. You mean like

1 that? 2 Q. Yes. 3 Yes, I believe that is definitely. And I think you certainly implied 4 0. 5 Do you believe that the administration 6 and/or school employees who would be working 7 directly with the solicitor should have input into that process? 8 9 Α. Yes. I mean, not to go off on 10 tangents, but I believe when I was department 11 head that I should be involved in interviewing 12 the teachers who are coming in my department, because I have an expertise that maybe the 13 14 principal doesn't. 15 So, yeah, I believe all the 16 stakeholders should be involved. 17 When did you first find out that the Q. 18 RFP or the committee for forming the RFP was no 19 longer functioning? 20 Α. That was the phone call I received from Heidi Thomas. 2.1 22 Q. Okay. 23 Α. The exact date is in here. I can give

you from my phone record when the exact date

24

25

was.

- Q. Yeah. I think you may have --
- A. If you want me to pull that out.
- Q. Was that -- yeah, I think you said in the written discovery responses that on April 5 Mr. Kambic received a telephone call from Heidi Thomas.
 - A. If that is what my phone record says there, I would be -- that would be the first time I had heard. I had no questions on that.
- Q. As long as we are on that call, what did Ms. Thomas tell you during that call?
- A. To the best of my recollection, what the conversation was, she said, Chris, I just want to call you and give you a heads up, we are going to be moving forward in hiring a solicitor next Thursday. And I said, well, who interviewed him? Who made the pick? Because my consideration, we were still going through the committee process, and I believe we were very close to issuing the RFP. And she said, well, we picked something.
- So, I remember she said the word we.

 And I was, like, well, did the administration interview him? And she -- I am trying to remember from back then. She didn't say the

2.1

administration interviewed him at all. This is the person we are going to choose.

- Q. Okay. Did they tell you who that was?
- A. She said, yes.
- Q. Did they tell you it was Kevin Hall?
- A. I believe she did say it was Kevin Hall. But, again, with my memory of names and stuff, and the fact I was pretty shocked at the time, I called -- after that I called Brian Guistwhite and said, what happened? Because he was in the RFP process. He didn't know what was going on.
- Q. Okay.

2.1

A. So, that is my phone records. You can see I did call him to ask him what was up, because I had no idea. Because, again, I was not intimate in that process. Ms. Tierney and Mr. Guistwhite and Mr. Brinton and Ms. Brent were the ones who were on that committee. I know they were talking about it, they were getting progress and moving along and some things were added. And from what I gather, it was happening, things were moving along. So, this was kind of a shock to me that I was told, hey, we picked somebody.

- Q. So, you indicated prior to that call, you had had some discussion with either Brian or others on that RFP committee about what they were doing?
- A. When we were in executive session back there, just gathering the conversation that was going on. I didn't seek him out and ask how things were going. But through the conversations in executive session, when people are talking, hey, we meeting to do this, it sounded to me like things are moving along.
 - Q. Okay.

2.1

2.4

- A. No one gave me minutes or anything like that.
 - Q. Did you happen to have a chance to read the transcript of Brian Guistwhite's deposition?
 - A. No. I have not been privy to any deposition.
 - Q. I'll just tell you that he indicated he thought the RFP process was on -- basically on schedule from what was originally contemplated.
 - A. Yeah.
- 25 Q. Was that your understanding?

A. That was my understanding, yes. My understanding that we were ready, very ready, to issue it, like, in the next meeting, I thought coming up, basically we were going to talk about issuing the RFP.

I know I have a copy of the original one that was given to us in January. I remember looking at that saying, I don't know a lot about RFPs, but there is a lot of stuff missing in here. I knew it had to be fine tuned and beefed up a bit.

- Q. Okay. And at any point subsequent to that call, did you find out why the RFP process was being abandoned?
- A. Well, I can only guess thinking about it was during executive session when they were talking -- can I talk about executive session?
- Q. I think if it is not attorney-client privilege.
 - MR. BONN: But everything in executive session is confidential.
- 22 BY MR. ELLIOTT:

2.1

- Q. All right.
- A. I was under the impression it was moving along.

- Q. All right. Other than something that occurred in executive session, did you have a discussion with anyone about why the RFP process was abandoned or why they weren't moving forward with it?
- A. Umm, I am trying to think if afterwards we had discussion about what happened. I don't recall right now exactly what -- I know what was said in the meeting. Again, I don't want to go through executive session.

But from what I have heard and what I have seen on the news, you know, I know Ms.

Brent felt like it wasn't going the way she wanted it to go.

- Q. As of the evening of April 11, 2024 when the vote on the solicitor occurred, at that point do you believe you knew what the reason was the RFP was discarded?
- A. I got to watch the video just as a reminder. And one of the comments I made was what is the rush? What is going on? Why are we doing this? From what I saw myself on the video.
- So, April 11, probably at that point I don't think I knew totally why. I said I had a

2.1

conversation when I called Brian, like, did you know about this? And he said, no, I thought we were moving along fine.

At that point on April 11 I don't believe I knew all the answers, because you see some people called a big -- when I say something, we are talking the four of us for 30 minutes and no one else is saying anything, as Monty Python would say, that is not an argument, not a debate, that is me talking, talking, talking, and getting no answers.

I was asking questions, and Mrs.

Tierney and Mr. Trone and Mr. Guistwhite were asking questions. I was not getting an answer about why. The only thing I got was because basically we wanted to. And that is all I got was really an answer.

- Q. That was going to be my next question.
- A. Trying to separate from April 11 to what I have learned subsequently is kind of -- I don't want to nail myself down specifically.
- Q. Understood. You mentioned during that meeting on April 11 you asked a number of questions?
- A. Mm-hmm.

2.1

- Q. Do you feel like you got an answer to any of those questions during the meeting?
- A. I think my quote in the paper was deer in the headlights. I said that is the reaction I was getting. I was not getting any answers. I was not being -- I was not getting any of my questions, nor anyone else's answers -- questions answered to anything close to, you know, convince me why.

And knowing the background and everything, it is, like, why did we pick this -- why did you settle on this person? And I still didn't get an answer. To this day, I have not gotten an answer.

- Q. And I -- prior to that meeting, had you -- did you get a chance to speak to Attorney Hall at all?
 - A. No. I never met him before.
- Q. Did you -- other than hearing his name, what, if anything, did you know about him?
- A. The most information I had was from an e-mail I got from Thad Eisenhower who told me that he was on the library board. I was on that, but I think I found out subsequently he was from New Cumberland. That is what it was.

2.1

1 He was a New Cumberland guy. And reaching back into my memory, I 2 3 am, like, okay, his name does sound familiar. I think he was on borough council at some point, 4 but I never had any interactions with him. 5 6 All right. As long as we are here and 7 you mentioned that, in front of you there should be a document labeled as Exhibit Brent 10. 8 Α. Brent 10, okay. MR. BONN: They are not in order. 10 11 MR. ELLIOTT: They are not necessarily 12 in order. THE WITNESS: Brent 10. Here it is. 13 14 BY MR. ELLIOTT: Q. Okay. Please take a moment to review 15 this. I'll indicate this appears to be an 16 17 e-mail exchange between you and Thaddeus 18 Eisenhower. 19 A. Okay. I have known Thaddeus since he was in high school. So, we have known each 20 2.1 other for a long time. 22 Q. So, is this the contact that you were 23 just talking about? 2.4 A. Yes. 25 Q. Okay.

A. Solicitor, wanted new solicitor, proposed is a resident of New Cumberland.

Upstanding individual. He may have limited experience in education law, but he can do the job.

Yeah, this is where I got, like, okay, he is from New Cumberland. That kind of rang a bell, like, okay, I think he was on borough council. I know he is on library board. That's the -- as much information as I got. I did not receive a single bit of information other than me looking him up when I was given his name to do my own search to see who he was.

- Q. Okay. And it looks like the initial e-mail from Mr. Eisenhower to you is dated Monday, April 8?
 - A. April 8, which was --
 - Q. Three days?
- A. -- three or four days before we had an election.
- Q. Do you know how he was aware that

 Kevin Hall was being -- his name was being put

 forth as the solicitor?
 - A. I know through talking with him and seeing what he has done as far as elections, he

2.1

knows Dave Brinton and he knows Kelly Brent. He did a video for her for her campaign. So, I figured they know each other. You know, it is a friendship or something or through Republican circles. You know, I don't know. I don't know for sure how they know each other. But that is how I believe he would know them.

- Q. Other than this e-mail, did you have any -- like, a conversation or any other contact with him prior to the 11th?
 - A. Prior to -- on this matter?
 - Q. Yeah. On that matter.
- A. On this matter, no. I have had prior conversation with him about, like, the ball field up at Cedar Cliff, and when we were working with -- on finishing up Hillside Park, you know, because he was mayor at the time and Newcomer resident. We would talk about that.

But about this, no. This was the first time I ever talked to him about this.

- Q. Okay. So, what -- you said between this and your own search, do you feel like you had sufficient time to be able to evaluate Attorney Hall's qualifications before the vote?
 - A. No. I would like to have interviewed

2.1

or talked to him personally, you know.

2.1

- Q. What were you able to find out about -- if anything -- about his prior experience as a solicitor?
- A. I just found out what his specialization in law was when I looked up his name and I got what Tucker Arensberg is the law firm and the law firm was out in Pittsburgh and he is out here and what his area of expertise in law was. And that is pretty much it usual stuff you see in the biography or something, borough council, library board. That is about it.
- Q. What is your understanding of what his legal area of expertise was?
 - A. I believe it is real estate. It seems to be in my mind. If I am wrong, I'm sorry.

 But that seems to be that stuck in my head at the point.
 - Q. Do you recall if you found any indication that he had prior experience serving either as a school board solicitor or had educational experience?
 - A. No. And that was one of the things we were talking about with an RFP, making sure somebody had an educational experience, or had

been a solicitor, because we are a big school district, you know.

- Q. You said -- I believe you said that the area of special education was of special importance to you to make sure the solicitor had that knowledge?
 - A. Mm-hmm.

2.1

- Q. Have you been able to determine whether either Kevin Hall or Tucker Arensberg has that same level of expertise that Stock and Leader did?
- A. I really didn't compare that much. I know Stock and Leader had a lot of attorneys who were close by. And I know that our talking with administration, our incidences of litigation with special education as being the key has gone way down when we had Stock and Leader.

Whether Tucker Arensberg had somebody,

I am trying to think if they had an attorney

with that. I don't remember all the different

people who were in the firm, but they were out

in Pittsburgh, as opposed to one that was here.

And that was one of my concerns, too, are we paying for mileage for people to come out here, or telephone time to take care of stuff,

also. I am not sure how you all bill. I know it is increments of, you know, point one or whatever like that. So, I am not --

- Q. Six-minute increments typically.
- A. Okay.

2.1

- Q. So, in your role as a school board director, have you been able to review the invoices you get to make any sort of comparison or do you have enough information at this point in to do any such --
- A. Yeah, I am curious. I know I did see results of a right-to-know, I was comparing a little bit. It is kind of tough to say right now. I would have to do a deep dive study, what is the situation right now.

We have been inundated with right-to-know requests, too. I know that costs money.

Were we getting these before I was on the board?

You know, that is -- that costs money. That is billing by the lawyers.

You know, can I compare? I haven't had a chance to sit down and dig up the old bills, the old board notes and stuff and see what -- again, it is what you list is what you are being billed, too, how deep of a dive are

you doing there?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

18

19

- Q. Next I would like to talk about what's marked as Brent No. 5 in the pile in front of you.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. This would be -- I'll represent this is an e-mail exchange between Attorney Hall and Attorney Say from April 5.
 - A. Yeah, I have seen this before.
- Q. That was my next question. Have you seen these e-mails before?
- A. Yes, I saw this before. I remember I think before -- it was before April 11 we got this. Around that time I saw this letter. And what particular -- if that was something that was shared or whatever I don't recall for sure.

 But I did see this letter.
 - Q. You think you saw this before the meeting on the 11th?
 - A. I believe I did. I believe I did.
- Q. All right. Based on this, what is your take on why Kevin Hall was contacting
 Brooke Say?
- A. To tell her he was going to be the new solicitor. I mean, why else would he tell

somebody don't bother showing up, you know.

Asked me to reach out to inform you a change in

solicitor will be on the agenda. Well, why

would you ask him if he is not the person who is

going to be the new solicitor? You are not

- Q. All right. On the second page, looking first at Kevin Hall's e-mail to Say, I think you just kind of read or rephrased the first sentence. It talks about with the goal of making the transition as smooth as possible, we would appreciate it if you could provide us with a list of the most pressing issues facing the school district and begin preparing transition paperwork for any active matters.
- A. Mm-hmm.

doing this as a favor.

2.1

- Q. Do you have any explanation why Mr. Hall would be authorized to request beginning a transition prior to April 11 if he didn't -- if he didn't know that he was going to be appointed?
- A. Yeah, my only expectation is that what I am reading, this he was going to be the next solicitor. Because he is saying with the goal of a smooth transition, he would appreciate if

she could provide us. So, you are not asking for a third party -- not asking as a clearinghouse as far as I can see. You are asking to go to you, because you are going to be the next solicitor.

Q. On the first page in Attorney Say's e-mail response, she indicates, this confirms our conversation where you informed me that you and your firm would be appointed at the upcoming board meeting on April 11. You informed me that President Thomas asked you to make this communication about the appointment and that my presence at the meeting was not necessary.

Do you have any basis to dispute the accuracy of what Attorney Say has said about the contents of their conversation?

- A. My interaction with Ms. Say has always been -- she has always been honest and forthright with me. And when she says this confirms our conversation, you informed me your firm would be appointed, I take her for her word.
- Q. And you take that to be not just this is a courtesy that this is an agenda item, but this is going to happen?

2.1

A. Mm-hmm.

2.1

- Q. Is that a yes?
- A. That is yes. I'm sorry. I should know enough from Judge Judy that you can't nod.
- Q. Do you know of any reason why Attorney Say would be told not to come to the meeting if it wasn't certain that she was going to be replaced?
- A. I don't know why, unless she is being replaced why not to show up for that meeting, because it is her job to be at all of our board meetings until such time she is no longer solicitor.
- Q. Next I would like to talk about what's marked as Brent 7. It is the minutes from the April 11 meeting.
- 17 A. Okay.
- 18 Q. Starting on Page 331 and going
 19 through --
 - A. 331, that is the first page.
 - Q. That is the first page. Down at the bottom there is a marking, WSSD 000. From 331 to 3 -- I believe it is 42, it identifies alphabetically written communications that came in prior to the meeting and says those comments

were shared with the board in advance of the meeting.

Were those comments that are listed in the minutes all forwarded to you?

- A. Not all these were -- yeah, okay. I see what you are saying. Were shared -- yeah, all these were shared with me ahead of time.
- Q. Okay. Had you got a chance to read those prior to the meeting?
- A. Yeah, I had an opportunity to read through them.
- Q. All right. Is it fair to say that the majority of those comments were expressing concern with the manner in which the solicitor was being replaced?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

Q. All right. Some of them suggested that the way it was going about was a violation of the Sunshine Act.

Do you recall people making that -- extending that opinion?

A. Some people saying -- I am looking here, Sheri Moyer right now saying that. She was a board member for several years. I just happened to look at that when you said that.

- Q. Did you share any of those concerns that there might be a problem with the way the board was moving forward?
- A. Before the meeting or during the meeting?
- Q. Before the meeting or during the meeting?
- A. I believe during the meeting I did say something about Sunshine. You know, that this didn't sound right to me, that you did not include the other four people in any kind of discussion.

But I knew -- my dad was on the school board for eight years, my brother was on the school board 16 years. I know you don't discuss things, you know. You can talk about things, but you don't -- how are you going to vote.

And I am sitting here looking at we are having discussion and no one else is participating, giving me reasons about why we should hire this person. That would, to me, raise a red flag in my mind. I am, like, I believe sometime during the thing I said Sunshine outside because I am, like, you are stonewalling us. And apparently you made a

2.1

decision.

2.1

I wrote down here where they said -first -- I think Mr. Trone asked when was the
first time you talked to Mr. Hall, he said
Friday right before the meeting, or Friday two
weeks ago. Apparently you were afforded an
ability to talk to him and I found out, you
know, going to lunch. That was it.

And it wasn't my place to call up somebody who is a prospective hire and question them. That is not my place, not in that forum on the phone. If I am going to question somebody, it is going to be everybody else here.

- Q. Okay.
- A. So, did I express it out loud, I expressed it out loud at the meeting, and I might have expressed it other times, too. I just can't recall exact moments.
- Q. Do you have an opinion of whether the five board members who ended up voting in favor of Kevin Hall, whether they made a decision to do so prior to the 11th?
 - A. My opinion?
- 24 Q. Yes.
- 25 A. Yes. Watching the WHTM interview

where Kelly Brent said we call an individual, that is not violating Sunshine law. And to me, I took that, you called everybody individually? That is what I took it as. And that was on April 18 or something where she was interviewed.

I am sitting there, I am not hearing any debate, any reasoning, any -- you know, I have been through hiring coaches and everything and administrators, and we have talked about it. And I have been on sit-in interviews talking about strengths and everything and experience.

That was for football coaches. I haven't heard any -- I didn't hear anything coming in this person has this experience or even what -- printing up for me what is his experience, what would be his -- what word am I looking for?

Your resume. Not even that. I didn't know him from Adam.

So, the fact they seemed to know so much and I knew nothing, Mrs. Tierney, Mr.

Guistwhite, Mr. Trone, I figured, okay, you guys had a conversation about this.

- Q. Based on that, do you believe that constituted a violation of the Sunshine Act?
 - A. Mm-hmm. I believe so.

2.1

2.4

- Q. Do you believe it was intentional?
- A. Intent would be tough for me to prove, you know. They talked amongst themselves and didn't include us in the conversation, I think that is an intent to not reach out and talk to any of us at all.

I never thought I had, you know, any animosity towards them or anything like that, animosity towards people. I just -- the fact that you didn't include any of us, maybe that shows some intent that you didn't -- you wanted to keep it -- you wanted to keep the discussion quiet, but then you told me, hey, this is coming down the pike, we are electing him on Thursday. So, you know, that is the only heads-up I got beforehand.

- Q. Do you know why you were excluded from that process?
- A. Not being seen as the majority, even though I am a registered Republican, just like all of them. So, why I am excluded from that group, I don't know. They all ran with the same PAC, and I was not a big fan of that PAC. When I ran, I did not associate myself with anybody. They chose to. And, so, maybe I was persona non

2.1

grata because I didn't campaign for them.

- Q. When you use the word PAC, are you referring that as a political action committee?
- A. Political action committee, the economic -- the economic PAC.
 - O. PA Economic Growth PAC?
- A. Growth PAC, yeah. I worked the polls, saw their paperwork all sitting there. And talking to people, you know, so, and their people and talking to against what I was saying. So, maybe I was considered not being a loyal Republican to them.
- Q. Another e-mail I want to turn your attention to, No. Brent 6. It is an e-mail chain. The first one is dated April 3 from Kevin Hall to Heidi Thomas.
- A. All right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Then there is a couple pages there --
- 19 A. April 5 he wrote -- okay.
- Q. After you have a moment to look
 through it, let me know if you have seen these
 before.
- A. Oh, I have never seen this here, this engagement letter or anything like that.
 - Q. Okay.

A. There are not attachments. Please distribute entire e-mail -- last night -- I still don't see any attachments here. Please distribute the entire e-mail that we discussed last night to each, board meeting Friday the fifth. We didn't have a board meeting on the fourth, so I don't know what she is talking about.

Oh, as we discussed last night, she discussed with Todd. I don't recall receiving information sheet or information about that. I would have to look in my records on that. I'm sorry, I just don't remember.

- Q. This claims that there was an attachment that was supposed to be forwarded to the board members.
 - A. Yeah.

2.1

- Q. Do you remember receiving an e-mail with four attachments similar to what's being described here prior to the meeting on the 11th?
- A. I would actually have to search my e-mails, and I don't recall.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. Engagement letter -- I mean, this would have been -- this was Friday the fifth?

- Q. That is the day of the e-mail, yeah.
- A. Yeah. And -- I would have to look back on my e-mails.
 - Q. Okay.

2.1

- A. I'm sorry, I don't remember.
- Q. You don't specifically remember one way or the other?
- A. I don't specifically remember all this.
- Q. Okay. On the first page, this looks like it is an e-mail from Kevin Hall to Heidi Thomas on April 3.
- 13 A. Mm-hmm.
 - Q. It says good afternoon, Heidi. Please see the attached business agenda items for the upcoming April meeting.
 - Do you have an explanation why Kevin Hall would be working on agenda items for the meeting before the 11th if he hadn't been asked to do that, didn't know he would be the attorney?
 - A. Yeah, I mean, no. The only thing I imagine is, see attached business agenda items.

 Business agenda items are -- what are the attached agenda items? I mean, is he talking

about for what to do when it comes to time for changing of solicitors? Or is it the entire business agenda? That is one of two different things. So, you know, is he giving a suggestion at that point?

Q. Well, I quess --

2.1

A. On the face I assume that he thinks that, you know, I am the new solicitor. But I have to play my devil's advocate and look at it, please attach business agenda items for upcoming. Without seeing the attached agenda or attached what he wrote, it is hard to say, because this has nothing to do with that. This is business agenda items for the 11th.

Again, if I got my phone out and looked on my e-mail under Hall, would that come up?

Q. I don't know. Maybe we'll do that in a little bit.

But for right now, it looks like on the -- according to the minutes, the agenda items include suspension of Board Policy 005, which had to do with appointment of solicitors. And then that was followed by the motion to appoint Attorney Hall.

Do you recall those being sort of the --

A. On agenda, yeah.

2.1

- Q. -- the agenda items that were added?
- A. I know suspension of 005 was change the date that we do the solicitor. Nothing about the process. It was about when we usually appointed a solicitor, which was in May.

There was nothing in there about the process of hiring one. I think Mr. Guistwhite said in the meeting, there is nothing written down in concrete how you go about doing it.

This is the way we have done it before.

- Q. All right. Next I would like to bring your attention to what was marked as Brent 11.

 It is a one-page document. This is an excerpt from the district's answer and new matter in response to the Sunshine Act complaint.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. And I want to draw your attention specifically to No. 54, which is an allegation being made on behalf of the defendants. It says, upon information and belief Plaintiffs Stephen Beaver, Leah Cooper, Amy Elliott, John Elliott, Jeff Harmon, Tara High, Katherine Jan

Jarrett, Jesse Sayre, and Jane Vivier have brought this lawsuit for the illegitimate means of imposing their political agenda on the West Shore School District, regardless of how the majority of the duly elected board members voted.

Do you agree with that statement?

A. No.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Has any -- has anyone provided you with any evidence that the plaintiffs are bringing this lawsuit for any illegitimate purpose?
 - A. No.
- Q. And think based on your answers earlier, do you agree that an outside person could have a reasonable belief that the Sunshine Act was violated based upon the documents we have gone over and what occurred at the April 11 meeting?
- A. Yeah. I believe a reasonable person would believe that looking -- watching the reaction and the nonaction of board members, I would -- if you've ever been to a board meeting, much more discussion than that usually takes place when we do any voting or hiring something.

So, I think somebody on the outside could reasonably infer that.

- Q. Based on the training you have had and your understanding of the Sunshine Act, what, if any, distinction would you draw between discussions versus deliberation occurring?
- A. Well, in my training for Sunshine Act, deliberation involves about how you want to vote. Discussion can be just -- discussions and deliberations. You discuss things. One on one, without meeting of the minds, can you discuss something, like, what do you think, do you think we are going to hire a new coach or something.

That is a discussion. I think we should hire Mr. So-and-so, and these are the reasons why. Let me talk to you about this and get your point of view on this. That is deliberation. We are going back and forth and weighing the pros and cons of something, as opposed to having a discussion about, hey, up at Cedar Cliff, West Shore Stadium is way too open, there is people crawling over the fence and using our facilities. That is a discussion.

I think we should hire Mr. X, who is a person I know who has got security cameras, you

2.1

2.4

know, that would be improper discussion. We were warned about that, you know, people walking up to you saying, hey, I have a business, I would like to get in there, could you steer me.

No, I can't do that.

But deliberation would be weighing pros and cons of a person -- of either a person or a program or something.

- Q. Do you believe any of the board members who voted in favor of Kevin Hall at the April 11 meeting engaged in any deliberation during the meeting to explain their reasons for voting the way they did?
- A. No. I never heard a particular reason, other than he called me. That is not a reason. That is not being part of deliberation.

Mr. Brinton said he Googled him and he called him on Friday. That is when he first talked to him, was Friday the fifth. That is not deliberation. Didn't tell people why. What was it about his background that you found interesting?

That is one of the things I said, you know, in my question. I asked, what is it about his background that made him stand out to you?

2.1

I got no answer. So, to me, that shuts down deliberation.

2.1

And Ms. Thomas, I believe she said barely much of anything, pros or cons about, you know, Mr. Hall and his qualifications. Just that we wanted to do it, basically.

And, again, I don't have a problem with people. You want to change, that is fine. But let's go through the process and be open about it.

- Q. And did you -- do you recall any deliberation about deciding not to go forward with a formal RFP for legal services?
- A. No, no. And that is not part of Policy 005, either. I heard someone say we voted to suspend the RFP. We never voted to suspend the RFP. That is not Policy 005.

I was on the policy committee for two years. I know what is in there. And nowhere did I hear we are going away from it and our decision to go out on our own and have one person pick a solicitor. I never heard that.

Q. At any time after the 11th, did any of the board members who were in favor of Attorney Hall tell you what -- or at any time whether, it

is before or after the meeting, did any board member ever tell you why they were dissatisfied with either Brooke Say or Stock and Leader?

A. The only thing I ever heard was from Mrs. Brent saying she didn't feel like she represented their interests, to encapsulate that. That is not a direct quote. I heard her say that.

I am trying to remember exactly when, it might have been back in January she said that. I definitely did hear that from her, that she didn't feel like she represented them. And I pointed out, solicitor's job is to represent the district, not you. The district -- the solicitor tries to keep you from doing things that you shouldn't do.

I have seen, say, couple times at meetings, well, hold on a second. That is not for this meeting, or be careful if we are talking, hey, that is -- no, that is deliberation. That happens out there. Don't even discuss something like that, not that we were. But if she could feel the conversation was going a certain way, she would say, no, stop that.

2.1

So, she is in the interest of the district. And Mrs. Brent said we didn't feel like she represented us. So --

- Q. Do you know -- did you ever hear any specific examples of what it was that made Ms.

 Brent believe that she --
- A. No, she never -- even after this, that is the only thing I ever heard. No one ever came up to me later on and said, hey, Chris, this is why we did this, this is why I believe that. No one ever -- it was never discussed. At least with me, it was never discussed again.
- Q. There has been discussion in previous depositions that one of the examples that several board members pointed to was regard with an incident with the Byrnes Education Center, there was a parent who wanted to hear the curriculum and apparently had difficulty finding that out. And there was a meeting in which Ms. Thomas participated, and as a result of that meeting, Dr. Stoltz made -- announced his intention to make a public statement about what happened in that meeting.
 - A. Mm-hmm.
 - Q. Are you aware of those circumstances?

2.1

2.4

- A. I am aware of the situation, what happened.
- Q. All right. One of the things mentioned by a number of board members was that they wanted Attorney Say to instruct Dr. Stoltz not to make that statement because they felt like it wasn't accurate.

Are you aware of that sentiment?

A. That whole situation, I think now that you mention it, that someone might have said something about that, that she didn't stop him.

Of course, the period of time that happened, I can't remember if it was February or something,

March, you know, they said from the very get-go in January that we can't work with her. That was before anything happened, before they had the board meeting with her.

That was back in January. I was watching that meeting, January 11. Yeah, I mean, board members are not supposed to go down there and get involved in discussion between a parent and somebody else. And that whole thing blew up and we were going to lose a good partner.

Q. All right. Mr. Guistwhite

2.1

characterized Dr. Stoltz's actions as damage control to try to get Byrnes --

A. Yeah.

2.1

- Q. -- back?
- A. Try to get them back, yeah.
- Q. Is that your understanding?
- A. That was my understanding, that it was kind of like, you know, trying to fix the situation. That was going off the rails and we were going to lose somebody who was a great partner for us.
- Q. Okay. And I think Mr. Guistwhite indicated that he was concerned about losing Byrnes because it seemed to be a popular program with a lot of parents?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What is your -- what was your experience or your understanding of --
- A. My understanding was that when never heard a complaint, never had a problem with it.

 That they came in and do a very good program.
- And what could have been just a plain simple -- I understand from talking to -- from hearing about what happened, what could have been a simple conversation ended up being very

bombastic and very confrontational.

2.1

And then Byrnes was just, like, we are done with this district then if this is how we are going to be treated. Especially as a board member, you know your actions, your presence, you know, has weight. I know if I go into a building, that I am not the teacher who worked here for 30-some years, I am a board member now. And I got to be careful what I say and what I do.

And, you know, I always said when I retired from teaching, one thing I wanted to get back was my freedom of speech. And I never got that back because I went right to being a board member. There is things I know that I can't say.

Mr. Bonn just pointed out that concession. I don't tell my wife stuff that happens. How did the board meeting go? Hmm, stuff. I know I can't tell. So, I am still -- my freedom of speech is -- and knowing as a board member when you go into situations where you are at places, you know, even when I go out, you know, I am still that public figure. And I don't, you know, raise hell or anything like

that because I represent the school district, 1 2 too.

- Q. Did you -- your understanding about what occurred at the Byrnes Center meeting that Ms. Thomas attended with the parent, did you speak to anyone who -- you weren't in attendance at that meeting?
- 8 I was not in attendance, but I spoke 9 with Mr. Gay.
 - Mr. Gay was at the meeting? Q.
- 11 Α. Yes, he was.

Α.

3

4

5

6

7

10

12

13

18

- How did he describe it?
- That it went off the rails quickly. 14 They came in and it was -- his -- what I felt he 15 was saying was they weren't waiting to have a discussion, they wanted to jump from this person 16 17 right away.
 - Who is they? Ο.
 - They. Mrs. Polk was coming in hot. Α.
- 20 Q. Was that the parent?
- 2.1 Parent, yeah. Α.
- 22 Q. That was the parent that made the 23 complaint?
- 24 A. Made the complaint. She didn't want 25 to hear anything. And they just -- and then

```
apparently Mrs. Thomas joined in and the meeting
1
   went off the rails real quick.
2
3
               It was not what you consider a
   professional -- as I understand it from Mr.
4
5
   Gay's characterization, was not a professional
6
   meeting.
7
          Ο.
              Okay. Do you have any reason to doubt
   Mr. Gay's truthfulness in the way he portrayed
8
   what happened at the meeting?
10
          Α.
               No.
11
               MR. ELLIOTT: Give me just a second to
12
   review my notes. I think I covered pretty much
   everything?
13
               (Discussion off the record.)
14
15
               MR. ELLIOTT: If you have any
16
   follow-up, go ahead. I think that is all I
   have.
17
18
                          EXAMINATION
19
   BY MR. BONN:
20
          Q.
              When Heidi called you regarding Kevin
2.1
   Hall, I believe your testimony is she said we
22
   are going to hire a new solicitor, correct?
23
          Α.
              Yeah.
24
              Did she say who we was?
25
          Α.
              No.
```

- Q. Did she tell you how any of the other board members were going to vote?
 - A. No.

2.1

- Q. And you -- did you watch the April 11 meeting in preparation for this deposition today?
- A. Yes. In fact, I watched it this morning while I was doing laundry.
- Q. Do you recall Kelly discussing -Kelly Brent discussing the reason why she was no
 longer in favor of pursuing the RFP process
 during that public meeting?
- A. Can I refer to my notes?
 - O. Yes.
 - A. I don't see that on there. I don't want to convolute that thought with another.

 That she has said that she didn't feel -- she felt that it was not going in a direction she wanted it to, or too many people were getting involved, it was getting too convoluted. I can't remember the exact phrasing. But it was in connection she felt it was getting away from what she intended or she thought the RFP should be. Too many fingers in the pot, type of thing.
 - Q. So, she made that statement during the

public meeting?

- A. During the public meeting on April 11
 -- I am trying to be sure. I have heard it so
 many times. I don't know if she said it exactly
 at that meeting or not.
- Q. When you watched the video today, did you just watch the portion leading up to the vote to change of solicitor? Or did you also watch the portion where they talk about suspending the policy?
- A. I watched from the suspension of Policy 005. Yeah. I watched from that. That was the first business item after the public had a chance to talk.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall Kelly discussing dissatisfaction with Attorney Say?
 - A. Dissatisfaction with --
 - Q. Attorney Say.
- A. Attorney Say. I remember her saying,

 I believe it was at that meeting, she felt that

 Attorney Say didn't represent them and their

 interests.
- Q. Do you know who she was referring to as they or them?
 - A. My assumption would be majority of the

board, what you call majority, which would be 1 the five -- four new members and Heidi Thomas. 2 3 You can't say majority because there is still majority Republican. You can't say majority of 4 new people because Heidi was still on there. 5 6 She was re-elected. So, whatever. 7 Ο. So. That is what I inferred from it. 8 Α. 9 was the specific -- it could be from Heidi for 10 all I know. 11 Q. Just for the record, when you are 12 saying -- when you refer to the majority members, you are referring to Heidi Thomas, 13 14 Kelly Brent, David Brinton, Brenda Cox, and Mandy Davis? 15 16 Α. Yes. 17 Would you consider Kelly's statements 18 about her dissatisfaction with Brooke Say to be 19 a deliberative -- a deliberation? 20 Α. No. 2.1 Why not? Ο. 22 Α. I just take that as -- what in 23 particular? There was no follow-up. 2.4 Q. Wasn't she explaining why --

She was just saying that --

Α.

Q. -- she wanted to replace the solicitor?

2.1

A. She said we were dissatisfied, and I know Abby said, what is the rush? And Heidi said what we feel is legal. Didn't answer the question, what is the rush. And I said, why must it be done now? And there was silence. Then they decided to vote on that policy. At which point I put forth a motion to table this and it was defeated, and then they voted to suspend Policy 005.

So, the very next thing that anyone said, Kelly said was, I move to appoint Kevin Hall as our solicitor. So, if you make a statement saying I am dissatisfied, the question is why. That leads to more deliberation. I think it is more of a statement than a deliberation.

- Q. Do you recall David Brinton talking about the fact that Tucker Arensberg was in Pittsburgh?
- A. My notes here say David did not interview him. Talked to him on the phone when it became apparent that -- when it became apparent we were moving that way on Friday.

Mr. Hall called Kelly. David Brinton
-- did he bring it up they are from Pittsburgh?

I don't believe so. I believe I brought it up
they are from Pittsburgh. Somebody said it.

- Q. Okay. Somebody --
- A. Somebody brought it up that their law firm is centered in Pittsburgh. And if we needed them -- I said that, if we needed people to come in for things, is that part of the cost? We were down at the cost part. It was, are we going to be charged for that.
- Q. You have watched the video more recently than I have. Was there anything that David Brinton said regarding that Tucker Arensberg as a firm had experience in education law?
- A. No, I don't remember any of that. All I remember him saying, I Googled it.
- Q. Okay.

2.1

- A. You know, Googled him. So, I don't recall him saying that. I took pretty good notes here. I am not a stenographer. So, of course, reading my handwriting looks more like hieroglyphics.
 - No, I said he said he talked to him on

the phone Friday before the vote. And next thing I had him saying was that he -- someone said how do you know anything about him, he said I Googled him. It is called Google. He said it is called Google.

- Q. He was answering questions?
- A. To the audience. It wasn't from me.
- Q. Moving on to this e-mail between you and Thad Eisenhower. That is Brent 11, maybe.

MR. ELLIOTT: It is 10.

11 BY MR. BONN:

2.1

- Q. Would you agree that Mr. Eisenhower was trying to convince you to vote in favor of Kevin Hall?
- A. Yes. I think he was trying to lay out his points about why -- why -- he prefaced it with he was tired of the arguing going back and forth. I am at the beginning here. Solicitor issue was getting out of control. Minority seems to want to keep existing solicitor, so does administration. Wants to change due to the fact I am hearing solicitor does not listen to them. She listens to administration as well as minority. But not certainly the majority in the same capacity. Hence, the majority wants a new

solicitor. They have every right to do that.

2 Talking about Independence Law Center.

3 He is a resident of New Cumberland, an

4 upstanding individual and many community

5 | services. He may have limited experience in

6 education law. But if he says he is confident

7 he can do the job, I stand by him to show up to

support him. He is not extremist, he is

9 moderate discussions.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

So, sounds to me like he is trying to lay a foundation for me to support Mr. Hall. I would characterize that.

- Q. And you -- would you -- would it be fair to say that -- well, you wrote an e-mail back to him, and your writing is in italics?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Would it be fair to summarize that you were not in favor of Kevin Hall being the solicitor?
- A. From my points I was making here, I don't care where he is from. He is here, the rest of the firm is in Pittsburgh. So, seems to me it is a point-by-point item, which is what I do with people. I counter their argument. And through this here I am saying that from what I

know and everything like that, I would not be supportive of him just because, one, he is from New Cumberland, or his experience.

The board starts, we were arguing about the RFP process trust. Yeah. So, I -- I would say to him -- I am saying that best interests -- pointing out that they should work with people better and not try to think they are the only ones who run the district. Work with us.

His firm is out in Pittsburgh, that was No. 2. No. 3, I don't care where he lives at. I don't owe him loyalty because someone is from New Cumberland. We are in the position we are in because -- one person tried to go out and hire somebody who has not been vetted.

Again, so, there is things here I am saying that I trust going through a process and not shortchanging it. This was after I had found out -- I believe the date on this -- original date is the eighth. Yeah, Monday the eighth, so I found out Friday. So, I found out on the Friday fifth beforehand. So, at that time I probably did some research trying to figure out everything, who he was.

2.1

Since he is not a board member, I am not deliberating with a board member.

- Q. Understood. And I think that is in the training that you received from Stock and Leader, that you are allowed to say anything at all to a constituent, correct?
 - A. Mm-hmm.

2.1

2.4

- Q. And Kevin Hall is a --
- A. I'm sorry, yes.
- Q. Kevin Hall is a constituent of the West Shore School District, he is a resident of New Cumberland to your knowledge, correct?
 - A. Yes, he is.
- Q. So, there would be nothing preventing you from calling Kevin Hall and talking to him as a constituent?
- A. Calling him and talking to him, no.

 Offering him a position, that would be

 different. But nothing would stop me from

 talking to a constituent, no.
- Q. And would anything prevent you from -does the Sunshine Act prevent you from talking
 one-on-one with a potential vendor of the school
 district?
- 25 A. I would never talk to a vendor.

- Q. But that because of the Sunshine law or is that --
- A. Sunshine law, oh, boy. It is -- I am trying to remember what area that was. I believe -- I think it would -- again, my understanding is, I don't talk to vendors because it is a conflict of interest. It makes it look like I am trying to get somebody business. I mean, like that talk to a vendor? Or have a friendly conversation?
- Q. Well, let's say there is an agenda item and there is three vendors that you can pick from on an agenda item. Would anything prevent you from contacting all three and getting additional information to inform yourself how you are going to vote?
- A. Anything stopping me from contacting three potential vendors, asking questions. It is, like, beyond my purview to do that.

I really would not do that, because in your conversation you could make -- you could intimate promises, like, I want to pick you, or I want to go with you. I wouldn't personally do that. I think you are just setting yourself up for -- does it say specific in the Sunshine law

2.1

about that, I am not too sure about that.

But I know in best practices what they told us about, don't set yourself up for a conflict of interest.

- Q. That is my next question. Would it violate the Sunshine Act if you called a vendor and said, I like your service the best, I am going to vote for you? Would that violate the Sunshine Act?
- A. To me, Sunshine law is your deliberation amidst the body, amongst the board.
 - Q. Understood.

2.1

- A. I would not say -- again, I would never do that. Is there something that stops me from doing that, there is probably better legal minds than me. But I would -- to call someone and say I am going to vote for you, I don't know. I don't believe so. I wouldn't do that because it is not good practice to do that.
- Q. There is some statements that you made to Thad Eisenhower would you agree are critical of the majority members of the board?
 - A. That I make?
- Q. Yes.
- 25 A. Oh, yeah. Again, we are trying to

work with them. And I think I have a better working relationship, I think on the board, to understand things a little better, that you have to work as a group. You can't just go by yourself and do stuff. You should work with everybody and keep everyone informed.

- Q. At one point do you say, they have decided to create an air of fear and mistrust, results will be the district losing qualified individuals who will leave to get away from the culture war started by the new members?
- A. Yeah. When I have a principal tell me that some board member came in and said you are not going to be fired, we'll take care of the other ones, I think that is creating a fear.
 - Q. When -- who said that?
- A. One of the new board members has said that to one of our principals. That to me is creating an air of fear. And we have seen what's happened in York County. We are getting people up here because they want to leave what's going on. People don't want chaos going on in their lives.
- Q. How have they started culture wars, the majority members?

2.1

A. Culture wars, brings in, like, the Independence Law Center. Again, just on their own they brought them in here. And their records from what I have seen is let's stir things up, get you into court. We'll do it probono, but you wind up paying for it. That is why -- and tackling issues that I don't think need to be in the forefront, that we need to worry about.

Right now we are worrying about going about bathroom policies and sports and items like that, which I don't think are the most important thing for us to deal with. I would rather see us deal with cell phones and stuff like that. Other --

- Q. Is it fair to say that you oppose at least some of the their political agenda?
- A. Going on the school board, I am not supposed to have a political agenda. That is why you can cross file. I agree with a lot.

 Mrs. Brent and I had coffee before she was sworn in. We had a lot we agree on.

So, I do not -- I am not against all of what they do. And there is -- I am not a fan of process, things that violate process, how

2.1

things should be done. And I don't want to create chaos. If you want to do this, talk to me. Hey, we are looking at doing this. Okay, well, I support you on that.

So, we had a discussion. We had a very good discussion. We talked for a couple hours. Same with Dave Brinton. I had coffee with him before he was on the board. It is, like, okay, let's see where you are at. And there was a lot of things we agreed on.

- Q. But there are items of their political agenda that you don't agree with?
- A. Yeah. And I still have a problem with political agenda. Because you shouldn't have a political agenda when you are coming onto the board. You should be making a district agenda.

What their political agenda is, what their -- who their backers are what they are stating in the papers they had them sign, I don't agree with a lot of things in there. And I think we should be of independent thought, independent person. And not, you know, take your direction from outside sources.

I think when you come in here and you make decisions. We are privy to a lot more

2.1

- information than the outside people do. And we make decisions based on that information, not on our preconceived -- not looking around the library for a banned book or something like that. Come in here and just do your job in making sure our district runs the best.
- Q. In your understanding of the Sunshine law, does it -- --
 - A. You don't mind if I face you this way?
- Q. No.

2.1

- A. I broke my neck 20 years ago.
- Q. No, I am just trying to think of my question. I had it in my head, then it kind of went somewhere.
 - A. I'm sorry. I have lumbar problems, broken neck in two places. So, you'll see me at meetings turn my chair all the way around.
 - Q. In your understanding of the Sunshine law, does it require the school board to issue an RFP to change solicitors?
 - A. No. That is -- as I stated before,

 even Mr. Guistwhite brought up that's the

 process we used to hire one, to make sure -
 like, right now we did an RFP for

 transportation. We got three different bus

companies, two, three very good ones, and we are going through that.

Picking one out of the yellow pages -not that that happened here, but picking an
electrician out of the yellow pages is not what
you want to do. You want to make sure, just
like construction, we are dealing with public
money. You have to put things out on bids if
you are going to spend more than -- I might get
this wrong -- I think it is \$20,000 or something
like that, it has to go out on bids. To me,
that is part of an RFP process.

- Q. There is -- but that is -- the reason you support an RFP process is because you think it is good governance, not because it is required by the Sunshine Act?
- A. No, no. What I would call best practices. And her reasoning back in January from Mrs. Brent was I want to make sure we are getting the most bang for our buck. Okay.

 Sounds good. Let's do an RFP so everyone can come in here and tell us this is what we charge, this is what we charge, stuff like that.

If that is your reasoning, that is

2.1

2.4

fine. Nobody should be afraid of that.

- Q. Now, I think everybody agrees if the five members -- majority members had met privately and hired Kevin -- signed an engagement letter with Kevin Hall on behalf of the district, that would violate the Sunshine Act, correct?
- A. Yes, if they all got in a room. There is a case down in Manheim Township where nine board members went out to dinner together and discussed school business, and they violated the Sunshine law. You know, that is what you are talking about. They all sat down --
 - O. Yes.

2.1

- A. -- in a room. But also from my understanding was a meeting of the minds. If I call one person at a time and add up to five, I have a meeting of the minds.
- Q. But you don't have any proof that any of the five majority members called the other four and asked them how they were going to vote, correct?
 - A. I don't have any proof of that.
- Q. What was the issue -- I mean, this case doesn't involve Kevin Hall being hired

outside of the public meeting. There was a 1 public meeting at which he was hired. 2 3 And what was -- what about that meeting violated the Sunshine law? 4 5 Α. It was --6 MR. ELLIOTT: Objection to the form, 7 to the extent that is intended to summarize the 8 complaint in any way. 9 MR. BONN: Understood. Go ahead. Rephrase. 10 THE WITNESS: 11 BY MR. BONN: 12 Q. Oh, what --13 If that is the proper term. 14 Yeah. Would you -- well, let me say Q. it this way. Are you testifying that the vote 15 16 that they took to hire Kevin Hall violated the Sunshine Act? 17 18 My point is, they had deliberation Α. 19 ahead of time violated. The deliberation 20 beforehand, and the fact I am sitting there and 2.1 no one is giving me reasons why, that to me is 22 problematic. 23 What proof beyond assumption or 24 speculation? 25 I have no proof. Α.

- Q. So, you have no proof they actually did deliberate?
- A. I have to go through what I would assume.
- Q. Because you seem very certain in your testimony that they violated the Sunshine Act.
- A. In my opinion, they did. That would be just my opinion.
- Q. That is your opinion based on speculation, correct?
- A. That is my opinion based on my experience that if I see people who don't want to discuss anything or give me a reason on such -- in such a packed house, and nobody wanted to speak up and say anything or give me a reason why. Give me a reason -- to me, you are hiring somebody you like. I have seen people put in their two cents on simpler projects, simpler things.

It is -- you know, is it my opinion, yes, from my experience. And I have been around boards for a long time.

- Q. But no direct evidence that they --
- A. I do not have a call log that shows that they called each other, you know, during

2.1

- that time. I don't have that. I don't have access to that. But it is my opinion.
 - Q. Okay. Now, you disclosed your telephone records?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

- Q. So, those could be turned over in discovery to plaintiffs, correct?
- Yes. I had asked for clarification. 8 Α. 9 It wasn't from you, Mr. Bonn, it was from another attorney going through this, I don't 10 11 know who, I was trying to figure out if I had to 12 do the whole, you know, from -- I can't remember, was it from October or something all 13 14 the way through, whatever it was, or did you want just this period of time, April 11. 15
 - So, I originally gave you April 11, then you did communicate back to me, or your secretary did, said, no, I want everything. So, yes, I have turned everything over. Nothing was redacted. I put everything out there.
 - Q. Now, just so you know, I did redact from the log that you gave me anything that was unrelated to conversations with school board members.
- 25 A. Yeah, I remember the one question your

secretary asked, who is Matt? I said, that is my son, Matt. She wanted to know if it was Matt Gay. And I said and Ryan is my son Ryan, not Ryan Argot. It was, like, common names there.

- Q. So, but, in reviewing those phone records, is it true that you do from time to time have conversations with other board members over the telephone?
 - A. Mm-hmm.

2.1

2.4

- O. Is that --
- 11 A. Yes. I'm sorry.
- 12 Q. Is that -- are some of those
 13 conversations related to school board business?
 - A. Jeez, is it related to school board business. Usually most times we have just conversations. Brian, I am putting a floor in, I called him a lot about that, putting a kitchen in. Do you want to get together, have breakfast and catch up on your life and everything like that.
 - Talking to school board business, we don't sit there -- I never sat there and said, okay, let's go over the agenda and talk about stuff.
 - Q. Yeah. Would it be okay to discuss

matters of school board business with other board members?

Α. Would it be okay. Again, this comes to the question of discussion and deliberation. You know, discuss things -- I mean, I don't get into deep discussions on things. I am trying to think of an example where, you know, did you see we are voting on something like that. I am trying to think of anything where we say anything like that.

Is it okay to discuss things, I would imagine you could discuss things without deliberating it.

- Yeah. That is what -- I'll give you a Ο. hypothetical. Let's say there is a contract for approval on the agenda --
- 17 Α. Mm - hmm.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

2.1

- And there is a section of the contract Ο. that you don't understand what it means. Would it be okay --
 - Α. Yeah.
- -- to call another board member and 23 say what does this --
- 24 Α. Somebody with greater experience. 25 Like, hey, Brian, you have been through this,

- tell me, what is this term -- or HP McClure has, 1 2 they have it some kind of program. What is that 3 program? And you could have something like that. 4 5 So, that is okay as long as you are Q. 6 not deliberating --7 Α. I am going to vote against it because 8 I don't like that. I shouldn't discuss my
 - votes.
 - Yes. Now, there is an allegation in Ο. the complaint that the, quote on quote, minority board members, which would be you, Brian Guistwhite, Abby Tierney, Adam Trone --
 - Α. Mm - hmm.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

2.1

23

- -- that they were -- that you were excluded from the deliberations regarding Kevin Hall.
 - Α. Mm-hmm, yes.
- 19 Would you agree with that allegation? Q.
 - Α. Yes.
- And, so, Heidi excluded you by calling Q. 22 you and telling you that --
 - Α. Telling me is not a deliberation.
 - Q. -- this was going to be on the agenda?
- 25 When I was asking questions, she Α.

didn't answer them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. Did you ask her questions on the phone?
 - A. I asked her questions on the phone.
- Q. And she wouldn't answer your questions?
- 7 Α. She wouldn't answer my questions on the phone. Then at the meeting where I was 8 asking questions, why did we abandon the process? How was it stalled? No answer. 10 11 a deer in headlights. Who did the interview? 12 No answer. Abby Tierney, did you interview? did not interview him. These are questions I am 13 14 asking her, why must it be done right now? No 15 answer.

Mr. Hall called me two weeks ago.

What did you say? How things are going? Why
did you choose him? No answer. That is not a
deliberation. That is me asking questions and
not getting any feedback.

Q. I want to follow-up on what you testified to though about you asked Heidi questions on the phone.

What questions did you ask her on the phone?

- A. The question I asked, did the committee approve this? I don't know anything. I don't know. Did the administration interview him? Not that I know of. You know, or I don't know, you know, it is, like, it was in the negative. So, well, I have to hurry, I got to call someone else. You know, so, that was the end of the conversation. But, again, I am out there walking around heading into a restaurant.
- Q. If one of the board members had told you, I am dissatisfied with Brooke Say's performance and I don't think I can attend another meeting with her being the solicitor, would that violate the Sunshine Act?
 - A. No.

2.1

- Q. Now, regarding the -- your conversation about the Byrnes Group, I believe your testimony was that Heidi was endangering the school district's contract with that vendor, correct?
- A. Yes. From what I understand from my conversation with Mr. Gay.
 - Q. Did you know that vendor did not even have a written contract with the school district?

A. I did not know that.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. And you weren't present for that meeting?
 - A. I was not present for that.
 - Q. And I believe that your testimony was that it was not appropriate for board members to attend that meeting?
 - A. That's what I said, yes.
 - Q. So, is it your testimony that board members should not advocate on behalf of parents regarding issues the parents have with the district?
- My position is, I have had many 13 Α. 14 parents ask me, talk to me, and what I have always told them is contact the proper person. 15 16 I don't go in there and advocate for them 17 specifically, because there is three sides to 18 every story. I learned that from teaching. 19 There is your side, their side, and there is the truth in-between. 20
 - I am not going to go in there. It is not my position to do that. Do I pass along information? Yeah, I can pass along information. But I am not going to go in there with them. Like, if there is a meeting with the

```
principal and go in there and say I demand you
1
2
    satisfy them, because I don't know if that is
3
   the truth. That is not my position as a school
   board member.
4
5
               School board member, we take care of
6
   the budget, we hire, we okay the hiring going
7
   on, personnel. We approve policies. We are --
   you have parents who will ask questions. Like
8
   me, I get asked about the natatorium
10
   all the time. I was, like, I'll check into it
   and I'll get back to you. Am I going to go into
11
12
   a meeting with the parent and yell at Mr.
   Sanders about the natatorium? No, I am not
13
14
   going to do that.
              Now, Heidi Thomas testified that Dr.
15
          Q.
   Stoltz apologized to her for his public
16
17
    statement regarding the Byrnes Group.
18
              Were you aware of that?
19
          Α.
              No.
20
              MR. BONN:
                          That is it.
2.1
              MR. ELLIOTT: Just real quick.
22
                        RE-EXAMINATION
23
   BY MR. ELLIOTT:
24
          Q.
             The notes you are referring to, are
25
   those just personal notes you took?
```

- A. Those are my personal notes I jotted down from the January 11 and April 11 meeting just to refresh my memory.
- Q. Right. That is -- you took those notes while you were watching the video?
- A. While I was watching the video this morning, yes.
- Q. Earlier today David Brinton indicated that it is common for votes to take place without any deliberation in the meeting.

Is that your experience?

- A. For minor things, yeah. There are votes that come along in any discussion, you know, simple approvals or something like that.
- Q. Would those be the kind of things that are approvals that are something that the administration staff has --
- A. For example, personnel. I am not going to deliberate on personnel. You know, that might come through.
- There is other things that have come up, like, for example, when we get a furnace, new furnace put in, yeah, there was discussion on that. Is everything discussed? Not everything is a discussion, no.

2.1

- Q. Typically have you received information about the votes with enough time to satisfy yourself that you are -- you know what you are voting on and whether it is appropriate?
- A. Yeah. Usually -- I always read my agenda, what's going on. I read board notes every week that the superintendent sends out. Sometimes you have a question you might ask, you might send an e-mail out for clarification.

Do we have discussions? Yeah, we do have discussions and we'll talk about things before we vote.

- Q. Okay. With respect to voting on something such as the solicitor, is that the kind of thing you would expect would have no deliberation?
- A. I would not expect to have no deliberation on that, especially when there was no interview, you know, even -- even we get -- they would bring in somebody -- again, I keep referring to coaches. I think last time we had a coach, they brought him in to executive session so we could talk, hey, how is going, meet the person. Or talk to him out there in the board meeting or something.

2.1

```
When you have no knowledge of somebody
1
2
   and no one interviewed, I would love to have a
3
   sit-down and have an interview and talk.
   would expect that at some point, especially if
4
5
   you are getting heat, give me the reason why.
6
              Right. Just to be clear, prior to
7
   April 11, there was never an executive session
   or public meeting where the possibility of
8
   Attorney Hall being hired as a solicitor was
10
   brought up in any way?
11
          Α.
              No. Back in January 11, Mrs. Brent
12
   brought an RFP. You know, at that point she
   wanted a new solicitor. And I think our
13
14
   previous meeting in December.
                                   That was it.
15
               That was kind of a surprise, but we
16
   discussed it and said let's work together.
   I never heard the name of Mr. Hall until --
17
18
   Heidi probably told me his name at the time she
19
   called me. That would have been the first time
   I heard of it.
20
2.1
              MR. ELLIOTT: Okay. That's all I
   have. Nothing else.
22
23
              MR. BONN:
                         I am done.
24
          (At 4:42 p.m., the deposition concluded.)
25
```

1	County of Lancaster:
2	SS
3	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania:
4	
5	
6	I, Angela N. Kilby, Reporter, Notary Public, duly commissioned and qualified in and
7	for the County of Lancaster, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, hereby certify that the
8	deponent/witness came before me, who was duly sworn/affirmed by me to testify to the truth of
9	his/her knowledge concerning the matters in controversy in this cause.
10	I also certify that the questions and
11	answers were recorded by me in stenotype, to the best of my ability, and subsequently reduced to
12	computer printout under my supervision, and that this copy is a true and correct record of the
13	same.
14	I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of counsel or the parties
15	hereto. This certification does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless
16	under my direct control and/or supervision.
17	Dated this 4th day of March, 2025.
18	
19 20	Ann
21	Angela N. Kilby - Reporter Notary Public
22	Commission Expiration: June 2, 2027
23	John Lipitacion. Jane 2, 2027
24	
25	

-keyreporters@comcast.net-

 $ldsymbol{}^{log}}}}}}}}}}}}}}$

\$	29:22	actions [2] - 47:1, 48:5	animosity [2] - 34:8, 34:9	assume [2] - 38:7, 69:4
\$20,000 [1] - 66:10	4	active [1] - 27:15	announced [1] - 45:21	assumption [2] -
	4 [1] - 3:3	Adam [2] - 33:18, 73:13	answer [17] - 5:20,	52:25, 68:23
0	42 [1] - 29:23	73.13 add [1] - 67:17	6:2, 18:14, 18:17, 19:1, 19:13, 19:14,	attach [1] - 38:10 attached [5] - 37:15,
000 [1] - 29:22	4:42 [1] - 80:24	added [2] - 14:22,	39:17, 43:1, 54:5,	37:23, 37:25, 38:11,
005 [6] - 38:22, 39:5,	4th [1] - 81:17	39:4	74:1, 74:5, 74:7,	38:12
43:15, 43:17, 52:12,	-	additional [1] - 60:15	74:10, 74:12, 74:15,	attachment [1] - 36:15
54:11	5	address [1] - 4:20	74:18 answered [2] - 11:18,	attachments [3] - 36:1, 36:3, 36:19
1	5 [4] - 13:4, 26:3, 26:8,	administration [10] - 11:4, 11:25, 12:5,	19:8	attend [2] - 75:12,
-	35:19	13:23, 14:1, 24:15,	answering [1] - 56:6	76:7
10 [4] - 20:8, 20:9,	50 [1] - 3:4	56:21, 56:23, 75:3,	answers [7] - 6:9,	attendance [2] - 49:6,
20:13, 56:10 11 _[23] - 7:13, 17:15,	52 [1] - 10:11 54 [1] - 39:21	78:17	18:5, 18:11, 19:5,	49:8
17:24, 18:4, 18:19,	34 [1] - 39.2 I	administrators [2] -	19:7, 40:14, 81:11 apologized [1] - 77:16	attended [1] - 49:5
18:23, 26:13, 27:19,	6	10:20, 33:9 advance [1] - 30:1	application apparent [2] - 54:24,	attention [3] - 35:14, 39:15, 39:20
28:10, 29:16, 39:15,	-	advance [1] - 30:1	54:25	attorney [4] - 16:18,
40:18, 42:11, 46:19,	6 [1] - 35:14	76:10, 76:16	APPEARANCES [1] -	24:19, 37:21, 70:10
51:4, 52:2, 56:9, 70:15, 70:16, 78:2,	625 [1] - 4:21	affirmed [1] - 4:12	2:1	Attorney [16] - 6:17,
80:7, 80:11	7	afforded [1] - 32:6	apply [1] - 81:15	19:16, 22:24, 26:7,
119 [2] - 1:20, 2:4		afraid [1] - 67:1 afternoon [1] - 37:14	appoint [2] - 38:25, 54:13	26:8, 28:6, 28:15, 29:5, 38:25, 43:24,
11th [7] - 22:10, 26:19,	7 [1] - 29:15	afterwards [1] - 17:7	appointed [4] - 27:21,	46:5, 52:16, 52:18,
32:22, 36:20, 37:19,	717 [1] - 1:25	agencies [1] - 8:2	28:9, 28:21, 39:8	52:19, 52:21, 80:9
38:14, 43:23 1300 [1] - 1:24	717.480.5304 [1] - 2:10	agenda [28] - 27:3,	appointment [2] -	attorney-client [1] -
16 [1] - 31:15	717.843.8968 [1] - 2:5	28:24, 37:15, 37:18,	28:12, 38:23	16:18
17101 [1] - 2:9	764-7801 [1] - 1:25	37:23, 37:24, 37:25, 38:3, 38:10, 38:11,	appreciate [2] - 27:12, 27:25	attorneys [1] - 24:13 audience [1] - 56:7
17401 [1] - 2:4	77 [1] - 3:3	38:14, 38:21, 39:3,	appropriate [2] - 76:6,	authorized [1] - 27:18
17404 [1] - 1:24	7th [1] - 2:9	39:4, 40:3, 60:11,	79:4	aware [5] - 21:21,
18 [1] - 33:5	8	60:13, 63:17, 63:19,	approval [1] - 72:16	45:25, 46:1, 46:8,
19 [1] - 1:17 1985 [1] - 7:7	0	64:12, 64:14, 64:15,	approvals [2] - 78:14,	77:18
1000[i] 7.7	8 [2] - 21:16, 21:17	64:16, 64:17, 71:23, 72:16, 73:24, 79:6	78:16 approve [2] - 75:2,	В
2	_	ago [3] - 32:6, 65:11,	77:7	
• 0.45 50.40	Α	74:16	April [27] - 8:16, 13:4,	bachelor [1] - 6:25
2 [3] - 9:15, 58:12, 81:22	abandon [1] - 74:9	agree [10] - 11:21,	17:15, 17:24, 18:4,	back-up [1] - 8:21
20 [1] - 65:11	abandoned [2] -	40:7, 40:15, 56:12,	18:19, 18:23, 21:16,	backers [1] - 64:18
2021 [3] - 7:15, 7:23,	16:14, 17:4	61:21, 63:20, 63:22, 64:12, 64:20, 73:19	21:17, 26:8, 26:13, 27:19, 28:10, 29:16,	background [5] - 6:23, 6:24, 19:10,
9:5	Abby [3] - 54:4, 73:13,	agreed [2] - 4:2, 64:10	33:5, 35:15, 35:19,	42:21, 42:25
2024 [2] - 8:16, 17:15	74:12 ability [2] - 32:7, 81:11	agrees [1] - 67:2	37:12, 37:16, 40:18,	ball [1] - 22:14
2024-SU-001322 [1] - 1:3	able [4] - 22:23, 23:2,	ahead [4] - 30:7,	42:11, 51:4, 52:2,	bang [1] - 66:20
2025 [2] - 1:17, 81:17	24:8, 25:7	50:16, 68:9, 68:19	70:15, 70:16, 78:2, 80:7	banned [1] - 65:4 barely [1] - 43:4
2027 [1] - 81:22	access [1] - 70:2	aids [1] - 6:21 air [2] - 62:8, 62:19	area [6] - 9:17, 9:18,	based [8] - 26:21,
22 [1] - 7:14	according [1] - 38:21	allegation [3] - 39:21,	23:9, 23:14, 24:4,	33:23, 40:14, 40:17,
240 [1] - 2:9	accuracy [1] - 28:15 accurate [1] - 46:7	73:10, 73:19	60:4	41:3, 65:2, 69:9,
2:56 [1] - 1:18	accurate [1] - 46:7 accurately [1] - 6:10	allowed [1] - 59:5	areas [1] - 10:2	69:11
3	Act [15] - 8:7, 30:19,	alphabetically [1] -	Arensberg [5] - 23:7, 24:9, 24:18, 54:20,	basis [1] - 28:14
	33:24, 39:18, 40:17,	29:24 ALSO [1] - 2:14	55:15	bathroom [1] - 63:11 BEAVER [1] - 1:3
3 [4] - 29:23, 35:15,	41:4, 41:7, 59:22,	amidst [1] - 61:11	Argot [1] - 71:4	Beaver [1] - 39:24
37:12, 58:12	61:6, 61:9, 66:16, 67:7, 68:17, 69:6,	AMY [1] - 1:3	arguing [2] - 56:17,	became [2] - 54:24
30 [1] - 18:7 30-some [1] - 48:8	75:14	Amy [1] - 39:24	58:4	beefed [1] - 16:10
33 [1] - 7:1	action [2] - 35:3, 35:4	AND [2] - 1:5, 1:10	argument [2] - 18:9, 57:24	beforehand [3] -
331 [3] - 29:18, 29:20,	Action [1] - 1:3	Angela [3] - 1:21, 81:6, 81:20	associate [1] - 34:24	34:16, 58:23, 68:20 begin [1] - 27:14
		01.0, 01.20		20gm[i] - 27.14
			least and the second	

beginning [2] - 27:18, 56.18 behalf [3] - 39:22, 67:5, 76:10 behind [1] - 9:10 belief [2] - 39:23, 40.16 bell [1] - 21:8 best [9] - 5:19, 9:15, 13:12, 58:6, 61:2, 61:7, 65:6, 66:17, 81:11 better [6] - 10:20, 10:24, 58:8, 61:15, 62:1, 62:3 between [8] - 4:3, 20:17, 22:21, 26:7, 41:5, 46:21, 56:8, 76:20 beyond [2] - 60:19, 68:23 bids [2] - 66:8, 66:11 big [4] - 10:2, 18:6, 24:1, 34:23 **bill** [1] - 25:1 billed [1] - 25:25 billing [1] - 25:20 **bills** [1] - 25:23 biography [1] - 23:11 bit [4] - 16:11, 21:11, 25:13, 38:19 blew [1] - 46:23 board [69] - 5:6, 7:22, 8:5, 9:6, 11:20, 19:23, 21:9, 23:12, 23:21, 25:6, 25:18, 25:23, 28:10, 29:11, 30:1, 30:24, 31:3, 31:14, 31:15, 32:20, 36:5, 36:6, 36:16, 40:5, 40:22, 40:23, 42:9, 43:24, 44:1, 45:15, 46:4, 46:17, 46:20, 48:4, 48:8, 48:14, 48:19, 48:22, 51:2, 53:1, 58:4, 59:1, 59:2, 61:11, 61:22, 62:2, 62:13, 62:17, 63:18, 64:8, 64:16, 65:19, 67:10, 70:23, 71:7, 71:13, 71:14, 71:21, 72:1, 72:2, 72:22, 73:12, 75:10, 76:6, 76:9, 77:4, 77:5, 79:6, **BOARD** [1] - 1:8 Board [1] - 38:22 boards [2] - 8:2, 69:22 body [1] - 61:11

bombastic [1] - 48:1 **Bonn** [4] - 3:4, 6:17, 48:17, 70:9 BONN [9] - 2:8, 16:20, 20:10, 50:19, 56:11, 68:9, 68:11, 77:20, 80.23 bono [1] - 63:6 book [1] - 65:4 borough [3] - 20:4, 21:8, 23:11 bother [1] - 27:1 bottom [1] - 29:22 bov [1] - 60:3 break [2] - 6:17, 6:18 breakfast [1] - 71:18 **BRENDA** [1] - 1:10 Brenda [1] - 53:14 Brent [20] - 14:18, 17:13, 20:8, 20:9, 20:13, 22:1, 26:3, 29:15, 33:1, 35:14, 39:15, 44:5, 45:2, 45:6, 51:10, 53:14, 56:9, 63:21, 66:19, 80:11 BRENT [1] - 1:10 Brian [7] - 14:9, 15:2, 15:16, 18:1, 71:16, 72:25. 73:12 briefly [1] - 5:1 bring [5] - 8:13, 8:14, 39:14, 55:2, 79:20 **bringing** [1] - 40:11 brings [1] - 63:1 **BRINTON** [1] - 1:10 Brinton [9] - 14:18, 22:1, 42:17, 53:14, 54:19, 55:1, 55:14, 64:7, 78:8 broke [1] - 65:11 broken [1] - 65:16 Brooke [4] - 26:23, 44:3, 53:18, 75:11 brother [1] - 31:14 brought [8] - 40:2, 55:3, 55:6, 63:3, 65:22, 79:22, 80:10, 80:12 Buchanan [1] - 7:11 buck [1] - 66:20 budget [1] - 77:6 building [1] - 48:7 bus [1] - 65:25 business [14] - 37:15, 37:23, 37:24, 38:3, 38:10, 38:14, 42:3, 52:13, 60:9, 67:11,

71:13, 71:15, 71:21,

72:1

BY [11] - 1:16, 2:3, 2:8, 4:15, 11:16, 16:22, 20:14, 50:19, 56:11, 68:11, 77:23 **Byrnes** [7] - 45:16, 47:2, 47:14, 48:2, 49:4, 75:17, 77:17

C

cameras [1] - 41:25 campaign [2] - 22:2, 35:1 capacity [1] - 56:25 care [5] - 24:25, 57:21, 58:12, 62:14, 77:5 careful [2] - 44:19, 48:9 Carr [1] - 1:19 CARR [1] - 2:3 case [2] - 67:9, 67:25 catch [1] - 71:19 Cedar [3] - 7:14, 22:15, 41:21 cell [2] - 5:7, 63:14 Center [4] - 45:16, 49:4, 57:2, 63:2 centered [1] - 55:7 cents [1] - 69:18 certain [3] - 29:7, 44:24, 69:5 certainly [2] - 12:4, 56:24 certification [2] - 4:6, 81:15 certify [3] - 81:7. 81:10, 81:14 chain [1] - 35:15 **chair** [1] - 65:17 chance [5] - 15:15, 19:16, 25:22, 30:8, 52:14 change [6] - 27:2, 39:5, 43:8, 52:8, 56:21, 65:20 changing [1] - 38:2 chaos [2] - 62:22, 64:2 characterization [1] -50:5 characterize [1] -57:12 characterized [1] -47.1 charge [2] - 66:22, 66:23 charged [1] - 55:11 check [1] - 77:10 choose [2] - 14:2, 74:18

chose [1] - 34:25

Chris [2] - 13:13, 45:9 **Christopher** [2] - 1:15, 4:21 CHRISTOPHER [1] -4:10 circles [1] - 22:5 circumstances [1] -45:25 Civil [1] - 1:3 claims [1] - 36:14 clarification [2] - 70:8, 79:9 class [1] - 9:20 clear [1] - 80:6 clearinghouse [1] -28:3 client [1] - 16:18 **Cliff** [3] - 7:14, 22:15, 41:21 close [3] - 13:20, 19:8, 24:14 coach [2] - 41:13, 79:22 coaches [3] - 33:8, 33:12, 79:21 coaching [1] - 7:18 coffee [2] - 63:21, 64:7 **COHEN** [1] - 2:8 coming [7] - 10:18, 12:12, 16:4, 33:13, 34:13, 49:19, 64:15 comments [4] - 17:20, 29:25, 30:3, 30:13 Commission [1] -81.22 commissioned [1] -81:6 committee [10] - 8:22, 9:2, 12:18, 13:19, 14:19, 15:3, 35:3, 35:4, 43:18, 75:2 common [2] - 71:4, 78:9 **COMMON** [1] - 1:1 Commonwealth [2] -81:3, 81:7 communicate [1] -70:17 communication [1] -28.12 communications [1] -29:24 community [1] - 57:4 companies [1] - 66:1 compare [2] - 24:12, 25:21 comparing [1] - 25:12 comparison [1] - 25:8

47:20, 49:23, 49:24, 68:8, 73:11 completed [1] - 10:14 computer [1] - 81:12 concern [1] - 30:14 concerned [1] - 47:13 concerning [1] - 81:9 concerns [2] - 24:23, 31:1 concession [1] -48.18 concluded [1] - 80:24 concrete [1] - 39:12 confident [1] - 57:6 confidential [1] -16:21 confirms [2] - 28:7, 28:20 conflict [2] - 60:7, 61:4 confrontational [1] connection [1] - 51:22 cons [3] - 41:19, 42:7, 43:4 consider [2] - 50:3, 53:17 consideration [2] -10:17, 13:18 considered [1] - 35:11 constituent [4] - 59:6, 59:10, 59:16, 59:20 constituted [1] - 33:24 construction [1] contact [3] - 20:22, 22:9, 76:15 contacting [3] - 26:22, 60:14, 60:17 contemplated [1] -15:23 contents [1] - 28:16 contract [4] - 72:15, 72:18, 75:19, 75:24 control [3] - 47:2, 56:19, 81:16 controversy [1] - 81:9 conversation [17] -13:13, 15:6, 18:1, 22:9, 22:14, 28:8, 28:16, 28:20, 33:22, 34:4, 44:23, 47:25, 60:10, 60:21, 75:8, 75:17, 75:22 conversations [5] -15:9, 70:23, 71:7, 71:13, 71:16 convey [1] - 6:10 **convince** [2] - 19:9, 56:13

complaint [6] - 39:18,

either [6] - 15:2,

convolute [1] - 51:16 convoluted [1] - 51:20 Cooper [1] - 39:24 **COOPER** [1] - 1:3 **copy** [2] - 16:6, 81:12 correct [10] - 8:24, 50:22, 59:6, 59:12, 67:7, 67:22, 69:10, 70:7, 75:20, 81:12 cost [2] - 55:9, 55:10 costs [2] - 25:17, 25:19 council [3] - 20:4, 21:9. 23:12 Counsel [2] - 2:6, 2:11 counsel [2] - 4:3, 81:14 counter [1] - 57:24 County [3] - 62:20, 81:1, 81:7 **COUNTY** [1] - 1:1 couple [3] - 35:18, 44:17, 64:6 course [2] - 46:12, 55:23 court [2] - 5:16, 63:5 Court [1] - 1:22 **COURT** [1] - 1:1 courtesy [1] - 28:24 covered [1] - 50:12 Cox [1] - 53:14 COX [1] - 1:10 crawling [1] - 41:22 create [2] - 62:8, 64:2 creating [2] - 62:15, 62:19 credits [1] - 7:2 critical [1] - 61:21 cross [1] - 63:20 culture [3] - 62:11, 62:24, 63:1 Cumberland [9] -4:22, 19:25, 20:1, 21:2. 21:7. 57:3. 58:3. 58:14. 59:12 curious [1] - 25:11 current [1] - 8:12 **curriculum** [1] - 45:18

D

dad [1] - 31:13 damage [1] - 47:1 DATE [1] - 1:17 date [5] - 12:23, 12:24, 39:6, 58:20, 58:21 dated [3] - 21:15, 35:15, 81:17 Dave [2] - 22:1, 64:7 David [6] - 53:14,

54:19, 54:22, 55:1, 55:14, 78:8 **DAVID** [1] - 1:10 Davis [2] - 4:21, 53:15 **DAVIS** [1] - 1:11 days [2] - 21:18, 21:19 deal [2] - 63:13, 63:14 dealing [2] - 11:11, 66.7 debate [2] - 18:10, 33.7 December [1] - 80:14 decided [2] - 54:8, 62:8 deciding [1] - 43:12 decision [3] - 32:1, 32:21, 43:21 decisions [2] - 64:25, 65:2 deep [3] - 25:14, 25:25, 72:6 deer [2] - 19:3, 74:11 defeated [1] - 54:10 defendants [1] - 39:22 **Defendants** [2] - 1:12, 2.11 definitely [2] - 12:3, 44:11 degree [3] - 6:25, 7:6, deliberate [2] - 69:2, 78:19 deliberating [3] - 59:2, 72:13, 73:6 deliberation [22] -41:6, 41:8, 41:18, 42:6, 42:11, 42:16, 42:20, 43:2, 43:12, 44:21, 53:19, 54:16, 54:18, 61:11, 68:18, 68:19, 72:4, 73:23, 74:19, 78:10, 79:16, 79:18 deliberations [2] -41:10, 73:16 deliberative [1] -53:19 demand [1] - 77:1 department [3] - 7:17, 12:10, 12:12 **DEPONENT** [2] - 1:15, deponent/witness [1] - 81·8 deposition [7] - 4:4, 4:24, 6:8, 15:17, 15:19, 51:5, 80:24 depositions [1] -

different [4] - 24:20, 38:3, 59:19, 65:25 difficult [1] - 6:9 difficulty [1] - 45:18 dig [1] - 25:22 dinner [2] - 8:11, 67:10 direct [3] - 44:7, 69:23, 81:16 direction [2] - 51:18, 64:23 directly [1] - 12:7 director [1] - 25:7 **DIRECTORS** [1] - 1:8 discarded [1] - 17:18 disclosed [1] - 70:3 discovery [3] - 4:5, 13:4, 70:7 discuss [10] - 31:15, 41:10, 41:11, 44:22, 69:13, 71:25, 72:5, 72:11, 72:12, 73:8 discussed [8] - 36:4, 36:9, 36:10, 45:11, 45:12, 67:11, 78:24, 80:16 discussing [3] - 51:9, 51:10, 52:15 Discussion [2] -11:13, 50:14 discussion [21] - 15:2, 17:3, 17:7, 31:12, 31:19, 34:12, 40:24, 41:9, 41:14, 41:20, 41:23, 42:1, 45:13, 46:21, 49:16, 64:5, 64:6, 72:4, 78:13, 78:23, 78:25 discussions [6] -41:6, 41:9, 57:9, 72:6, 79:10, 79:11 dispute [1] - 28:14 dissatisfaction [3] -52:16, 52:17, 53:18 dissatisfied [4] - 44:2, 54:3, 54:15, 75:11 distinction [1] - 41:5 distribute [2] - 36:2, 36:4 District [3] - 7:13, 40:4, 59:11 **DISTRICT** [1] - 1:9 district [15] - 24:2. 27:14. 44:14. 45:2. 48:3, 49:1, 58:9, 59:24, 62:9, 64:16,

65:6, 67:6, 75:25,

described [1] - 36:20

determine [1] - 24:8

devil's [1] - 38:9

76:12 district's [2] - 39:17, 75:19 dive [2] - 25:14, 25:25 document [2] - 20:8, 39:16 documents [1] - 40:17 done [7] - 21:25, 39:13, 48:3, 54:7, 64:1, 74:14, 80:23 doubt [1] - 50:7 down [15] - 6:6, 18:21, 24:17, 25:22, 29:21, 32:2, 34:14, 39:12, 43:1, 46:20, 55:10, 67:9, 67:13, 78:2, 80:3 **Dr** [4] - 45:21, 46:5, 47:1, 77:15 draw [2] - 39:20, 41:5 Drive [2] - 1:24, 4:22 due [1] - 56:21 duly [4] - 4:12, 40:5, 81:6, 81:8 during [13] - 13:11, 16:16, 18:22, 19:2, 31:4, 31:6, 31:8, 31:23, 42:12, 51:12, 51:25, 52:2, 69:25 **duty** [2] - 5:18, 5:23

Ε

e-mail [18] - 19:22, 20:17, 21:15, 22:8, 26:7, 27:8, 28:7, 35:13, 35:14, 36:2, 36:4, 36:18, 37:1, 37:11, 38:16, 56:8, 57:14, 79:9 e-mails [3] - 26:11, 36:22, 37:3 East [2] - 1:20, 2:4 economic [2] - 35:5 Economic [1] - 35:6 ed [2] - 7:18, 9:25 **Education** [1] - 45:16 education [11] - 6:25, 8:12, 9:17, 9:19, 10:5, 10:8, 21:4, 24:4, 24:16, 55:15, 57:6 educational [4] - 6:23, 6:24, 23:22, 23:25 eight [1] - 31:14 eighth [2] - 58:21, 58:22 Eisenhower [6] -19:22, 20:18, 21:15, 56:9, 56:12, 61:21

23:21, 24:9, 42:7, 43:15, 44:3 elected [5] - 7:21, 7:23, 8:9, 40:5, 53:6 electing [1] - 34:14 election [1] - 21:20 elections [1] - 21:25 electrician [1] - 66:5 Elliott [5] - 3:3, 4:17, 5:5, 39:24, 39:25 **ELLIOTT** [15] - 1:3, 1:4, 2:3, 4:15, 11:16, 16:22, 20:11, 20:14, 50:11, 50:15, 56:10, 68:6, 77:21, 77:23, 80:21 employee [1] - 81:14 **employees** [1] - 12:6 employment [2] - 7:8, 7:10 encapsulate [1] - 44:6 end [1] - 75:8 endangering [1] -75:18 ended [2] - 32:20, 47:25 engaged [1] - 42:11 engagement [3] -35:24, 36:24, 67:5 entire [3] - 36:2, 36:4, 38.2 equivalency [1] - 7:4 especially [4] - 9:17, 48:4, 79:18, 80:4 **ESQUIRE** [2] - 2:3, 2:8 estate [1] - 23:15 evaluate [1] - 22:23 evening [1] - 17:15 evidence [2] - 40:10, 69:23 exact [4] - 12:23, 12:24, 32:18, 51:21 exactly [5] - 8:19, 10:16, 17:8, 44:9, 52:4 **EXAMINATION** [4] -3:2, 4:14, 50:18, 77:22 example [3] - 72:7, 78:18, 78:22 examples [2] - 45:5, 45:14 except [1] - 4:7 excerpt [1] - 39:16 exchange [2] - 20:17, 26.7 excluded [4] - 34:17, 34:21, 73:16, 73:21

45:14

describe [1] - 49:12

executive [9] - 15:5,

15:9, 16:16, 16:17, 16:20, 17:2, 17:10, 79:22, 80:7 **EXHIBIT** [1] - 3:7 Exhibit [1] - 20:8 **EXHIBITS** [1] - 3:6 exhibits [1] - 3:8 existing [1] - 56:20 expect [3] - 79:15, 79:17, 80:4 expectation [1] -27:22 **experience** [22] - 8:1, 9:4, 10:8, 10:23, 11:6, 21:4, 23:3, 23:20, 23:22, 23:25, 33:11, 33:14, 33:15, 47:18, 55:15, 57:5, 58:3, 66:23, 69:12, 69:21, 72:24, 78:11 experienced [2] -9:16, 10:5 expertise [5] - 10:2, 12:13, 23:9, 23:14, 24:10 **Expiration** [1] - 81:22 explain [1] - 42:12 **explaining** [1] - 53:24 explanation [2] -27:17, 37:17 express [1] - 32:15 expressed [2] - 32:16, 32:17 **expressing** [1] - 30:13 extending [1] - 30:21 **extent** [2] - 11:20, 68:7 extremist [1] - 57:8

F

face [2] - 38:7, 65:9 facilities [1] - 41:23 facing [1] - 27:13 fact [7] - 14:8, 33:19, 34:9, 51:7, 54:20, 56:22, 68:20 fair [4] - 30:12, 57:14, 57:17, 63:16 fall [2] - 7:23, 8:18 familiar [1] - 20:3 **fan** [2] - 34:23, 63:24 **far** [2] - 21:25, 28:3 favor [7] - 27:6, 32:20, 42:10, 43:24, 51:11, 56:13, 57:18 fear [3] - 62:8, 62:15, 62:19 February [2] - 1:17, 46:13

52:20 fence [1] - 41:22 field [1] - 22:15 fifth [4] - 36:6, 36:25, 42:19, 58:23 figure [3] - 48:24, 58:25, 70:11 figured [2] - 22:3, 33:21 file [1] - 63:20 filing [1] - 4:6 fine [7] - 5:9, 5:21, 6:17, 16:10, 18:3, 43:8, 67:1 fingers [1] - 51:24 finishing [1] - 22:16 fired [1] - 62:14 firm [10] - 10:14, 23:8, 24:21, 28:9, 28:21, 55:7, 55:15, 57:22, 58:11 firms [1] - 9:16 first [17] - 8:9, 10:25, 12:17, 13:8, 22:20, 27:8, 27:10, 28:6, 29:20, 29:21, 32:3, 32:4, 35:15, 37:10, 42:18, 52:13, 80:19 five [5] - 32:20, 53:2, 67:3, 67:17, 67:20 fix [1] - 47:8 flag [1] - 31:22 Floor [1] - 2:9 floor [1] - 71:16 follow [4] - 11:24, 50:16, 53:23, 74:21 follow-up [3] - 50:16, 53:23, 74:21 followed [2] - 11:22, 38:24 following [1] - 9:25 **follows** [1] - 4:12 football [3] - 8:5,

33:12

forefront [1] - 63:8

form [2] - 4:7, 68:6

formal [1] - 43:13

formed [1] - 8:23

forth [4] - 21:23,

forum [1] - 32:11

36:15

forward [4] - 13:15,

17:4, 31:3, 43:12

forwarded [2] - 30:4,

forming [1] - 12:18

41:18, 54:9, 56:18

forthright [1] - 28:19

feedback [1] - 74:20

felt [6] - 17:13, 46:6,

49:14, 51:18, 51:22,

foundation [1] - 57:11 four [6] - 18:7, 21:19, 31:11, 36:19, 53:2, 67:21 fourth [1] - 36:7 free [1] - 5:4 freedom [2] - 48:13, 48.21 Friday [10] - 32:5, 36:5, 36:25, 42:18, 42:19, 54:25, 56:1, 58:22, 58:23 friendly [1] - 60:10 friendship [1] - 22:4 front [2] - 20:7, 26:3 functioning [1] -12:19 FURMAN [1] - 2:8 furnace [2] - 78:22, 78:23

G

Garrison [1] - 1:24 gather [1] - 14:22 gathering [1] - 15:6 Gay [1] - 71:3 gay [3] - 49:9, 49:10, 75:22 Gay's [1] - 50:5 **gay's** [1] - 50:8 **gestures** [1] - 6:10 get-go [1] - 46:14 given [3] - 4:23, 16:7, 21:12 goal [2] - 27:10, 27:24 Google [2] - 56:4, 56:5 Googled [4] - 42:17, 55:18, 55:20, 56:4 governance [1] -66:15 government [2] - 8:2, graduate [1] - 7:2 granddaughter [1] -11:12 grata [1] - 35:1 great [1] - 47:10 greater [1] - 72:24 **GREENHALL** [1] - 2:8 ground [1] - 5:2 group [2] - 34:22, 62:4 Group [2] - 75:17, 77:17 Growth [1] - 35:6 growth [1] - 35:7 guards [1] - 11:8 guess [2] - 16:15, 38:6 Guistwhite [9] - 14:10, 14:18, 18:13, 33:21,

39:10, 46:25, 47:12, 65:22, 73:13 **Guistwhite's** [1] - 15:16 **guy** [1] - 20:1 **guys** [1] - 33:21

H hall [7] - 27:18, 32:4,

43:5, 55:1, 57:11,

Hall [27] - 14:5, 14:7,

19:17, 21:22, 24:9,

26:7, 26:22, 32:21,

35:16, 37:11, 37:18,

38:16, 38:25, 42:10,

74:16, 80:17

43:25, 50:21, 54:14, 56:14, 57:18, 59:8, 59:10, 59:15, 67:5, 67:25, 68:16, 73:17, 80:9 Hall's [2] - 22:24, 27:8 handwriting [1] -55:23 hard [1] - 38:12 HARMON [1] - 1:4 Harmon [2] - 2:15, 39:25 Harrisburg [1] - 2:9 head [6] - 6:11, 7:18, 12:11, 23:17, 65:13 heading [1] - 75:9 headlights [2] - 19:4, 74:11 heads [2] - 13:14, 34:15 heads-up [1] - 34:15 hear [8] - 5:24, 6:22, 33:13, 43:20, 44:11, 45:4, 45:17, 49:25 heard [14] - 6:3, 13:9, 17:11, 33:12, 42:14, 43:15, 43:22, 44:4, 44:7, 45:8, 47:20, 52:3, 80:17, 80:20 hearing [5] - 6:21, 19:19, 33:6, 47:24, 56:22 heat [1] - 80:5 HEIDI [1] - 1:9 Heidi [16] - 12:21, 13:5, 35:16, 37:11, 37:14, 50:20, 53:2, 53:5, 53:9, 53:13, 54:4, 73:21, 74:22, 75:18, 77:15, 80:18 hell [1] - 48:25 hence [1] - 56:25

hereby [2] - 4:2, 81:7

hereto [1] - 81:15 hieroglyphics [1] -55:24 High [4] - 7:11, 7:14, 7:15, 39:25 high [1] - 20:20 HIGH [1] - 1:4 Hillside [1] - 22:16 hire [11] - 11:21, 31:21, 32:10, 41:13, 41:15, 41:24, 50:22, 58:16, 65:23, 68:16, hired [5] - 7:12, 67:4, 67:25, 68:2, 80:9 hiring [7] - 11:7, 13:15, 33:8, 39:10, 40:25, 69:16, 77:6 his/her [1] - 81:9 history [2] - 7:9, 7:10 **hmm** [14] - 5:17, 18:25, 24:7, 27:16, 29:1, 33:25, 37:13, 45:24, 48:19, 59:7, 71:9, 72:17, 73:14, 73:18 hold [1] - 44:18 honest [1] - 28:18 hot [1] - 49:19 hours [1] - 64:7 house [1] - 69:14 **HP** [1] - 73:1 Hunter [1] - 1:19 **HUNTER** [1] - 2:3 hurry [1] - 75:6

1

hypothetical [1] -

72:15

idea [1] - 14:16 identifies [1] - 29:23 IEP [2] - 9:23, 10:1 illegitimate [2] - 40:2, 40:11 imagine [3] - 10:14, 37:23, 72:12 implied [1] - 12:4 importance [1] - 24:5 **important** [3] - 10:3, 10:9, 63:13 imposing [1] - 40:3 impression [1] - 16:24 improper [1] - 42:1 IN [1] - 1:1 in-between [1] - 76:20 incidences [1] - 24:15 incident [1] - 45:16 include [4] - 31:11, 34:4, 34:10, 38:22

increments [2] - 25:2, 12:16, 46:21, 51:20 Katherine [1] - 39:25 lawyers [2] - 10:6, М 25.4 involvement [2] -**KATHERINE** [1] - 1:4 25:20 Independence [2] -8:20, 9:1 keep [6] - 34:12, lay [2] - 56:15, 57:11 mail [18] - 19:22, 57:2. 63:2 involves [1] - 41:8 44:15, 56:20, 62:6, laymen [1] - 10:18 20:17, 21:15, 22:8, independent [2] issue [4] - 16:3, 56:19, 79:20 Leader [6] - 8:10, 26:7, 27:8, 28:7, 65:19, 67:24 64:21, 64:22 **KELLY** [1] - 1:10 24:11, 24:13, 24:17, 35:13, 35:14, 36:2, INDEX [2] - 3:1, 3:6 issues [4] - 8:12, Kelly [8] - 22:1, 33:1, 44:3, 59:5 36:4, 36:18, 37:1, 37:11, 38:16, 56:8, indicate [1] - 20:16 27:13, 63:7, 76:11 51:9, 51:10, 52:15, leading [1] - 52:7 indicated [4] - 15:1, issuing [2] - 13:20, 53:14, 54:13, 55:1 leads [1] - 54:16 57:14, 79:9 mails [3] - 26:11, 15:20, 47:13, 78:8 16:5 Kelly's [1] - 53:17 Leah [1] - 39:24 36:22, 37:3 indicates [1] - 28:7 italics [1] - 57:15 Kevin [23] - 14:5, 14:6, **LEAH** [1] - 1:3 indication [1] - 23:20 item [5] - 28:24, 52:13, 21:22, 24:9, 26:22, mainstreamed [1] learned [2] - 18:20, 57:23, 60:12, 60:13 27:8, 32:21, 35:16, individual [3] - 21:3, 76:18 33:1. 57:4 items [11] - 37:15, 37:11, 37:17, 42:10, least [2] - 45:12, 63:17 majority [15] - 30:13, individually [1] - 33:3 50:20, 54:13, 56:14, 34:19, 40:5, 52:25, 37:18, 37:23, 37:24, leave [2] - 62:10, 37:25, 38:10, 38:14, 57:18, 59:8, 59:10, 53:1, 53:3, 53:4, individuals [1] - 62:10 62:21 53:12, 56:24, 56:25, 38:22, 39:4, 63:11, 59:15, 67:4, 67:5, infer [1] - 41:2 legal [7] - 8:24, 9:12, 64:11 67:25, 68:16, 73:16 61:22, 62:25, 67:3, 9:13, 23:14, 43:13, inferred [1] - 53:8 key [1] - 24:16 67:20 inform [2] - 27:2, 54:5, 61:15 J kids [2] - 9:20, 9:21 Mandy [1] - 53:15 60:15 letter [5] - 26:14, **MANDY** [1] - 1:11 Kilby [3] - 1:21, 81:6, information [13] -26:17, 35:24, 36:24, James [1] - 7:11 Manheim [1] - 67:9 81:20 67:5 19:21, 21:10, 21:11, **JAN** [1] - 1:4 kind [15] - 5:1, 6:11, manner [1] - 30:14 25:9, 36:11, 39:23, level [1] - 24:10 Jan [1] - 39:25 8:11, 14:24, 18:20, March [2] - 46:14, library [4] - 19:23, 60:15, 65:1, 65:2, JANE [1] - 1:5 21:7, 25:13, 27:9, 21:9, 23:12, 65:4 81:17 76:23, 76:24, 79:2 Jane [1] - 40:1 31:11, 47:8, 65:13, marked [4] - 3:8, 26:3, informed [4] - 28:8, life [1] - 71:19 January [9] - 8:22, 73:2, 78:15, 79:15, 29:15, 39:15 28:10, 28:20, 62:6 limited [2] - 21:3, 57:5 16:7, 44:10, 46:15, 80:15 Market [2] - 1:20, 2:4 initial [1] - 21:14 list [2] - 25:24, 27:13 46:18, 46:19, 66:18, input [1] - 12:7 kitchen [1] - 71:17 Markey [1] - 1:19 listed [1] - 30:3 78:2, 80:11 knowing [2] - 19:10, instruct [1] - 46:5 **MARKEY** [1] - 2:3 listen [1] - 56:22 **JARRETT** [1] - 1:5 48:21 marking [1] - 29:22 intended [2] - 51:23, listens [1] - 56:23 Jarrett [1] - 40:1 knowledge [4] - 24:6, master's [1] - 7:4 68:7 literally [1] - 10:11 jbonn@ 59:12, 80:1, 81:9 intent [3] - 34:2, 34:5, Matt [3] - 71:1, 71:2 litigation [1] - 24:15 cohenseglias.com known [2] - 20:19, 34:11 litigious [1] - 9:24 matter [5] - 4:18, [1] - 2:10 20:20 intention [1] - 45:22 lives [2] - 58:12, 62:23 22:11, 22:12, 22:13, jeez [1] - 71:14 knows [2] - 22:1 39:17 intentional [1] - 34:1 **LLP** [2] - 1:19, 2:3 **JEFF** [1] - 1:4 matters [3] - 27:15, interaction [1] - 28:17 local [2] - 8:4, 8:5 **Jeff** [2] - 2:15, 39:25 interactions [1] - 20:5 L 72:1, 81:9 **log** [2] - 69:24, 70:22 Jesse [1] - 40:1 mayor [1] - 22:17 interest [3] - 45:1, look [8] - 8:23, 11:21, labeled [1] - 20:8 **JESSE** [1] - 1:5 McClure [1] - 73:1 60:7, 61:4 30:25, 35:20, 36:12, Lancaster [2] - 81:1, jnelliottesq@ mean [11] - 11:2, interesting [1] - 42:22 37:2, 38:9, 60:8 81:7 comcast.net [1] - 2:5 11:25, 12:9, 26:25, interests [3] - 44:6, looked [2] - 23:6, Land [1] - 7:14 job [5] - 21:5, 29:11, 36:24, 37:22, 37:25, 52:22, 58:7 38:16 last [6] - 8:17, 9:6, 44:13, 57:7, 65:5 46:20, 60:9, 67:24, interview [10] - 11:25, looking [12] - 10:21, 36:2, 36:5, 36:9, **John** [3] - 4:16, 4:21, 72.5 13:24, 32:25, 54:23, 10:22. 10:23. 16:8. 79:21 39:24 74:11, 74:12, 74:13, means [5] - 5:14, 6:8, 21:12, 27:8, 30:22, **laundry** [1] - 51:8 **JOHN** [2] - 1:4, 2:3 40:2, 72:19, 81:15 75:3, 79:19, 80:3 31:18, 33:16, 40:21, law [24] - 8:7, 8:12, joined [1] - 50:1 meet [1] - 79:24 interviewed [5] -64:3, 65:3 8:14, 9:16, 10:6, JOSHUA [1] - 2:8 meeting [69] - 15:10, 13:17, 14:1, 22:25, looks [4] - 21:14, 10:8, 10:14, 21:4, jotted [1] - 78:1 16:3, 17:9, 18:23, 33:5, 80:2 37:10, 38:20, 55:23 23:6, 23:7, 23:8, Judge [1] - 29:4 19:2, 19:15, 26:19, interviewing [1] lose [2] - 46:23, 47:10 23:10, 33:2, 55:6, Judy [1] - 29:4 28:10, 28:13, 29:6, 12.11 losing [2] - 47:13, 55:16, 57:6, 60:1, interviews [2] - 11:1, jump [1] - 49:16 29:10, 29:16, 29:25, 62:9 60:3, 60:25, 61:10, 30:2, 30:9, 31:4, 33:10 June [1] - 81:22 loud [2] - 32:15, 32:16 65:8, 65:19, 67:12, 31:5, 31:6, 31:7, intimate [2] - 14:17, love [1] - 80:2 68:4 31:8, 32:5, 32:16, 60.22 K loyal [1] - 35:11 Law [3] - 1:3, 57:2, 36:5, 36:6, 36:20, inundated [1] - 25:16 loyalty [1] - 58:13 63:2 Kambic [4] - 1:15. 37:16, 37:19, 39:11, invoices [1] - 25:8 lumbar [1] - 65:15 lawsuit [2] - 40:2, 40:19, 40:23, 41:11, 4:16, 4:21, 13:5 involve [1] - 67:25 lunch [1] - 32:8 40:11 42:11, 42:12, 44:1, KAMBIC [1] - 4:10 involved [4] - 12:11,

44:19, 45:19, 45:21, 45:23, 46:17, 46:19, 48:19, 49:4, 49:7, 49:10, 50:1, 50:6, 50:9, 51:5, 51:12, 52:1, 52:2, 52:5, 52:20, 67:16, 67:18, 68:1, 68:2, 68:4, 74:8, 75:13, 76:3, 76:7, 76:25, 77:12, 78:2, 78:10, 79:25, 80:8, 80:14 meetings [5] - 5:6, 9:23, 29:12, 44:18, 65.17 member [12] - 30:24, 44:2, 48:5, 48:8, 48:15, 48:22, 59:1, 59:2, 62:13, 72:22, 77:4, 77:5 members [27] - 32:20, 36:16, 40:5, 40:22, 42:10, 43:24, 45:15, 46:4, 46:20, 51:2, 53:2, 53:13, 61:22, 62:11, 62:17, 62:25, 67:3, 67:10, 67:20, 70:24, 71:7, 72:2, 73:12, 75:10, 76:6, 76:10 memory [3] - 14:7, 20:2, 78:3 mention [1] - 46:10 mentioned [3] - 18:22, 20:7, 46:4 Mercersburg [1] -7:12 met [2] - 19:18, 67:3 middle [1] - 5:11 might [8] - 31:2, 32:17, 44:10, 46:10, 66:9, 78:20, 79:8, 79:9 mileage [1] - 24:24 Millersville [1] - 7:1 mind [3] - 23:16, 31:22, 65:9 minds [4] - 41:11, 61:16, 67:16, 67:18 minor [1] - 78:12 minority [3] - 56:19, 56:24, 73:11 minute [1] - 25:4 minutes [5] - 15:13, 18:8, 29:15, 30:4, 38:21 missing [1] - 16:9 mistrust [1] - 62:8 moderate [1] - 57:9 moment [2] - 20:15,

35:20 moments [1] - 32:18 Monday [2] - 21:16, 58:21 money [5] - 9:15, 10:4. 25:17, 25:19, 66:8 Monty [1] - 18:9 morning [2] - 51:8, 78:7 most [7] - 9:16, 19:21, 27:13, 63:12, 66:20, 71:15 motion [2] - 38:24, 54:9 move [1] - 54:13 moving [10] - 13:15, 14:21, 14:23, 15:11, 16:25, 17:4, 18:3, 31:3, 54:25, 56:8 Moyer [1] - 30:23 MR [20] - 4:15, 11:16, 16:20, 16:22, 20:10, 20:11, 20:14, 50:11, 50:15, 50:19, 56:10, 56:11, 68:6, 68:9, 68:11, 77:20, 77:21, 77:23, 80:21, 80:23 municipal [2] - 8:1, 8:3 must [2] - 54:7, 74:14

Ν

nail [1] - 18:21

name [9] - 4:16, 4:19, 19:20, 20:3, 21:12, 21:22, 23:7, 80:17, 80:18 names [2] - 14:7, 71:4 **natatorium** [2] - 77:9, 77:13 necessarily [1] - 20:11 necessary [1] - 28:13 neck [2] - 65:11, 65:16 need [5] - 6:8, 6:16, 7:19, 63:8 needed [2] - 55:8 needs [1] - 9:22 negative [1] - 75:6 never [22] - 7:5, 10:13, 11:4. 11:5. 19:18. 20:5, 34:7, 35:23, 42:14, 43:16, 43:22, 45:7, 45:11, 45:12, 47:19, 47:20, 48:13, 59:25, 61:14, 71:22, 80:7, 80:17 new [15] - 11:21, 21:1, 26:24, 27:5, 38:8, 39:17, 41:13, 50:22,

53:2, 53:5, 56:25, 62:11, 62:17, 78:23, New [9] - 4:22, 19:25, 20:1, 21:2, 21:7, 57:3, 58:3, 58:14, 59:12 Newcomer [1] - 22:18 news [1] - 17:12 next [12] - 13:16, 16:3, 18:18, 26:2, 26:10, 27:23, 28:5, 29:14, 39:14, 54:12, 56:1, 61.5 night [3] - 36:2, 36:5, 36:9 nine [1] - 67:9 nobody [2] - 67:1, 69:14 non [1] - 34:25 nonaction [1] - 40:22 North [1] - 2:9 nose [1] - 11:11 Notary [3] - 1:22, 81:6, 81:21 notes [10] - 25:23, 50:12, 51:13, 54:22, 55:22, 77:24, 77:25, 78:1, 78:5, 79:6 nothing [10] - 8:3, 33:20, 38:13, 39:6, 39:9, 39:11, 59:14, 59:19, 70:19, 80:22 nowhere [1] - 43:19 number [2] - 18:23, 46:4

0

oath [1] - 5:14 **objection** [1] - 68:6 objections [1] - 4:7 obviously [1] - 5:13 occurred [4] - 17:2, 17:16, 40:18, 49:4 occurring [1] - 41:6 October [1] - 70:13 **OF** [4] - 1:1, 1:8, 1:9 offering [1] - 59:18 old [3] - 11:12, 25:22, 25:23 one [45] - 5:5, 8:17, 9:15, 10:2, 10:13, 10:14, 10:25, 11:23, 15:13, 16:7, 17:20, 18:8, 23:23, 24:22, 24:23, 25:2, 31:19, 35:15, 37:6, 38:3, 39:10, 39:16, 41:10, 42:23, 43:21, 45:8,

45:11, 45:14, 46:3, 48:12, 58:2, 58:15, 59:23, 62:7, 62:17, 62:18, 65:23, 66:3, 67:17, 68:21, 70:25, 75:10, 80:2 one-on-one [1] -59:23 one-page [1] - 39:16 ones [4] - 14:19, 58:9, 62:15, 66:1 oOo[1] - 1:13 open [2] - 41:21, 43:9 opinion [9] - 30:21, 32:19, 32:23, 69:7, 69:8, 69:9, 69:11, 69:20, 70:2 opportunity [1] -30:10 oppose [1] - 63:16 opposed [2] - 24:22, 41:20 order [2] - 20:10, 20:12 original [2] - 16:6, 58:21 originally [2] - 15:22, 70:16 otherwise [1] - 6:2 outside [6] - 31:24, 40:15, 41:1, 64:23, 65:1, 68:1 owe [1] - 58:13 own [4] - 21:13, 22:22, 43:21, 63:3

Ρ

p.m [2] - 1:18, 80:24 PA[4] - 1:24, 2:4, 2:9, 35.6 PAC [6] - 34:23, 35:2, 35:5, 35:6, 35:7 packed [1] - 69:14 Page [1] - 29:18 page [6] - 27:7, 28:6, 29:20, 29:21, 37:10, 39:16 PAGE [2] - 3:2, 3:7 pages [4] - 10:12, 35:18, 66:3, 66:5 PALLAS [1] - 2:8 paper [1] - 19:3 papers [1] - 64:19 paperwork [3] - 7:5, 27:15, 35:8 parent [7] - 45:17, 46:22, 49:5, 49:20, 49:21, 49:22, 77:12 parents [6] - 9:23,

47:15, 76:10, 76:11, 76:14, 77:8 Park [1] - 22:16 part [5] - 42:16, 43:14, 55:9, 55:10, 66:12 participated [1] -45:20 participating [1] -31:20 particular [3] - 26:15, 42:14, 53:23 parties [2] - 4:3, 81:14 partner [2] - 46:24, 47:11 party [1] - 28:2 pass [2] - 76:22, 76:23 paying [2] - 24:24, 63:6 PC [1] - 2:8 penalties [1] - 5:15 Pennsylvania [5] -1:20, 4:22, 7:12, 81:3, 81:7 PENNSYLVANIA [1] -1:1 people [26] - 9:3, 10:19, 15:9, 18:6, 24:21, 24:24, 30:20, 30:22, 31:11, 34:9, 35:9, 35:10, 41:22, 42:2, 42:20, 43:8, 51:19. 53:5. 55:8. 57:24. 58:8. 62:21. 62:22, 65:1, 69:12, 69:17 perfectly [1] - 5:21 performance [1] -75:12 period [2] - 46:12, 70:15 perjury [1] - 5:15 person [17] - 14:2, 19:12, 27:4, 31:21, 33:14, 40:15, 40:20, 41:25, 42:7, 43:22, 49:16, 58:15, 64:22, 67:17, 76:15, 79:24 persona [1] - 34:25 personal [2] - 77:25, 78:1 personally [2] - 23:1, 60:23 personnel [3] - 77:7, 78:18, 78:19 phone [15] - 5:7, 12:20, 12:24, 13:7, 14:14, 32:12, 38:15, 54:23, 56:1, 71:5, 74:3, 74:4, 74:8,

74:23, 74:25

phones [1] - 63:14 phrasing [1] - 51:21 pick [5] - 13:17, 19:11, 43:22, 60:13, 60:22 picked [2] - 13:21, 14:25 picking [2] - 66:3, 66:4 pike [1] - 34:14 pile [1] - 26:3 Pittsburgh [8] - 23:8, 24:22, 54:21, 55:2, 55:4, 55:7, 57:22, 58:11 PLACE [1] - 1:19 place [4] - 32:9, 32:11, 40:25, 78:9 placed [1] - 5:13 places [2] - 48:23, 65:16 plain [1] - 47:22 Plaintiffs [5] - 1:6, 1:16, 2:6, 4:11, 39:23 plaintiffs [3] - 4:17, 40:10, 70:7 play [1] - 38:9 PLEAS [1] - 1:1 **plus** [1] - 7:1 point [17] - 16:12, 17:17, 17:24, 18:4, 20:4, 23:18, 25:2, 25:9, 38:5, 41:17, 54:9, 57:23, 62:7, 68:18, 80:4, 80:12 point-by-point [1] -57:23 pointed [3] - 44:13, 45:15, 48:17 pointing [1] - 58:7 points [2] - 56:16, 57:20 policies [2] - 63:11, 77.7 policy [3] - 43:18, 52:10, 54:8 Policy [5] - 38:22, 43:15, 43:17, 52:12, 54:11 political [9] - 35:3, 35:4, 40:3, 63:17, 63:19, 64:11, 64:14, 64:15, 64:17 Polk [1] - 49:19 polls [1] - 35:7 popular [1] - 47:14 portion [2] - 52:7, 52:9 portrayed [1] - 50:8 position [5] - 58:14, 59:18, 76:13, 76:22,

77:3 possibility [2] - 8:23, possible [1] - 27:11 post [1] - 7:2 pot [1] - 51:24 potential [2] - 59:23, 60:18 practice [1] - 61:19 practices [2] - 61:2, 66:18 preconceived [1] -65:3 prefaced [1] - 56:16 preparation [1] - 51:5 preparing [1] - 27:14 presence [2] - 28:13, 48:5 present [2] - 76:2, 76:4 PRESENT [1] - 2:14 President [1] - 28:11 pressing [1] - 27:13 presume [1] - 6:3 pretty [4] - 14:8, 23:10, 50:12, 55:21 prevent [3] - 59:21, 59:22, 60:14 preventing [1] - 59:14 previous [2] - 45:13, 80:14 principal [4] - 11:3, 12:14, 62:12, 77:1 principals [2] - 11:1, 62:18 printing [1] - 33:15 printout [1] - 81:12 privately [1] - 67:4 privilege [1] - 16:19 **privy** [2] - 15:18, 64:25 pro [1] - 63:5 problem [4] - 31:2, 43:7, 47:20, 64:13 problematic [1] -68:22 problems [1] - 65:15 process [21] - 11:22, 12:8, 13:19, 14:11, 14:17, 15:21, 16:13, 17:3, 34:18, 39:7, 39:10, 43:9, 51:11, 58:5, 58:18, 63:25, 65:23, 66:12, 66:14, 74:10 professional [2] -50:4, 50:5

program [6] - 9:25,

progress [1] - 14:21

73:2, 73:3

42:8, 47:14, 47:21,

projects [1] - 69:18 promises [1] - 60:22 proof [5] - 67:19, 67:23, 68:23, 68:25, 69.1 proper [2] - 68:13, 76:15 proposal [1] - 8:24 proposed [1] - 21:2 pros [3] - 41:19, 42:7, prospective [1] -32:10 **prove** [1] - 34:2 provide [2] - 27:12, 28:1 provided [1] - 40:9 PSBA[1] - 8:8 **public** [11] - 45:22, 48:24, 51:12, 52:1, 52:2, 52:13, 66:7, 68:1, 68:2, 77:16, 8:08 Public [3] - 1:22, 81:6, 81:21 **pull** [1] - 13:2 purpose [2] - 9:10, 40:12 pursuing [1] - 51:11 **purview** [1] - 60:19 put [7] - 7:5, 21:22, 54:9, 66:8, 69:17, 70:20, 78:23 putting [2] - 71:16, 71:17 Python [1] - 18:9

Q

qualifications [2] -22:24, 43:5 qualified [2] - 62:9, 81:6 questions [28] - 5:3, 5:23, 6:19, 10:24, 11:2, 11:3, 11:8, 13:9, 18:12, 18:14, 18:24, 19:2, 19:7, 19:8, 56:6, 60:18, 73:25, 74:2, 74:4, 74:6, 74:7, 74:9, 74:13, 74:19, 74:23, 74:24, 77:8, 81:10 quick [2] - 50:2, 77:21 quickly [1] - 49:13 quiet [1] - 34:13 quote [4] - 19:3, 44:7, 73:11

R rails [3] - 47:9, 49:13, 50:2 raise [2] - 31:22, 48:25 ran [2] - 34:22, 34:24 rang [1] - 21:7 rather [1] - 63:14 RE [1] - 77:22 re [1] - 53:6 re-elected [1] - 53:6 **RE-EXAMINATION** [1] - 77.22 reach [2] - 27:2, 34:5 reaching [1] - 20:2 reaction [2] - 19:4, 40:22 read [6] - 15:16, 27:9, 30:8, 30:10, 79:5, 79:6 reading [3] - 4:5, 27:23, 55:23 ready [2] - 16:2 real [3] - 23:15, 50:2, 77:21 really [3] - 18:17, 24:12, 60:20 Ream [1] - 1:19 **REAM** [1] - 2:3 reason [11] - 17:17, 29:5, 42:15, 42:16, 50:7, 51:10, 66:13, 69:13, 69:15, 69:16, 80.5 reasonable [2] -40:16. 40:20 reasonably [1] - 41:2 reasoning [3] - 33:7, 66:18. 66:25 reasons [4] - 31:20, 41:16, 42:12, 68:21 receive [1] - 21:11 received [4] - 12:20, 13:5, 59:4, 79:1 receiving [2] - 36:10, 36:18 recently [1] - 55:13 recollection [3] - 5:19, 8:13, 13:12 record [7] - 4:20, 11:13, 12:24, 13:7, 50:14, 53:11, 81:12 recorded [1] - 81:11 records [5] - 14:14, 36:12, 63:4, 70:4, 71:6

reduced [1] - 81:11 refer [2] - 51:13, 53:12 referring [5] - 35:3, 52:23, 53:13, 77:24, 79:21 refresh [1] - 78:3 regard [1] - 45:15 regarding [6] - 50:20, 55:14, 73:16, 75:16, 76:11, 77:17 regardless [1] - 40:4 registered [1] - 34:20 related [2] - 71:13, 71:14 relating [1] - 8:6 relationship [1] - 62:2 relative [1] - 81:14 remember [22] - 5:22, 8:17, 8:19, 13:22, 13:25, 16:7, 24:20, 26:12, 36:13, 36:18, 37:5, 37:6, 37:8, 44:9, 46:13, 51:21, 52:19, 55:17, 55:18, 60:4, 70:13, 70:25 reminder [1] - 17:20 repeat [1] - 6:1 rephrase [2] - 6:1, 68:10 rephrased [1] - 27:9 replace [1] - 54:1 replaced [3] - 29:8, 29:10, 30:15 **Reporter** [3] - 1:22, 81:6, 81:20 **REPORTER** [1] - 1:21 represent [4] - 26:6, 44:13, 49:1, 52:21 represented [3] - 44:6, 44:12, 45:3 representing [1] -4:17 reproduction [1] -81:15 Republican [4] - 22:4, 34:20, 35:12, 53:4 request [2] - 8:23, 27:18 requests [1] - 25:17 require [1] - 65:19 required [2] - 8:9, 66:16 research [1] - 58:24 reserved [1] - 4:8 resident [4] - 21:2, 22:18, 57:3, 59:11 respect [1] - 79:13 respective [1] - 4:3 response [2] - 28:7, 39:18

Red [1] - 7:14

red [1] - 31:22

redact [1] - 70:21

redacted [1] - 70:20

responses [1] - 13:4 rest [1] - 57:22 restaurant [1] - 75:9 result [1] - 45:20 results [2] - 25:12, 62.9 resume [1] - 33:17 retired [3] - 7:15, 7:24, review [3] - 20:15, 25:7, 50:12 **reviewing** [1] - 71:5 **RFP** [29] - 9:7, 9:10, 10:11, 10:17, 11:15, 11:24, 12:18, 13:20, 14:11, 15:3, 15:21, 16:5, 16:13, 17:3, 17:18, 23:24, 43:13, 43:16, 43:17, 51:11, 51:23, 58:5, 65:20, 65:24, 66:12, 66:14, 66:21, 80:12 RFPs [1] - 16:9 right-to [1] - 25:16 right-to-know [2] -8:7, 25:12 ringing [1] - 5:10 role [1] - 25:6 room [2] - 67:8, 67:15 rules [1] - 5:2 run [1] - 58:9 **runs** [1] - 65:6 rush [3] - 17:21, 54:4, 54.6 Ryan [3] - 71:3, 71:4

S

sanders [1] - 77:13 sat [2] - 67:13, 71:22 satisfy [2] - 77:2, 79:3 saw [5] - 17:22, 26:12, 26:14, 26:18, 35:8 Say's [2] - 28:6, 75:11 Sayre [1] - 40:1 **SAYRE** [1] - 1:5 schedule [1] - 15:22 School [6] - 7:11, 7:13, 7:14, 7:15, 40:4, 59:11 SCHOOL [2] - 1:8, 1:9 school [23] - 7:22, 12:6, 20:20, 23:21, 24:1, 25:6, 27:14, 31:13, 31:15, 49:1, 59:23, 63:18, 65:19, 67:11, 70:23, 71:13, 71:14, 71:21, 72:1, 75:19, 75:24, 77:3,

see [18] - 14:15, 18:5, 21:13, 23:11, 25:11, 25:23, 26:17, 28:3, 30:6, 36:3, 37:15, 37:23, 51:15, 63:14, 64:9, 65:16, 69:12, 72:7 seeing [2] - 21:25, 38:11 seek [1] - 15:7 seem [1] - 69:5 **SEGLIAS** [1] - 2:8 send [1] - 79:9 sends [1] - 79:7 sentence [1] - 27:10 sentiment [1] - 46:8 separate [2] - 10:6, 18:19 service [1] - 61:7 services [5] - 8:24, 9:12, 9:13, 43:13, 57:5 serving [2] - 8:1, 23:20 session [9] - 15:5, 15:9, 16:16, 16:17, 16:21, 17:2, 17:10, 79:23, 80:7 set [1] - 61:3 setting [1] - 60:24 settle [1] - 19:12 several [2] - 30:24, 45:15 shakes [1] - 6:11 share [1] - 31:1 shared [4] - 26:16, 30:1, 30:6, 30:7 sheet [1] - 36:11 Sheri [1] - 30:23 shock [1] - 14:24 shocked [1] - 14:8 **shop** [1] - 9:20 **SHORE** [1] - 1:9 Shore [4] - 7:13, 40:4, 41:21, 59:11 shortchanging [1] **show** [2] - 29:10, 57:7 showing [1] - 27:1

science [1] - 6:25

search [3] - 21:13,

second [3] - 27:7,

secretary [2] - 70:18,

section [1] - 72:18

security [2] - 11:7,

sealing [1] - 4:5

22:22, 36:21

44:18, 50:11

71:1

shows [2] - 34:11, 69:24 shuts [1] - 43:1 side [2] - 76:19 sides [1] - 76:17 **sign** [1] - 64:19 signed [1] - 67:4 signing [1] - 4:5 silence [1] - 54:7 similar [1] - 36:19 simple [3] - 47:23, 47:25. 78:14 simpler [2] - 69:18 **simply** [1] - 5:18 single [1] - 21:11 sit [5] - 11:1, 25:22, 33:10, 71:22, 80:3 sit-down [1] - 80:3 sit-in [1] - 33:10 sittina [4] - 31:18. 33:6, 35:8, 68:20 situation [4] - 25:15, 46:1, 46:9, 47:9 **situations** [1] - 48:22 **six** [1] - 25:4 **six-minute** [1] - 25:4 smooth [2] - 27:11, 27:25 so-and-so [1] - 41:15 solicitor [38] - 8:16, 10:1, 11:21, 12:7, 13:15, 17:16, 21:1, 21:23, 23:4, 23:21, 24:1, 24:5, 26:25, 27:3, 27:5, 27:24, 28:5, 29:13, 30:14, 38:8, 39:6, 39:8, 43:22, 44:15, 50:22, 52:8, 54:2, 54:14, 56:18, 56:20, 56:22, 57:1, 57:19, 75:13, 79:14, 80:9, 80:13 solicitor's [1] - 44:13 **solicitors** [3] - 38:2, 38:23, 65:20 someone [6] - 43:15, 46:10, 56:2, 58:13, 61:16, 75:7 sometime [1] - 31:23 sometimes [1] - 79:8 somewhere [1] -65:14 son [2] - 71:2, 71:3 sorry [10] - 5:8, 5:12, 11:11, 23:16, 29:3, 36:13, 37:5, 59:9, 65:15, 71:11 sort [2] - 25:8, 39:1 **sound** [2] - 20:3, 31:10

sounded [1] - 15:11 sounds [2] - 57:10, 66:21 sources [1] - 64:23 special [8] - 9:17, 9:22, 9:24, 9:25, 10:5, 24:4, 24:16 specialization [1] -23:6 specialized [1] - 10:7 specific [3] - 45:5, 53:9, 60:25 specifically [6] -10:24, 18:21, 37:6, 37:8, 39:21, 76:17 speculation [2] -68:24, 69:10 speech [2] - 48:13, 48:21 spend [1] - 66:9 spent [1] - 7:13 sports [2] - 7:19, 63:11 **SS**[1] - 81:2 Stadium [1] - 41:21 staff [1] - 78:17 stakeholders [1] -12:16 stalled [1] - 74:10 stand [2] - 42:25, 57:7 started [4] - 5:3, 6:20, 62:11, 62:24 starting [1] - 29:18 starts [1] - 58:4 state [1] - 4:19 statement [7] - 40:7, 45:22, 46:6, 51:25, 54:15, 54:17, 77:17 statements [2] -53:17, 61:20 stating [1] - 64:19 steer [1] - 42:4 stenographer [2] -6:6, 55:22 stenotype [1] - 81:11 Stephen [1] - 39:24 **STEPHEN** [1] - 1:3 still [8] - 13:18, 19:12, 36:3, 48:20, 48:24, 53:3, 53:5, 64:13 stipulated [1] - 4:2 STIPULATION [1] -4:1 stipulations [1] -10:15 stir [1] - 63:4 Stock [6] - 8:10,

46:5, 77:16 Stoltz's [1] - 47:1 stonewalling [1] -31:25 stop [3] - 44:24. 46:11, 59:19 stopping [1] - 60:17 stops [1] - 61:14 story [1] - 76:18 Street [3] - 1:20, 2:4, strengths [1] - 33:11 stuck [1] - 23:17 study [1] - 25:14 stuff [12] - 8:5, 14:8, 16:9, 23:10, 24:25, 25:23, 48:18, 48:20, 62:5, 63:14, 66:24, 71:24 subject [1] - 5:14 subsequent [1] -16:12 subsequently [3] -18:20, 19:24, 81:11 sufficient [1] - 22:23 suggested [1] - 30:17 **suggestion** [1] - 38:4 summarize [2] -57:17, 68:7 Sunshine [26] - 8:7, 8:14, 30:19, 31:9, 31:24, 33:2, 33:24, 39:18, 40:16, 41:4, 41:7, 59:22, 60:1, 60:25, 61:6, 61:9, 61:10, 65:7, 65:18, 66:16, 67:6, 67:12, 68:4, 68:17, 69:6, 75:14 **sunshine** [1] - 60:3 superintendent [1] supervision [2] -81:12, 81:16 support [4] - 57:8, 57:11, 64:4, 66:14 supportive [1] - 58:2 supposed [3] - 36:15, 46:20, 63:19 surprise [1] - 80:15 suspend [3] - 43:16, 43:17, 54:11 suspending [1] -52:10 suspension [3] -38:22, 39:5, 52:11 sworn [2] - 4:12, 63:21 sworn/affirmed [1] -81:8

24:10, 24:13, 24:17,

44:3, 59:4

Stoltz [3] - 45:21,

Т table [1] - 54:9 tackling [1] - 63:7 **TAKEN** [1] - 1:16 talks [1] - 27:10 tangents [1] - 12:10 **Tara** [1] - 39:25 **TARA** [1] - 1:4 taught [1] - 9:18 teacher [5] - 7:16, 7:17, 7:18, 9:19, 48:7 teachers [1] - 12:12 teaching [2] - 48:12, 76:18 tech [1] - 7:18 technology [1] - 9:19 telephone [4] - 13:5, 24:25. 70:4. 71:8 term [2] - 68:13, 73:1 testified [3] - 4:12, 74:22, 77:15 testify [1] - 81:8 testifying [1] - 68:15 testimony [6] - 4:11, 50:21, 69:6, 75:18, 76:5, 76:9 Thad [3] - 19:22, 56:9, 61:21 Thaddeus [2] - 20:17, 20:19 THE [5] - 1:1, 1:9, 11:14, 20:13, 68:10 themselves [1] - 34:3 thinking [1] - 16:15 thinks [1] - 38:7 third [1] - 28:2 Third [1] - 2:9 **THOMAS** [1] - 1:9 Thomas [13] - 12:21, 13:6, 13:11, 28:11, 35:16, 37:12, 43:3, 45:20, 49:5, 50:1, 53:2, 53:13, 77:15 three [10] - 7:10, 11:12, 21:18, 21:19, 60:12, 60:14, 60:18, 65:25, 66:1, 76:17 three-year-old [1] -11:12 Thursday [2] - 13:16, 34:14 Tierney [5] - 14:17, 18:13, 33:20, 73:13, 74:12 **TIME** [1] - 1:18 tired [1] - 56:17

today [3] - 51:6, 52:6, 78.8 **Todd** [1] - 36:10 together [3] - 67:10, 71:18, 80:16 took [6] - 33:3, 33:4, 55:21, 68:16, 77:25, 78.4 totally [1] - 17:25 tough [2] - 25:13, 34:2 towards [2] - 34:8, 34:9 Township [1] - 67:9 training [5] - 8:6, 8:9, 41:3, 41:7, 59:4 transcript [3] - 6:7, 6:10, 15:16 transition [4] - 27:11, 27:14, 27:19, 27:25 transportation [3] -10:11, 10:13, 65:25 treated [1] - 48:4 trial [1] - 4:8 tried [1] - 58:15 tries [1] - 44:15 Trone [4] - 18:13, 32:3, 33:21, 73:13 true [2] - 71:6, 81:12 trust [2] - 58:5, 58:18 truth [5] - 5:18, 5:22, 76:20, 77:3, 81:8 truthfulness [1] - 50:8 try [4] - 6:1, 47:2, 47:5, 58.8 trying [19] - 9:14, 13:24, 17:6, 18:19, 24:19, 44:9, 47:8, 52:3. 56:13. 56:15. 57:10, 58:24, 60:4, 60:8, 61:25, 65:12, 70:11, 72:6, 72:9 Tucker [5] - 23:7, 24:9, 24:18, 54:20, 55.14 tuned [1] - 16:10 turn [3] - 5:7, 35:13, 65:17 turned [3] - 6:22, 70:6, 70:19 two [9] - 7:18, 11:1, 32:5, 38:3, 43:18, 65:16, 66:1, 69:18, 74:16 type [1] - 51:24 typically [2] - 25:4, 79:1

U

umm [1] - 17:6

under [5] - 5:14, 16:24, 38:16, 81:12, understood [5] - 6:3, 18:22, 59:3, 61:12, 68.9 **University** [1] - 7:1 unless [2] - 29:9, 81:15 unrelated [1] - 70:23 **up** [45] - 6:22, 8:13, 8:14, 8:15, 8:21, 13:14, 14:15, 16:4, 16:11, 21:12, 22:15, 22:16, 23:6, 25:22, 27:1, 29:10, 32:9, 32:20, 33:15, 34:15, 38:17, 41:20, 42:3, 45:9, 46:23, 47:25, 50:16, 52:7, 53:23, 55:2, 55:3, 55:6, 57:7, 60:24, 61:3, 62:21, 63:5, 63:6, 65:22, 67:17, 69:15, 71:19, 74:21, 78:22, 80:10 upcoming [3] - 28:9, 37:16, 38:11 upstanding [2] - 21:3, 57:4 usual [1] - 23:10 V

value [3] - 9:15, 10:4, 11.6 vendor [6] - 59:23, 59:25, 60:9, 61:6, 75:19, 75:23 vendors [3] - 60:6, 60:12, 60:18 verbal [1] - 6:9 versus [1] - 41:6 vetted [1] - 58:16 video [7] - 17:19, 17:23, 22:2, 52:6, 55:12, 78:5, 78:6 view [1] - 41:17 violate [5] - 61:6, 61:8, 63:25, 67:6, 75:14 violated [6] - 40:17, 67:11, 68:4, 68:16, 68:19, 69:6 violating [1] - 33:2 violation [2] - 30:18, 33:24 **VIVIER** [1] - 1:5 Vivier [1] - 40:1 vote [16] - 17:16,

22:24, 31:17, 41:9,

51:2, 52:8, 54:8, 56:1, 56:13, 60:16, 61:8, 61:17, 67:21, 68:15, 73:7, 79:12

voted [5] - 40:6, 42:10, 43:16, 54:10
votes [4] - 73:9, 78:9, 78:13, 79:2
voting [6] - 32:20, 40:25, 42:13, 72:8, 79:4, 79:13
VS [1] - 1:7

W

waiting [1] - 49:15

walking [2] - 42:2,

wants [2] - 56:21,

war [1] - 62:11

waived [1] - 4:6

75:9

56:25

warned [1] - 42:2 wars [2] - 62:24, 63:1 watch [4] - 17:19, 51:4, 52:7, 52:9 watched [5] - 51:7, 52:6, 52:11, 52:12, 55:12 watching [5] - 32:25, 40:21, 46:19, 78:5, 78:6 Wednesday [1] - 1:17 week [1] - 79:7 weeks [2] - 32:6, 74:16 weighing [2] - 41:19, 42:6 weight [1] - 48:6 welcome [1] - 4:16 WEST [1] - 1:9 West [4] - 7:13, 40:3, 41:21, 59:11 whole [3] - 46:9, 46:22, 70:12 WHTM [1] - 32:25 wife [1] - 48:18 wind [1] - 63:6 winter [1] - 8:18 WITNESS [3] - 11:14, 20:13, 68:10 Woloshin [1] - 1:19 **WOLOSHIN** [1] - 2:3 word [4] - 13:22, 28:22, 33:16, 35:2 worry [1] - 63:9 worrying [1] - 63:10 writing [1] - 57:15 written [5] - 6:7, 13:4, 29:24, 39:11, 75:24

wrote [4] - 32:2, 35:19, 38:12, 57:14 **WSSD** [1] - 29:22

Υ

year [2] - 8:10, 11:12 years [11] - 7:11, 7:13, 7:14, 9:18, 11:1, 30:24, 31:14, 31:15, 43:19, 48:8, 65:11 yell [1] - 77:12 yellow [2] - 66:3, 66:5 YORK [1] - 1:1 York [4] - 1:20, 1:24, 2:4, 62:20 yourself [5] - 60:16, 60:24, 61:3, 62:5, 79:3

tissue [1] - 11:10

TO [2] - 3:1, 3:6